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ABSTRACT: The study was conducted in cooperation with the California Division of Highways during the summer of 1969 to determine the eifect of operating amber warning lights on driver behavior, with the main emphasis on traffic flow. Amber lights were operated on three types of vehicles; black and white enforcement, tow service truck, and Division of Highways Maintenance Pickup, for three levels of traffic volume. The amber light had little effect on traffic flow during the day. There was some slowing of traffic at night on the two lane, light volume road. The vehicle effect varied; the black and white vehicle had the greatest effect, the pickup the least effect. Experimental design, statistical methodology and analysis of findings are described in detail.
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The study was designed to investigate the effect on driver behavior of amber warning lights operated from emergency vehicles. The California Division of Highways participated in the study to determine the effect of specific vehicles on traffic flow. Although the study was designed to measure the effect of lighting, it was possible to infer the effect of specific vehicles by statistical analyses.

The effect of significant findings and possible recommendations are stated below:

Finding: Amber warning lighting has a small effect on multilane, lighted roadways.

Effect: Average speed reductions of one to two MPH occurred at night.

Action: None suggested unless the volume on the roadway nears capacity conditions. Then speed decreases of one to two MPH may reduce hourly volumes from 5-10\% and could create hazardous, critical, traffic conditions.
Finding: Drivers react significantly to the warning lightat night on unlighted, undivided, roadways.Effect: Average speed reductions of 8-10 MPHoccurred at night.
Action: Speed reductions reduce traffic flow.Level of volume and driver maneuver-ability would determine whether theseconditions would be hazardous.
Finding: There is no significant difference in effect between the top mounted revolving light and deck light.Effect: Speed reductions due to either light arecomparable.
Action: It does not appear advantageous to re- place existing deck mounted amber light- ing equipment.
Finding: Drivers react noticeably to the presence of the black and white enforcement vehicle during the day.

Effect: Speeds were reduced from $21 / 2-61 / 2$ MPH.

Action: Possible action depends on level of volume and the degree of reduced speed necessary for roadway safety.


Action: Enforcement and service stops probably should be made as inconspicuous and as safely as possible. Volume reductions of $7-10 \%$ for near capacity flow creates queuing which results in driver delay.

> Finding: Drivers reaction to the maintenance pickup during heavy volumes is negligible.

Effect: Little or no change in traffic flow.

Action: None, unless vehicle restricts traffic flow, then usual precautions should be taken.

This study was conducted to determine the effect of Emergency warning lighting on driver behavior. The information and findings presented are based upon results of traffic surveys during July and August, 1969.

The study was designed and implemented by the California Highway Patrol, Operational Analysis Section, in cooperation with the Division of Highways, Traffic Department. Principal Investigator was Robert A. Bieber, Commander, Operational Analysis Section. Data were collected by personnel from the Division of Highways District III and Operational Planning and Analysis Division, California Highway Patrol, under the supervision of Dale Margroff. The data were reduced and analyzed by personnel from the Special Studies Unit, Operational Analysis Section; Lois Knobel, assisted by Elayne Henry and supervised by Anthony Moss, Jr.

The study would not have been possible without the advice and cooperation of Messrs. Moskowitz and Rooney of the Division of Highways Traffic Department. Also, an outstanding job was provided by Mr. Threlkeld and staff, of the Division of Highways District III during the data collection.

Although this study was a cooperative effort with the Division of Highways, this report reflects the views of the authors and not necessarily those of the Division of Highways.

This project was funded by the Bureau of Public Roads. The opinions, findings, and conclusions expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Bureau of Public Roads or the California Department of Public Works, Division of Highways.

The study was done in cooperation with State of California, Business and Transportation Agency, Department of Public Works, Division of Highways.
and
U. S. Department of Transportation,

Federal Highway Administration, Bureau of Public Roads.
Page
ABSTRACT ..... ii
STATEMENT OF IMPLEMENTATION ..... iii
PREFACE ..... vii
PART ONE - General Report ..... 1
Summary ..... 1
Introduction ..... 3
The Problem ..... 5
Relationship Between Measures of Driver Behavior ..... 7
Methodology ..... 11
Study Design ..... 11
Data Collection ..... 12
Data Comparisons ..... 13
Data Analysis ..... 14
Study Limitations ..... 17
Conclusions ..... 19
Light Volume ..... 19
Medium Volume ..... 21
Heavy Volume ..... 23
PART TWO - Technical Report ..... T-l
Design of Study ..... T-1
Statement of the Problem ..... T-1
Data Collection ..... T-2
Survey Site Locations ..... T-7
Schedule of Testing ..... T-16
Light and Medium Volumes ..... T-16
Heavy Volumes ..... T-17
Data Reduction ..... T-20
Methods of Analysis ..... T-24
Data Analysis of Site ..... T-30
Light Volume - El Centro Road ..... T-31
Medium Volume - Foothill Farms ..... T-39
Medium Volume - Mace Boulevard ..... T-50
Heavy Volume - Elvas Avenue ..... T-63
Bias ..... T-75

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Continued)

```
ANNEX A - Statistical Methodology, El Centro Road, Foothill
    Farms, and Mace Boulevard
ANNEX B - Statistical Methodology, Elvas Avenue
ANNEX C - Density
ANNEX D - Radar Speed Adjustment for Roadway Characteristics
ANNEX E - Test Site Maps
ANNEX F - Figures
ANNEX G - Speed and Volume Tables
```

CHART
I. Design of Survey ..... 10
LIST OF TABLES
TABLEPAGE
I. El Centro Road, Mean Difference Between Pretest Site and Test Site Speeds, Southbound Traffic . T-36
II. El Centro Road, Mean Difference Between AverageSpeeds for Vehicles by Comparative Types ofLighting, Test Site Speeds, Southbound . . . . T-37
III. El Centro Road, Mean Difference Between Average Speeds for Vehicles by Comparative Types of Lighting, Pretest Site Speeds, Southbound . . . T-38
IV. Foothill Farms Overcrossing (Spruce Avenue), Mean Difference Between Average Speeds for Com- parative Vehicles by Type of Lighting, Pretest and Test Site Speeds, Westbound . . . . . . . . T-46V. Foothill Farms Overcrossing (Spruce Avenue),Mean Difference Between Average Pretest SiteSpeeds and Test Site Speeds, WestboundT-47
VI. Foothill Farms Overcrossing (Spruce Avenue), Mean Difference Between Average Speeds for Vehicle by Type of Lighting, Pretest Site Speeds, Westbound ..... T-48
VII. Foothill Farms Overcrossing (Spruce Avenue), Mean Difference Between Average Speeds for Vehicles by Type of Light, Test Site Speeds, Westbound ..... T-49
VIII. Mace Boulevard Overcrossing, Mean Difference: Between Average pretest Site and Test Site Speeds, Westbound ..... T-59

## LIST OF TABLES

 (Continued)IX. Mace Boulevard Overcrossing, Mean Difference Between Average Speeds for Vehicle by Type of Lighting, Test Site Speeds, WestboundT-60
X. Mace Bouldvard Overcrossing, Mean Difference Between Average Speeds for Vehicle by Type of Lighting, Pretest Site Speeds, Westbound ... . T-61
XI. Mace Boulevard Overcrossing, Mean Difference

Between Average Speeds for Comparative Vehicles by Type of Lighting, Westbound, Pretest and Test Site Speeds
XII. Elvas Avenue Underpass, Average Difference Between Observed and Expected Speeds and Volumes for Light-off vs Light-on Tests for Each Survey by Position of Radar T-73
XIII. Traffic Counts Taken at the S.P.O.C. on the Elvas Freeway from $4: 30$ to $4: 45$ Plus $4: 50$ to 5:05 PM26
XIV. Traffic Speed Data (by Radar), El Centro Road, July 20, 1969 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . G-1
XV. Traffic Speed Data (by Radar), Elvas Freeway, Outbound (East) July 17, 1969. . . . . . . . . G-3
XVI. Traffic Speed Data (by Radar), Elvas Freeway, Outbound (East) July 23, 1969 . . . . . . . . . G-4
XVII. Traffic Speed Data (by Radar), Elvas Freeway, Outbound (East) July 29, 1969 . . . . . . . . . G-5
XVIII. Traffic Speed Data (by Radar), Elvas Freeway, Outbound (East) July 31, 1969 . . . . . . . . . G-6
XIX. Traffic Speed Data (by Radar), Foothill Farms (Spruce), Outbound (East) July 27, 1969 . . . . G-7
XX. Traffic Speed Data (by Radar), Foothill Farms (Spruce), Inbound (West) July 27, 1969. . . . . G-9
TABLE PAGE
XXI. Traffic Speed Data (by Radar), Mace Boulevard, Inbound (East) August 3, 1969 ..... G-11
XXII. Traffic Speed Data (by Radar), Mace Boulevard, Outbound (West) August 3, 1969 ..... G-13
XXIII. Traffic Count Data, El Centro Road,July $20,1969, \quad, \quad, \quad$,, 15
XXIV. Traffic Count Data, Elvas Freeway, Outbound (East) July 17, 1969 ..... G-16
XXV. Traffic Count Data, Elvas Freeway, Outbound (East) July 23, 1969 ..... G-17
XXVI. Traffic Count Data, Elvas Freeway, Outbound (East) July 29, 1969 ..... G-18
XXVII. Traffic Count Data, Elvas Freeway, Outbound (East) July 31, 1969 ..... G-19
XXVIII. Traffic Count Data, Foothill Farms Pedestrian Overcrossing, July 27, 1969 ..... G-20
XXIX. Traffic Count Data, Mace Boulevard Overcrossing, August 3, 1969 ..... G-21
Figure Page
1 Data collection schedule of testing, El ..... T-11
Centro Road, Foothill Farms, Mace Boulevard.
2 Data collection schedule of testing, Elvas ..... T-12Avenue.
3 El Centro Koad, Hourly Traffic Volumes By ..... F-1
Time Of Day, July 20, 1969, Northbound.
4 El Centro Road, Hourly Traffic Volumes By ..... F-1Time Of Day, July 20, 1969, Southbound.
5 Comparative Average Traffic Speed For Each ..... F-2
Five Minutes Of Test Periods By Hour OfDay, El Centro Road Radar Speeds, July 20,1969, 3:00 p.m. - 11:00 p.m., Northbound.
6 Foothill Farms Pedestrian Overcrossing ..... F-3
(Spruce Avenue), Hourly Traffic Volumes ByTime Of Day, July 27, 1969, Eastbound.
7 Foothill Farms Pedestrian Overcrossing ..... F-3
(Spruce Avenue), Hourly Traffic Volumes ..... ByTime Of Day, July 27, 1969, Westbound.
8 Comparative Average Traffic Speeds For Each ..... F-4Five Minutes Of Test Periods By Hour of Day,Foothill Farms (Spruce Avenue) Radar Speeds,July 27, 1969, 3:00 p.m. - ll:00 p.m.,Westbound.
9 Vehicle Density Per Mile By Hour Of Day, ..... F-5 Foothill Farms, Outbound (West).
10 Mace Boulevard Overcrossing, Hourly Traffic ..... F-6 Volume By Time Of Day, August 3, 1969, Eastbound.
11 Mace Boulevard Overcrossing, Hourly Traffic ..... F-6 Volume By Time Of Day, August 3, 1969, Westbound.

Comparative Average Traffic Speeds For Each
Five Minutes Of Test Periods By Hour Of Day, Mace Boulevard Radar Speeds, August 3, 1969, 3:00 p.m. - 11:00 p.m., Westbound.

13 Elvas Freeway - Outbound (East), Hourly F-8 Traffic Volumes By Time Of Day, Black and White Test Vehicle, July 17, 1969, Pretest Site.

Elvas Freeway - Outbound (East) Hourly
Traffic Volumes By Time Of Day, Black and White Test Vehicle, July 17, 1969, Test Site.

Elvas Freeway - Outbound (East), Hourly
Traffic Volumes By Time Of Day, Tow Truck Vehicle, July 23, 1969, Pretest Site.

Elvas Freeway - Outbound (East), Hourly F-8 Traffic Volumes By Time Of Day, Tow Truck Vehicle, July 23, 1969, Test Site.

Elvas Freeway - Outbound (East), Hourly
Traffic Volumes By Time Of Day, Division Of Highways Pickup, July 29, 1969, Pretest Site.

Elvas Freeway - Outbound (East), Hourly
Traffic Volumes By Time Of Day, Division Of Highways Pickup, July 29, 1969, Test Site.

Elvas Freeway - Outbound (East), Hourly
Traffic Volumes By Time Of Day, No Test Vehicle, July 31, 1969, Pretest Site.

Elvas Freeway - Outbound (East), Hourly
Traffic Volumes By Time Of Day, No Test Vehicle, July 31, 1969, Test Site.

Elvas Avenue - Speeds And Volumes, Black
And White Vehicle, July 17, 1969.
Average Traffic Speed By Average Traffic F-10 Volume For Each Two And One Half Minutes Of Test Periods, Elvas Freeway, July 17, 1969, Levee Radar Speeds.
Figure23. Average Traffic Speed By Average TrafficVolume For Each Two And One Half Minutes OfTest Periods, Elvas Freeway, July 17, 1969,S.P.O.C. Pretest Radar Speeds.
24 Average Traffic Speed By Average TrafficF-12Volume For Each Two And One Half Minutes OfTest Periods, Elvas Freeway, July 17, 1969,S.P.O.C. Test Site Radar Speeds.
25 Average Traffic Speed By Average TrafficF-13Volume For Each Two And One Half Minutes OfTest Periods, Elvas Freeway, July 23, 1969,Levee Radar Speeds.
26
Average Traffic Speed By Average TrafficVolume For Each Two And One Half Minutes OfTest Periods, Elvas Freeway, July 23, 1969,S.P.O.C. Pretest Radar Speeds.
27 Average Traffic Speed By Average TrafficF-15
Volume For Each Two And One Half Minutes OfTest Periods, Elvas Freeway, July 23, 1969,S.P.O.C. Test Site Radar Speeds.
28
Average Traffic Speed By Average Traffic ..... F-16
Volume For Each Two And One Half Minutes Of Test Periods, Elvas Freeway, July 29, 1969, Levee Radar Speeds.
29 Average Traffic Speed By Average Traffic ..... F-17Volume For Each Two And One Half Minutes OfTest Periods, Elvas Freeway, July 29, 1969,S.P.O.C. Pretest Radar Speeds.
30 Average Traffic Speed By Average Traffic ..... F-18Volume For Each Two And One Half Minutes OfTest Periods, Elvas Freeway, July 29, 1969,S.P.O.C. Test Site Radar Speeds.
31 Average Traffic Speed By Average Traffic ..... F-19Volume For Each Two And One Half Minutes OfTest Periods, Elvas Freeway, July 31, 1969,Levee Radar Speeds.

32 Average Traffic Speed By Average Traffic Volume For Each Two And One Half Minutes of Test Periods, Elvas Freeway, July 31, 1969, S.P.O.C. Pretest Radar Speeds.

33 Average Traffic Speed By Average Traffic F-21
Volume For Each Two And One Half Minutes Of Test Periods, Elvas Freeway, July 31, 1969, S.P.O.C. Test Site Radar Speeds.
34. Vehicle Density Per Mile By Hour Of Day, F-22 Elvas Freeway, July 17, 1969.

35 Vehicle Density Per Mile By Hour Of Day, F-22 Elvas Freeway, July 23, 1969.
36. Vehicle Density Per Mile By Hour Of Day, Elvas Freeway, July 31, 1969.

37 Average Speed By Density For Black And white Enforcement Vehicle, Elvas Freeway, July 17, 1969.

Average Speed By Density For Tow Service Truck Vehicle, Elvas Freeway, July 23, 1969.

Average Speed By Density For Division Of F-25 Highways Maintenance Pickup, Elvas Freeway, July 29, 1969.

40 Average Speed By Density For No Test Vehicle F-26 Elvas Freeway, July 31, 1969.

## SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Generally, the amber light had no effect during the day. Although there was usually a nighttime effect, the magnitude was small (i.e., speed reductions of one to two miles per hour).

The amber light had the greatest effect at night on light volume roads. The greater the traffic volume, the less effect on speed.

The presence of test vehicles affected traffic to a greater extent than the amber light. However, the vehicle affect is primarily for daylight tests for all traffic volume levels.

Vehicles which suggest emergency conditions such as law enforcement and tow services have a greater affect than other vehicles (e.g., Division of Highways maintenance pickup).

There was a negligible difference between the top mounted revolving light and the deck mounted flashing amber light tested on the black and white enforcement vehicle.

## INTRODUCTION

This study was conducted in response to a legislative inquiry regarding equiping highway patrol vehicles with roof mounted revolving amber lights. The effect upon traffic was uncertain and needed to be quantified so that the impact of such action could be anticipated. There are many factors to be considered, but this study primarily deals with the effect of the lights upon traffic flow.

The California Division of Highways also indicated an interest in studying the effect of the lighting on traffic flow and the project was undertaken as a cooperttive effort. Financing was provided by the Bureau of Public Roads.

Data were collected during seven surveys at four separate survey locations. Under various conditions, special vehicles with an amber warning light were placed by the side of the road. Speed, density, and volume of traffic were the basic data collected. The surveys were conducted during July and August, 1969, on State and Interstate Highways near Sacramento, California.

This publication is divided into two reports. The first report contains a brief, nontechnical description of the data collection and findings. The second report is a detailed version which is written in technical and statistical terms. A chapter on Bias explains study limitations and possible areas of bias. Tables and graphs, statistical methods, and special data adjustments are contained in the annexes.

## THE PROBLEM

Amber warning lights are used on law enforcement and roadway service vehicles to alert drivers that an enforcement action or roadway service is in progress.

This study attempts to answer these questions:

1. Do drivers react to the amber light?
2. If they do, how do they react and to what extent?

The purpose of the study is to identify and measure changes in driver behavior which result from driver reaction to amber lighting on vehicles. Increases and decreases in speed and changes in traffic patterns are of particular interest. A desirable goal is to determine lighting systems which adequately warn motorists, cause minimal traffic disruptions, and maximize safety for these drivers involved. In addition, a brief evaluation of vehicle effect is included.

The driver and vehicle on the roadway are the controlling factors of the traffic pattern. There are several interrelated variables which form a traffic pattern; the number of vehicles using the road (volume), average speeds, concentration of vehicles (density), lane changes, and entrances to and exits from the roadway.

Although several variables collectively form the traffic pattern, the measures of volume, speed and density are those which generally reflect roadway conditions and driver behavioral changes. These variables are defined as follows:

Speed of vehicle - The speed in miles per hour (mph) at which a vehicle is traveling.

Traffic volume - The number of vehicles which pass by a specified point in a given period of time. This figure is then expanded to represent the number of vehicles per hour.

Traffic density - The number of vehicles occupying a section of the roadway at a given time. Density is a measure of vehicle concentration and is expressed as
vehicles per mile. It is possible to determine the average distance between successive vehicles from this measure.

Speed, volume, and density are interrelated and may be expressed mathematically. ${ }^{l}$ This relationship holds if traffic is not constricted by other factors, i.e., control signals, blockage of lanes, and distraction by side of road. Any change in one variable may affect the others.

This affect is exemplified during peak hour commuter traffic. As the number of vehicles entering the roadway rapidly increases, speeds decrease and the distance between successive vehicles usually decreases.

The affect of a voluntary speed reduction on other traffic pattern variables is illustrated•by the following example:

Several vehicles are traveling in one lane at comparable speeds. One driver arbitrarily reduces speed. Those following have these alternatives.

1. Change lanes and continue at same speed.
2. Maintain speed temporarily and reduce distance between successive vehicles.

The mathematical formula is included and discussed in Annex $C$.
3. Decrease speed and either maintain or decrease distance between successive vehicles.

Regardless of the reaction by the following drivers, the traffic pattern changes.

DESIGN OF SURVEY

| Name of Site | Type of Road | Level of Volume* | $\begin{gathered} \text { Time of } \\ \text { Day } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Day of Heek | Vehicles and Lights Tested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E1 Centro Road | 2 lanes undivided | Light | Afternoon Evening Night | Sunday | Black and White Enforcement No light <br> Deck light <br> Revolving light <br> Division of Highways Pickup No light <br> Revolving light |
| Foothill Farms | 4 lanes divided | Medium | Afternoon <br> Evening <br> Night | Sunday | Black and White Enforcement <br> No light <br> Deck light <br> Revolving light <br> Division of Highways Pickup <br> No light <br> Revolving light |
| Mace Boulevard | 6 lanes divided | Medium | Afternoon <br> Evening <br> Night | Sunday | Black and White Enforcement No light <br> Deck light <br> Revolving light <br> Division of Highways Pickup No light <br> Revolving light |
| Elvas Avenue | 6 lanes divided | Heavy <br> (Peak hour traffic) | Afternoon | Weekdays | Black and White Enforcement No light <br> Deck light <br> Tow Service Truck <br> No light <br> Revolving light <br> Division of Highways Pickup <br> No light <br> Revolving light |
| * Light Volume Medium Volum Heavy Volume | $\begin{array}{r} 0-699 \mathrm{ve} \\ =700-119 \\ 1200+\mathrm{ver} \end{array}$ | les per hou vehicles per les per hour | by lane ur by lane y lane |  | No Test Vehicle |

## Study Design

The study was designed to collect data measuring driver reactions to varied lighting test situations for light, medium, and heavy traffic volumes. Seven surveys were conducted at four test sites during the months of July and August, 1969.

The survey design for data collection is shown in Chart $I$. Site location, test situations, and data collection are explained in detail in the technical report.

Basically, the surveys were designed to answer the following questions:

1. How do drivers react when they see a black and white enforcement vehicle stopped by the side of the road
a. Without a light operating?
b. With the rear mounted deck light flashing?
c. With the top mounted light revolving?
2. What effect does the Division of Highways pickup have
a. Without a light operating?
b. With the top mounted light revolving?
3. What effect does the tow service truck have (heavy volume, afternoon test only)
a. Without a light operating?
b. With the top mounted light revolving?
4. Do the reactions differ for light, medium, and heavy volume roads?
5. Is there a difference for day and night tests?

Data Collection
These data were collected:

Volumes per hour.
Average vehicle speeds in miles per hour.
Density in number of vehicles per mile.
Lane changes.
On and off ramp counts.

These methods were used to measure and collect the data:

Speeds - Radar devices and graphic recorders. The vehicle speeds were measured and automatically recorded at locations prior to, at, and after the test situation location.

Volumes - Vehicles were counted by traffic observers In two and one half minute time increments for the heavy volume site, Elvas Avenue. The counts were by five minute intervals elsewhere.

Density - The concentration of vehicles on the test roadway was recorded by aerial photography for five of the seven surveys. The photographs were taken at one to one and one-half minute intervals.

Lane changes, on and off ramp counts - These occurrences were observed and recorded by traffic observers.

## Data Comparisons

The various data measurements were compared for two purposes;

1. To determine whether the measurements are reasonably accurate and
2. to analyze the results.

Since the variables of speed, volume, and density measures are interrelated, two were used to estimate the third. The estimated quantity was then compared with the measured figure. These cross checks were made on a random basis and the data measurements appeared reasonably accurate.

Analytic methods involved numerous data combinations and comparisons. The methods used are discussed in the section on analysis.

Data Analysis
Theoretically, an incident which occurs on or by a roadway may cause approaching drivers to modify their driving pattern. Various test situations were staged by the side of the selected roadways to determine driver reactions. If drivers reacted sufficiently, the result would be reflected in traffic pattern changes. These changes may involve differences in speed (increase or decrease), volumes (more or less vehicles per hour using the roadway), density (vehicles changing lanes or increasing or decreasing distances between successive vehicles).

Since the main purpose of the study was to measure the effect of lighting on driver behavior, it was felt that differences between variables measured prior to and at the test situation would reflect the significance and magnitude of change.

Vehicle volumes were counted at each test site to determine their magnitude and effect. Speed - volume graphs and calculation of coefficients of correlation were used to determine
the effect of volumes on speeds. Peak hour volumes, for the heavy volume surveys, were compared to determine whether certain test vehicles restricted traffic.

Other vehicle counts such as on and off ramp counts and lane changes indicated that these variables had negligible effect on traffic patterns. No further analyses are provided for these data.

Speeds were compared to detect differences which resulted from the lighting, vehicle, or a combination of vehicle and lighting. The differences between the pretest and test site speeds theoretically reflect differences due to the combined effect of vehicle and lighting. The differences between test site speeds reflect differences caused by the various type of lighting or vehicles. The speeds were statistically tested to determine if differences were significant. ${ }^{2}$

For example, average test site speeds were about ten and onehalf miles per hour less than pretest speeds for the black and white vehicle, revolving light night time test at El Centro Road (light volume). Drivers reduced speeds less than one mph for this vehicle when no light was tested. These

## $\overline{2}$ The Student $t$ statistical tests at .05 level of significance were used. The methodology is discussed in Annex A.

results indicate that a major portion of the speed reduction in this case was caused by the lighting.

It was necessary to remove the affect of volumes on speeds prior to comparison of speeds for the heavy volume tests. The methodology is explained in Annex B. This mathematical adjustment was not required for data from low and medium volume sites.

Densities and speeds for the heavy volume tests were plotted on graphs and are shown in Figures 38 through 40 , Annex F. The differences between densities for pretest - test site speeds are visually observable. ${ }^{3}$ Densities and speeds were not correlated for the medium volume surveys and could not be treated statistically.

Density can be significantly affected by changes in speed. If there are 20 vehicles per mile of roadway traveling at 40 mph , (assume one lane) the road is handling a volume of 800 vehicles per hour. If these same drivers reduce speed to 30 mph and maintain the same distance between successive vehicles, volume is reduced to 600 vehicles. Even a small speed - density reduction can critically affect traffic flow when heavy volume roadways are involved.
$\overline{3}$ The methodology is discussed in Annex $C$.

## Study limitations

The surveys were conducted on urban and rural freeways and on a rural state highway. City streets were not included. The test sites were limited to three types of roads; two lanes undivided, and four and six lanes, divided. Data were collected during hot and himid weather near Sacramento, California.

The scope and limitations of the survey methodology must be considered when attempting to project or relate the results of this study. Some technical difficulties occurred during the data collection and analysis. They are discussed in the section on bias.

This section contains a condensed summary of findings by level of volume. The analysis and findings are discussed in detail in the technical report.

Light Volume - (E1 Centro Road)
Roadway tested - Rural two lane state highway, two direction, undivided, unlighted.

Volumes were very light in both directions throughout the testing and appeared to have no effect on vehicle speeds. Drivers could usually increase or decrease speeds and pass other vehicles as desired. Vehicle speeds were the best available indicators of driver reactions at this test site.

Afternoon, daylight. Drivers reduced average speeds about six and one-half miles per hour (mph) for the revolving light, California Highway Patrol (CHP) black and white enforcement vehicle test. A major portion of the speed reductions appears due to the presence of the black and white vehicle, Drivers did not reduce speeds during the Division of Highways maintenance pickup test.

Night, unlighted roadway. Average speeds were reduced about eight mph for the CHP black and white vehicle flashing deck light test and approximately ten and one-half mph for the revolving light test. A greater portion of the speed reduction appeared due to the lighting as drivers did not reduce speeds when the light was off.

The test site speed reduction for the revolving light test was about two and one-half mph more than for the deck light test but the difference is not statistically significant. However, the speed of approaching traffic during the deck light test was approximately two mph greater and this may have caused an understatement of the true difference.

Speeds were reduced about three and one-fourth mph when the pickup was tested without lighting. This reduction apparently was due to the type and color of vehicle as drivers did not reduce speeds for the black and white vehicle test without lighting.

The speed reduction for the pickup, revolving light test was approximately ten and one-fourth mph. The reduction for both vehicle types is comparable. A greater portion of the reduction appears due to the visibility of the revolving light.

Medium Volume - (Foothill Farms)
Roadway tested - Rural four lane freeway, two direction, divided.

Several measures of data were collected for this test site. However, only average speeds appeared to be of value in the analysis. Volumes and density were insufficient to affect speeds.

Afternoon, daylight. Speeds were reduced about five mph for the CHP black and white vehicle with the revolving light operating. The reduction was slightly less than two mph for the pickup, with or without the light. The speed differences appeared due to the presence of the vehicles.

Night, lighted roadway: Speeds were reduced less than two mph for the black and white vehicle with the deck light operating. The reduction appeared mostly due to the vehicle.

Drivers did not appear to see the pickup when the revolving light was off. There was a speed reduction of about one and one-half mph when the light was operating, but this reduction is not significant statistically.

Medium Volume - (Mace Boulevard)
Roadway tested - Rural six lane freeway, two direction, divided.

Data were collected at this site as for the Foothill Farms, four lane site. Volumes and densities were insufficient to affect speeds.

Afternoon, daylight. Speeds were reduced about two and one-half mph for the CHP black and white vehicle, revolving light test. The reduction was partially due to the type of vehicle. The contribution by the operating light cannot be determined due to lack of data resulting from radar failure.

Night, lighted roadway. Speed reductions of about two and one-half mph were measured during the presence of either the CHP black and white or pickup test vehicles. The differences appeared due to the presence of the vehicle and the effect of the lighting was negligible.

Speed reductions also occurred when the black and white vehicle was on the opposite side of the road. Test site speeds were three mph less during the light-off test. The reduction was one and one-half $m p h$ for the deck light test. Since the lighting was either off or not visible to approaching drivers, the reductions apparently were due to the presence of the vehicle. There was no significant speed reduction measured during the revolving light test. This result is questionable and is discussed in detail in the technical report.

Heavy Volume (Elvas Avenue Site)
Roadway tested - Urban six lane freeway, two direction, divided; afternoon tests, daylight only.

Several measures of traffic patterns were collected at this site during four surveys. A different vehicle was tested for each survey. The testing consisted of alternating light-off, light-on intervals. The following vehicles were tested: CHP black and white enforcement vehicle, tow service truck, Division of Highways maintenance vehicle. No vehicle was tested during the last survey.

The results are analyzed by these methods:

1. Speeds-volumes, effect of lighting
2. Densities-speeds, effect of vehicle and lighting
3. Total volumes, effect of vehicle and lighting

Speeds-volumes. Speeds were strongly affected by volumes which approached or exceeded road capacity. The effect of volumes on speeds was removed prior to statistical comparisons. The methodology is described in Annex B.

Light-off and light-on test speeds were compared separately for each radar site. There was no significant difference in speeds between the light-off and light-on tests for the four surveys.

Densities-speeds. Densities were recorded for the first three surveys and reflected traffic patterns which may have been affected by vehicle type and lighting. Pretest and test site speeds were plotted on graphs by density per mile for each survey.

The data indicate that traffic density for a given speed was reduced at the test vehicle site until the roadway approached design capacity. At that point, it was no longer possible to measure the effect of the test situations. The effect of reduced density while maintaining constant speed results in a reduced traffic flow.

The greatest reduction between pretest and test site density was for the CHP black and white enforcement vehicle. The reduction for the tow service truck was slightly less than that for the CHP vehicle.

The difference between traffic densities for the maintenance pickup were comparable to that estimated for the no-vehicle survey. The reduction was probably due to roadway conditions or characteristics. Although the CHP black and white and tow service vehicles appeared to cause reduced density, the pickup did not.

Total volumes. The Division of Highways, Traffic Department has requested a brief analysis of traffic volumes. This analysis is based on data shown in Table XIII, page 26.

Although the experimentation was not designed to measure the effect of vehicle type on roadway capacity, some inferences can be drawn from the data. Since the count period represents the highest traffic volumes, there is maximum interaction between vehicles as a result of driver behavior. The data in Table XIII may be influenced by other factors. but the implication is that the CHP black and white enforcement vehicle and the tow truck had considerable effect while the Division of Highways pickup had no effect at all.

TABLE XIII
TRAFFIC COUNTS TAKEN AT THE S.P.O.C. ON THE ELVAS FREEWAY FROM 4:30 TO 4:45 PLUS 4:50 TO 5:05 PM

| Date (July, 1969) | li th | 23rd | 29 th | 31st |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vehicle* | CAP | Tow | Hwy s | None |
| Traffic Volume | 2,543 | 2,632 | 2,822 | 2,827 |
| Traffic Volume as a <br> Percent of the 31st <br> Volume | $90 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

[^0]
## DESIGN OF STUDY

The purpose of the study is to quantify the relationship between operating warning lights and driver behavior in actual traffic conditions. The study is specifically designed to measure vehicle speed, traffic volume, traffic density, and lane change activity in relation to various kinds of emergency vehicles using warning lights. These measures were statistically analyzed to identify and determine the magnitude of behavioral reactions to varied test situations and conclusions were formulated.

## Statement of the Problem

Emergency lighting consists of an amber light which glows, flashes, or revolves from within or on the outside of the vehicle. It is used on law enforcement, highway maintenance, and roadway service vehicles to alert approaching motorists that an enforcement action or roadside service is taking place.

There is limited information available on the effect of the lighting on driver behavior. Reactions such as an increase or decrease in speed, lane changing, and an increase in the gap between vehicles effect traffic patterns and may effect
the safety of the driver. A desirable goal is to determine optimal emergency lighting systems which permit efficient roadway use and provide maximal safety for the user. The absence of lighting is also considered as a possible alternative.

## Data Collection

The time, location, and method of data collection was determined by the type and availability of data required. It was necessary to measure any change in the traffic pattern which could result from driver reaction to test stimuli. Data were collected during seven surveys at four separate locations. These locations represent three levels of volume (vehicles per hour) and three types of roadway. The classification of sites within groups is by actual volumes rather than maximum capacity design.

| Name of Site | Number of Lanes - <br> Type of Road | Volume Type |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| El Centro Road | Two - Undivided | Light |
| Foothill Farms | Four - Divided | Medium |
| Mace | Six - Divided | Medium |
| Elvas | Six - Divided | Heavy |

Volumes (vehicles per hour) were grouped as follows:

Volume Category
Light
Medium
Heavy

Actual Number of Vehicles
Per Hour by Lane
0 to 699
700 to 1199
1200 and Over

These quantitative measures of driver reaction were selected for the study:

Increase or decrease in speed
Increase or decrease in vehicle gap (density)
Changing traffic lanes
Loading factor (number of vehicles entering or exiting roadway via on-off ramps)

Traffic volume
Notation of any other special occurrence which might affect traffic flow.

Collection methods varied by type of data. The following methods were used.

Speeds. Speeds were measured by radar devices and the readings were recorded automatically by graphic recorders. The equipment was located at three points; (1) prior to the test site (pretest), (2) at the test vehicle site and (3) after the test site (posttest).

Battery powered Muni-Quip Model 1200-C radars and Esterline Angus Model Tl71B graphic recorders were operated from vehicles at the speed collection sites. The equipment was concealed within the vehicle or at the side away from traffic. Every attempt was made to reduce the conspicuousness of the radar measuring heads and the pretest and posttest vehicles.

Speeds were recorded in miles per hour on graph paper at 60 milimeters per second for light volume traffic and 120 milimeters per second for heavy volumes. Radar operating personnel manually recorded beginning and ending test period times on the graphs.

Increase or decrease in vehicle gap (density). The distance between the rear of one vehicle and the head of the following vehicle is defined as vehicle gap. As traffic flow becomes more dense, vehicle gap decreases. The measure of the concentration of vehicles on the roadway which is used in this study is defined as density. More specifically, density is the number of vehicles occupying a section of a roadway at a given time and is expressed as vehicles per mile. Density as a percent of capacity varies by the number of lanes. For example, 2,000 vehicles per hour represents $50 \%$ of capacity for two lanes and $33 \mathrm{~L} / 3 \%$
for three lanes (assume maximum capacity of 2,000 vehicles per lane per hour).

Density was measured during five surveys by aerial photography. The test roadway section was photographed at altitudes ranging from 2500-3500'. Pictures were taken at approximately one to one and one-half minute intervals.

Density was not photographed during two surveys. The El Centro road site lies within the metropolitan airport fight plan and photography was not possible. The Elvas Avenue, no-test vehicle survey was added to the data collection schedule after aerial photograph arrangements had been completed.

Changing traffic lanes. The purpose of this count was to determine the frequency of lane changing. Trained traffic observers visually observed the traffic flow and counted the number of vehicles changing lanes. The final figures for the seven surveys indicated that the number of vehicle lane changes was very small.

Loading factor. This measurement is the number of vehicles which enter the roadway prior to the designated test portion and may affect traffic flow. The point of
access is usually an on ramp or intersection. The resulting numbers were small for the surveys and probably had little affect upon traffic.

Traffic volume (vehicles per hour). Traffic volume is the number of vehicles which pass by a specific point during a given period of time. The number is usually expanded and expressed in terms of vehicles per hour. Volumes were meansured at one or two locations on the test roadway for a predetermined direction of traffic.

Special or unusual occurrences. Any nonordinary intident which occurred during a test period was recorded by the individual observing the incident. Notations were recorded by radar and count team personnel. In addition, the survey supervisor routinely drove over the test roadway and tape-recorded information such as time of day, estimated speed of vehicles, vehicles parked by the side of the road.

This information was used to determine whether radar speed readings were correct and to explain speed density changes caused by unusual occurrences.

There were four site locations for the seven surveys.
El Centro Road
EIvas Avenue Overcrossing
Foothill Farms (Spruce) Overcrossing
Mace Boulevard Overcrossing
The survey dates, site locations, and data collection points are described for each site.

## El Centro Road

Date of Survey: Sunday, July 20, 1969, 3:00-11:00 p.m.
Direction of traffic: Southbound
Weather: Hot and Humid
Site Description: The site is located on Route 99, Sacramento County, between Elkhorn Road and Elverta Road. The roadway is a two-lane, two-direction, undivided highway. It is a straight road and unlighted. Design capacity for this type of road is approximately 2,000 vehicles per hour for both directions. ${ }^{1}$

Radars measured speeds at three locations, one pretest and two at test vehicle sites. The southbound test site was

[^1]approximately 1.78 miles south of the pretest radar at Elverta Road. The test vehicle was visible to drivers about one-half mile from the test site. The northbound test vehicle and radar site was about 2.01 miles north of Elverta Road. There was neither a southbound posttest site nor a pretest site for northbound traffic.

Vehicles per hour were counted for both north and southbound traffic. A map of the test road and location of data collection sites is included in Annex $E$.

Elvas Avenue Underpass. (Southern Pacific Overcrossing)
Dates of Surveys: July 17, 23, 29, and 31, 1969;

$$
3: 30-6: 05 \text { p.m. }
$$

Direction of Traffic: Eastbound
Weather: Hot and Humid
Site Description: The site is located on Interstate Highway 80, Sacramento County, from the "A" Street Overcrossing to. a point just beyond the Elvas Underpass. The Southern Pacific Railway crosses over the highway at this point.

The roadway is a six-lane divided urban freeway and is approximately .683 miles in length. The roadway is divided by a double metal beam barrier with a headlight screen. Design capacity is about 2,000 vehicles per lane per hour, or 6,000 vehicles per hour.

$$
\mathrm{T}-8
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for the eastbound three lanes. ${ }^{2}$ A map of the test roadway and location of specific data collection points is included in Annex E .

Radars measured pretest speeds at two locations and test site speeds at one location. The first pretest radar and vehicle count location was approximately 550 feet east of "A" Street which was the beginning of the test roadway. The second pretest radar was on the Southern Pacific Overcrossing and the beam was aimed about 350 feet west of the test site. The test site radar was on the opposite side of the overcrossing. The radar beam was aimed at the test vehicle or vehicles which were located just east of the Elvas Underpass. This location marked the end of the test roadway.

Vehicles per hour were counted by two and one-half minute increments at two locations, the Southern Pacific Overcrossing and "A" Street.

The number of vehicles changing lanes was counted from the overcrossing. Since these data were collected during near capacity volumes, the changes were few and did not cause significant changes in traffic patterns.

## ${ }^{2}$ IBID

$$
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Density was recorded by aerial photographs which were taken of the test road from " $A$ " Street to the Southern Pacific Overcrossing ( 0.658 miles). Photographs were taken on three survey dates, July 17,23 , and 29, 1969. The photographs were taken at an altitude of about $2500^{\circ}$ the first two surveys, and about $3500^{\prime}$ the last day. The time lapse between photos varied from about 1.1 to 1.5 minutes apart.

## Foothill Farms Overcrossing (Spruce Avenue)

Date of Survey: ${ }^{-}$Sunday, July 27, 1969; 3:00-11:00 p.m. Direction of Traffic: Westbound

Weather: Hot and Humid
Site Description: The site is located at the Foothill
Farms Pedestrian Overcrossing on Interstate Highway 80 between the Spruce Avenue and Madison Avenue Overcrossings. This location is in the northeastern part of Sacramento County and is a four-lane, divided rural freeway. The roadway was divided by an earth median planted with oleander shrubs. The median width was 42 feet including a 2 foot paved shoulder on both sides. Design capacity under ideal conditions is 4,000 vehicles per hour for each direction. ${ }^{3}$

The length of the westbound test roadway (Sacramento bound) is about 1.35 miles and the eastbound roadway is 1.15 miles. The westbound test vehicle site was located at the pedestrian $3_{\text {IBID }}$

FIGURE 1
DATA COLLECTION SCHEDULE
OF TESTING
El Centro Road - Foothill Farms - Mace Boulevard

*Three Time of Day Cycles, each cycle comprised of live 15 -minute Test Intervals pluc oreoks hetween tests.

FIGURE 2

## DATA COLLECTION SCHEDULE OF TESTING

Elvas Avenue


* One Cycle only, consisting of eight l5-minute testing intervals. Same schedule used for July 3l, No Test Vehicle. Time cycle includes nontest intervals.
overcrossing which is approximately 0.62 miles west of the pretest radar site. The eastbound test site was on the opposite side of the road and is 0.69 miles east of the pretest radar site. A map of the test roadway and location of specific data collection points is included in Annex E.

Initially, radars were placed at six locations to measure both westbound and eastbound vehicle speeds. Although westbound traffic measurements were of primary interest, eastbound speeds were also measured. When radar failure occurred, eastbound collection was partially discontinued.

The following vehicle counts were made:

| Type of Count | Direction |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vehicles Per Hour | East, Westbound | Foothill Farms <br> Overcrossing |
| On Ramp | Eastbound | Spruce Avenue <br> Overcrossing |
| Off Ramp | Eastbound | Spruce Avenue <br> Overcrossing |
| Lane Changes | East, Westbound | Foothill Farms <br> Overcrossing |

Density was photographed for a 0.568 mile portion of the test roadway, approximately 0.246 mile prior to and 0.322 mile after the westbound test site. The photos were taken from an altitude of about $3500^{\prime}$ at approximately 1.1 to 1.3 minutes apart during the daylight hours of 3:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.

## Mace Boulevard Overcrossing

Date of Survey: Sunday, August 3, 1.969; 3:00-11:00 p.m. Direction of Traffic: Westbound

Weather: Hot and Humid
Site Description: The site is located at the Mace Boulevard Overcrossing on Interstate Highway 80 and is approximately twelve miles west of Sacramento, California. The roadway is a six-lane, divided rural freeway and under ideal conditions has a design capacity of about 6,000 vehicles per hour for each direction. ${ }^{4}$ The roadway is divided by an earth median planted with oleander shrubs. The median was 48 feet including a 5 foot paved shoulder on each side.

The length of the westbound test roadway is about 0.73 mile and the test vehicle site is approximately 0.4 mile west of the pretest radar site. The eastbound test roadway length is about 0.8 mile and the test vehicle site is 0.46 mile east of the pretest radar site. A map of the test roadways and location of specific data collection points is in in Annex E.

Radars were placed at six locations to measure both eastbound and westbound vehicle speeds. Part of the eastbound collection was suspended due to radar failure.
${ }^{4}$ IBID

Vehicle count observers were located on the Mace Boulevard $\qquad$ Overcrossing. The following counts were made for both westbound and eastbound traffic.

Traffic volumes
Vehicles entering from on ramps
Vehicles changing lanes

Density was photographed for a 0.516 mile portion of the test roadway, approximately 0.232 mile prior and 0.284 mile after the test site. Pictures were taken from an altitude of $3500^{\prime}$ approximately one to one and one-half minutes apart during the daylight hours of 3:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.

Data collection methods varied by type of data desired for a particular site. Figures 1 and 2 are flow charts of the collection schedule. The test situation was varied periodically according to a fixed, predetermined schedule.

The test situation and schedule of operation were identical for these three survey sites:

| El Centro Road | July 20, 1969 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Foothill Farms (Spruce) | July 27, 1969 |
| Mace Boulevard Overcrossing | August 3, 1969 |

Collection at the Elvas Site was different and is explained separately.

El Centro Road, Foothill Farms, Mace Boulevard. Data collection consisted of three cycles of testing which began at 3:00 p.m. and ended at approximately ll:00 p.m. The cycles were:

| Cycle | Time of Day |
| :--- | :---: |
| Afternoon | $3: 00 \mathrm{p.m}$. to $4: 42 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. |
| Evening* | $5: 02 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. to $6: 44 \mathrm{p.m}$. |
| Night | $9: 15 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. to $10: 57 \mathrm{p.m}$. |

Each cycle was divided into five fifteen-minute test intervals.
*This testing cycle is defined as "Evening" although it is a period of daylight at this time of the year.
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Two types of test vehicles were used during each cycle.

1. A black and white enforcement vehicle and a grey unmarked passenger vehicle.
2. An orange Division of Highways maintenance pickup and a grey unmarked passenger vehicle.

The test vehicles were positioned sufficiently off the road so that vehicles approaching in the shoulder lane would have ample clearance. There was as little activity as possible at the site so that the effect on driver behavior would result from lighting rather than other factors.

Each cycle of testing consisted of five l5-minute test intervals, three test intervals for the black and white enforcement vehicle and two test intervals for the orange Division of Highways pickup.

The black and white enforcement vehicle and grey unmarked passenger vehicle were in test position for the first three test intervals. A different type of lighting was used for each interval:

1. No Light
2. Flashing Deck Light
3. Top-Mounted Revolving Light

No Light was tested during the first interval and the Flashing Deck Light was operated during the second interval. The Revolving Light was then mounted on the top of the vehicle during a five-minute break and operated during the third test interval. At the end of this test, the black and white vehicle was removed during a 15 -minute break. The unmarked passenger vehicle remained at the test position until the cycle of testing was complete. The test vehicle was replaced by a Division of Highways maintenance pickup. The pickup was a half-ton pickup and Omaha Orange in color.

Two types of lighting were tested:

1. No Light
2. Top-Mounted Revolving Light

No Light was tested during the first fifteen minutes. The Revolving Light was operated during the second test interval. At the end of the Revolving Light test, both the pickup and unmarked vehicle were removed. These tests completed the testing cycle for a given time of day.

The three tests for the black and white vehicle and two tests for the Division of Highways pickup were repeated for each of the three Time of Day cycles. Data for the afternoon
cycle were collected for the direction of traffic which was of primary interest. The direction was westbound for Mace and Foothill Farms and south for El Centro Road. The evening testing was to measure the effect on drivers when the test vehicle was on the opposite side of the road. The night testing was conducted for the same direction of traffic as for the afternoon test.

Elvas Avenue. Data were collected for eastbound traffic on four separate days. The surveys were during peak hour traffic volumes on weekday afternoons from 3:30 to 6:05 p.m.

There was one test cycle which consisted of eight l5-minute alternating light-off, light-on test intervals. The test vehicle situation was different for each survey. The following test vehicles were used:

Type of Vehicle Date of Survey
CHP Black and White Patrol Vehicle July 17, 1969 and brown Unmarked Pickup Unit.

Yellow Tow Truck and brown Unmarked July 23, 1969 Pickup Truck

Orange Division of Highways Pickup July 29, 1969 and Brown Unmarked Pickup Truck

No Test Vehicles July 31, 1969

The lighting tested on the Black and White Enforcement Vehicle was the rear-mounted flashing deck light which is currently in use. The top-mounted revolving light was used on the yellow Tow Truck and the orange Division of Highways Pickup.

The brown Unmarked Pickup Truck was used with the Black and White Enforcement Vehicle and Tow Truck to better simulate an enforcement or service stop.

The vehicles were located sufficiently off the roadway to minimize potential hazards. Since the primary purpose of the study was to measure the effect of lighting, test site activity was minimized so that driver reactions would result from the test situation rather than other factors.

## Data Reduction

There were tremendous volumes of data which were not machine reducible. Reduction methods varied by type of data collected. Data were reduced by professional and clerical staff personnel. Some of the data required special adjustments prior to analysis.

Radar speeds. Speed data were graphically recorded by machine. Each point on the graph usually represented the
speed for one vehicle. Speeds ranging from zero to 100 MPH were possible. Beginning and ending time periods, two and one-half and five-minute intervals, were manually recorded on the graph by radar personnel. Figure 41 , page T-80 contains a sample of an actual radar recording.

The speed graphs were reduced by five or ten second intervals depending on the speed at which the graph was recorded. Graphs recorded at the 60 mm per minute speed were reduced by ten second intervals and 120 mm per minute speed by five second intervals. Speed reduction is also discussed in the section on bias.

Speeds for each five or ten second interval were averaged to the nearest whole miles per hour, with accuracy to $\pm$ onehalf mile. Illegible and questionable readings were excluded. The arithmetic average, variance, and standard deviation were computed to two decimal places for each two and one-half minute and five minute interval within a test period. Statistical formulae are included in Annexes $A$ and $B$.

If the standard deviation was greater than five MPH, the data points and computations were checked for accuracy.

Density. Data reduction consisted of enlarging the aerial film strip by a 35 mm projector and counting the number of vehicles on the test roadway by lane for each photograph.

Inconspicuous marker strips which designated the beginning and the end of the test roadway were placed by the side of the test roadway prior to the surveys. Only those vehicles which fell within the designated area were counted. Incomplete and undecipherable pictures were not reduced.

It must be noted that the roadway length for the aerial photographs does not correspond exactly to that for radar speed measurement.

Since the photography equipment did not have an automatic timing device, it was necessary to determine approximate time of day for each photo. Those photos which recorded special or unusual events were identified and matched with a timed log of events compiled from other data sources. The exact times were assigned to these photos and times were estimated for the intervening pictures.

Traffic counts. Lane changes, number of vehicles entering or leaving a roadway and traffic volumes were in number count form and required no further reduction.

Special or unusual occurrences. This information was orally taped and later transcribed. No further reduction was required.

## Methods of Analysis

Analytical methods varied by type of data collected and site of collection. Some of the data required special mathematical adjustment prior to statistical treatment. It was necessary to combine some of the speed data into 15 -minute time intervals and recalculate averages and variances. The analyses are specifically discussed by site location for a specified volume category.

Hourly traffic volumes. These data are vehicles per hour (VPH) and are defined as the number of vehicles that pass over a given section of roadway during a time period of one hour or less.

Vehicles were counted for either two and one-half or five minute intervals for each lane and expanded to hourly rates. The hourly figures for the lanes were combined and plotted on graphs for a direction of traffic by time of day.

Normally, there is a negative correlation between speed and volume. As volume increases, speed tends to decrease. This relationship holds only if volume is sufficiently large.

Speeds were plotted for volumes at all collection sites and coefficients of correlation were computed for Elvas Avenue.

The coefficients of correlation at Elvas Avenue ( $\mathrm{r} \geq .90$ ) indicated a strong negative relationship between speeds and volumes. For this reason, volumes are considered in the Elvas site speed analysis. The plots for El Centro Road, Foothill Farms, and Mace Site resulted in an uncorrelated scatter of data points. Volumes apparently were insufficient to affect speeds so speeds are analyzed independent of volumes for these sites.

Other traffic counts. Counts of vehicles entering, exiting, or changing lanes on the test roadway represented a very small proportion of total traffic volumes. The activities of these vehicles had minor, if any, effect on traffic. There are no analyses of these data.

Radar Speeds. Pretest site and test site speeds are analyzed by two methods, (1) graphical presentation and (2) statistical comparisons. Speeds are discussed by radar site location.

Pretest speeds are those measured by radar at a point some distance prior to the test vehicle site. The test vehicle was not visible to drivers at the pretest radar site. Test site speeds are those recorded as vehicles passed the test vehicles.
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1. Graphical presentation. Average pretest and test site radar speeds are plotted for five-minute intervals by hour of day for El Centro Road, Foothill Farms and Mace Boulevard. The difference between speeds is observable.

The pretest and test site speeds for Elvas Avenue are plotted on separate graphs for eastbound traffic. The speeds are for two and one-half minute increments by volumes. A parabolic curve is fitted to the data points by least squares. The methodology is discussed in Annex B.
2. Statistical Analysis of Speeds. Radar speeds for El Centro, Foothill Farms, and Mace Boulevard are analyzed by average speeds for l5-minute test intervals. The Elvas speeds analyses are for the complete testing cycle. Analyses are for pretest and test site speeds only as postsite data were not always available.

Average speeds were compared and statistically tested to determine if there were significant differences resulting from the test situation. The differences of primary interest are those which result from the lighting, the presence of a vehicle and/or type of vehicle.

Changes in speeds are analyzed in terms of the test situation and its components. Definitions and methods of measurements are described by type of effect.

Test Situation Effect. Behavioral changes attributed to the test situation result from the type of test vehicle, type of light, or a combination of both factors. The Elvas data were difficult to standardize and the analysis is for the differences between light-off, light-on speeds. The relationship of these variables may be additive, multiplicative, or both.

The effect of the test situation, i.e., vehicle with or without an operating light, is determined by comparing the pretest and test site speeds for differences. The vehicles and lighting are held constant, and the speeds for the data collection sites are variables.

Vehicle Effect. The effect of the vehicle results from the presence of any vehicle plus that of its specific characteristics. Test site speeds for the vehicles are compared by type of lighting. The type of lighting is held constant
and type of vehicle as a variable. The pretest specd comparisons are also compared to detect differences in approaching speeds.

Lighting Effect. The effect of specific lighting tyncs is in addition to that of the vehicle. The effect may or may not be affected by the type of vehicle.

Test site speeds for different types of lighting are compared for each vehicle type. The type of vehicle is held constant, and the type of lighting is variable. Pretest speeds are also compared to determine whether test site differences could result from differences in approaching traffic flow speeds.

Other Unmeasured or Unknown Effects. These factors are those which cause statistical error in observations and measurements of data. If the difference can be identified and measured, the data may be adjusted. There are probably other factors which also effect and/or result from the test situation. It is assumed that these factors are reasonably constant for the tests, and that vehicle-light-speed differences may be detected.

Data adjustments and methodology are included in Annex $D$.

Average speeds were compared by the Student $t$ test and variances by the $F$ test. The statistical methodology is discussed in Annex $A$.

The results of the statistical Student $t$ tests are provided in tables and significant differences (speed increases or decreases) are discussed.

Density. The number of vehicles recorded in each photograph of the test roadway is expanded to represent vehicle density per mile. The expanded figures are plotted for each photograph by time of day for each survey.

Average speeds are plotted by density for Elvas Avenue only. Since there is no significant speed-density correlation at the other survey sites, there is no value in plotting the data.

Data Analyses by Site
Light Volume - El Centro Road. El Centro Road is the only site which is classified into the light volume grouping. Two types of data are available, hourly traffic volumes and radar speed data.

Traffic volumes were very light for both northbound and southbound traffic. The average volumes for southbound traffic were 160 vehicles per hour. Northbound traffic averaged about 240 vehicles per hour. Volumes are shown in Figures 3 and 4, Annex $F$.

Radar speeds were plotted and statistically tested independent of volumes. Plots of the average pretest and test site speeds are shown in Figures 5, Annex F. Pretest speeds were not available for the first two afternoon test intervals and there is no test site data for southbound traffic during the evening testing.

Test site speeds were noticeably reduced for these test conditions:

Black and white vehicle for afternoon testing
Black and white vehicle with deck or revolving light on during night test

Orange pickup with light on or off during night test

Only those speed comparisons which result in significant speed differences are discussed. Differences attributable to the combined effect of vehicle and light are discussed first; effect of lighting, second; and effect of vehicle last.

The results of the statistical testing are shown in Tables I through III.

1. Afternoon cycle, black and white vehicle, reaction to vehicle and lighting.

Black and white vehicle, effect of vehicle and light.
The pretest speed is 6.41 MPH greater than the test site speed for the revolving light test. Data for the no-light and deck light test for this vehicle were not collected due to radar failure and comparisons by type of lighting are not possible.

Black and white vehicle vs orange pickup, effect of vehicle. The black and white vehicle test site speed is 8.22 MPH less than the pickup speed for the revolving light test. Pretest speeds for the comparative vehicles are equal and there is no statistical difference between the light-off, light-on speeds for the pickup. It
appears that a greater portion of the difference between black and white pretest and test site speeds is due to the type of vehicle.
2. Night cycle, black and white vehicle and orange pickup, reaction to vehicle and lighting.

Black and white vehicle, effect of vehicle and lighting. The pretest speed is 7.97 MPH greater than the test site speed for the deck light test and 10.40 MPH greater for the revolving light test.

Black and white vehicle, effect of lighting. The light-off test speed is 6.03 MPH greater than the deck light and 6.59 MPH greater than the revolving light test site speeds. The deck and revolving light speeds are statistically equal, but pretest traffic speeds may have prevented an actual significant difference.

The flow of traffic speed appeared to increase progressively throughout the night time testing and probably causes an understatement of the comparative speed differences.

Orange Pickup, effect of vehicle and lighting. The pretest speed is 3.29 MPH greater than the test site speed for the
light-off test. This result is contrary to that found for the black and white test and will be discussed later in detail.

The pretest speed is 10.24 MPH greater than the test site speed for the revolving light test. This difference is statistically comparable to that for the black and white vehicle.

Orange pickup, effect of lighting. The light-off test site speed is 3.93 MPH greater than the test site speed for the revolving light. The pretest speed is 3.02 MPH greater for the light-on test. This very likely understates that portion of the speed reduction which is caused by the light.

Black and white vehicle vs orange pickup, effect of vehicle. The 3.29 MPH difference between pretest and test site speeds for light-off tests suggests that the difference is due to the vehicle type. The pickup would seem to be more visible than the black and white vehicle on the unlighted roadway. The bright orange color and height of the pickup reflect light better and should be more easily seen by approaching drivers.

The comparison between the vehicles, however, indicates that the type of vehicle does not significantly effect speed. The following may explain the lack of significance.

The pretest and test site speeds for both vehicle types are statistically equal, but the pickup pretest speed is about 1.26 MPH greater and the test site speed is about 1.20 MPH less than for the black and white vehicle. The comparative differences create a range in the pickup speeds which might be sufficient for a statistical difference by vehicle type.
3. Summary of significant results.

The black and white vehicle with the revolving light appears to significantly reduce average speeds (about six and one-fourth MPH) during the afternoon test. The orange pickup does not.

The pickup test site speed is about three and one-fourth MPH less than the pretest speed, during the night time, light-off test. Difference may be attributable to reflectiveness of the bright orange color, height of vehicle, or by chance. Drivers apparently did not see
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the black and white vehicle at night when the light was off.

The operation of both the deck light and the revolving light at night appears to significantly reduce test site speeds (eight to ten MPH). This occurs for both test vehicles and a greater portion of the difference appears due to the lighting.

There is no significant difference between speeds for the deck light-revolving light tests.

## TABLE I

EL CENTRO ROAD
Mean Difference Between Pretest Site and Test Site Speeds, Southbound Traffic

| Time of Day | Type of Light | $\frac{\text { Type of Vehicle }}{\text { Black \& White }}$ | $\frac{\text { Type of Vehicle }}{\text { Orange Pickup }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Afternoon | None | No Data | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=64 \\ & \mathrm{D}=-2.48 \mathrm{MPH} \end{aligned}$ <br> Not Significant |
|  | Deck | No Data | Not Tested |
|  | Revolving | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=88 \\ & \mathrm{D}=6.41 \mathrm{MPH} \end{aligned}$ Significant | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=71 \\ & \mathrm{D}=-1.52 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Not Significant } \end{aligned}$ |
| Evening |  | No Data | No Data |
| Night | None | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=96 \\ & \mathrm{D}=0.83 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Not Significant } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n=95 \\ & D=3.29 \mathrm{MPH} \end{aligned}$ Significant |
|  | Deck | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=77 \\ & \mathrm{D}=7.97 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Significant } \end{aligned}$ | Not Tested |
|  | Revolving | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=75 \\ & \mathrm{D}=10.40 \mathrm{MPH} \end{aligned}$ Significant | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=62 \\ & \mathrm{D}=10.24 \mathrm{MPH} \end{aligned}$ Significant |

n = Sample Size
D = Difference between average speeds for 15-minute intervals 1.e., $D=\bar{X}_{1}-\bar{X}_{2}$

MPH = Miles Per Hour

## EL CENTRO ROAD

Mean Difference Between Average Speeds for Vehicles by Comparative Types of Lighting Test Site Speeds - Southbound

| Time of Day |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Afternoon | Comparative <br> Types of Light | Type of Vehicle <br> No Light/Deck and White |
| Not Available of Vehicle |  |  |


| No Light/Revolving | Not Available | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=65 \\ & \mathrm{D}=1.01 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Not Significant } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deck/Revolving | Not Available | Not Tested |
| No Light/Deck | $\begin{aligned} & n=103 \\ & D=6.03 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Significant } \end{aligned}$ | Not Tested |


| No Light/Revolving | $n=103$ | $n=97$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{D}=6.59 \mathrm{MPH}$ | $\mathrm{D}=3.93 \mathrm{MPH}$ |
|  | Significant | Significant |
| Deck/Revolving | $\mathrm{n}=97$ | Not Tested |
|  | $\mathrm{D}=0.56 \mathrm{MPH}$ |  |
|  | Not Significant |  |

Mean Difference Between Average Speeds for Comparative Vehicles by Type of Lighting, Test Site Speeds - Southbound

Comparative
Time of Day Vehicles

Type of Lighting
Afternoon
Black and White/
Light Off
$\mathrm{n}=69$ Orange Pickup
$\mathrm{D}=-3.42 \mathrm{MPH}$
Significant
Revolving Light $n=64$
$\mathrm{D}=-8.22 \mathrm{MPH}$
Significant
Night
Black and White/
Light Off
$\mathrm{n}=108$ Orange Pickup
$\mathrm{D}=1.20 \mathrm{MPH}$
Not Significant
Revolving Light $n=92$
$D=-1.46$
$\mathrm{n}=$ Sample Size
Not Significant
$D=$ Difference between average speeds for 15 -minute intervals, i.e.., $\bar{X}_{1}-\bar{X}_{2}$
MPH $=$ Miles Per Hour

## EL CENTRO ROAD

Mean Difference Between Average Speeds for Vehicles by Comparative Types of Lighting Pretest Site Speeds - Southbound

| Time of Day | Comparative Types of Lighting | Type of Vehicle Black and White | ```Type of Vehicle Orange Pickup``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Afternoon | No Light/Deck | Not Available | Not Tested |
|  | No Light/Revolving | Not Available | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=80 \\ & \mathrm{D}=0.05 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Not Significant } \end{aligned}$ |
| Night | No Light/Deck | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=98 \\ & \mathrm{D}=-1.11 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Not Significant } \end{aligned}$ | Not Tested |
|  | No Light/Revolving | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=103 \\ & \mathrm{D}=-2.98 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Significant } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=97 \\ & \mathrm{D}=3.02 \mathrm{mPH} \\ & \text { Significant } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Deck/Revolving | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=97 \\ & \mathrm{D}=1.87 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Not Significant } \end{aligned}$ | Not Tested |

Mean Difference Between Average Speeds for Comparative Vehicles by Type of Lighting Southbound

Time of Day \begin{tabular}{c}

| Comparative |
| :---: |
| Vehicles |$\quad$ Type of Lighting

\end{tabular}

| Afternoon | Black and White/ Orange Pickup | Light Off <br> Revolving Light | Not Available $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=90 \\ & \mathrm{D}=-0.29 \mathrm{MPH} \end{aligned}$ <br> Not Significant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Night | Black and White/ Orange Pickup | Light Off | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=108 \\ & \mathrm{D}=-1.26 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Not Significant } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Revolving Light | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=92 \\ & \mathrm{D}=-1.30 \mathrm{MPH} \end{aligned}$ Not Significant |

n = Sample Size
$D=$ Difference between average speeds for l5-minute intervals, i.e., $\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{1}-\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{2}$
MPH = Miles Per Hour

Medium Volume - Foothill Farms (Spruce Avenue). Both Foothill Farms (Spruce) and Mace test sites are classified in the medium volume grouping. Occasionally traffic volumes did reach the classification of "heavy" during the Foothill Farms data collection, but most of the volumes were in the "medium" category. Several types of data were collected for these sites; radar speed data, traffic volumes, on ramp-off ramp counts, lane change counts, and aerial photographs of density patterns. Vehicles per hour, average radar speeds, and density are discussed in this section.

Volume counts in vehicles per hour are plotted by fiveminute intervals for both lanes. The counts are for eastbound and westbound traffic and are shown in Figures 6 and 7, Annex F .

The westbound traffic volumes ranged from about 2,000 to 2,660 vehicles per hour during the day and afternoon testing. Volumes continuously decreased during the night testing and were less than 1,000 vehicles per hour by the end of the data collection. Traffic flowed very well during the data collection.

Radar speeds are plotted in Figure 8 by time of day for westbound traffic only. The average pretest site and test
site radar speeds are plotted on the same graph by fiveminute intervals and differences are observable. There is a roadway characteristic difference of about 1.49 MPH between the westbound pretest site and test vehicle site. Drivers apparently reduce speed slightly at the pretest site possibly because of the Spruce Avenue on and off ramps. An adjustment for this difference has not been included in the graphs.

Radar speeds are statistically analyzed by the previously described methodology. Since volumes and speeds were not correlated at this test location, the speeds were compared independent of volumes. The results of these comparisons are shown in Tables IV through VII.

Pretest speeds for the statistical comparisons include an adjustment of +1.49 MPH for roadway characteristics. A discussion of the determination of the adjustment factor and resultant methodology is included in Annex D. The analysis of speeds is summarized by type of reaction.

1. Afternoon cycle, black and white vehicle vs orange
pickup, reaction to vehicles and lighting.
Black and white vehicle, effect of vehicle and lighting.
The pretest speed is 5.2 MPH greater than the test site
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speed for the revolving light test. Data for the nolight and deck light test for this vehicle was not collected due to radar failure.

Orange pickup, effect of vehicle and lighting. The pretest speed is about 1.89 MPH greater than the test site speed.

Black and white vehicle vs orange pickup, effect of vehicle, revolving light. The black and white test site speed is 2.69 MPH less than the pickup speed and pretest speeds are comparatively equal for the two vehicles. It appears that the black and white vehicle has a greater effect on traffic than the pickup and a greater portion of the pretest-test site speed difference is due to the type of vehicle.
2. Evening cycle, black and white vehicle, reaction of vehicle and lighting.

Black and white vehicle, effect of vehicle and lighting.
The deck light pretest speed is 1.16 MPH greater than
the test site speed and the difference is significant.
The test vehicle was on the opposite roadway (eastbound traffic) and the light would not be visible to westbound
drivers. The difference may be caused by the speed adjustment factor (See Annex D). Since the sample size (530) is very large for this time increment, even a small speed adjustment error would be sufficient to result in a statistically significant difference.

Black and white vehicle vs orange pickup, effect of vehicle. The black and white test site speed is 0.77 MPH significantly less than the pickup speed when the vehicle was on the eastbound test roadway. The difference is very small and the sample size very large. The pretest speed for the black and white vehicle is 0.47 MPH less and this may be sufficient to negate the significance between the two speeds.
3. Night cycle, black and white vehicle vs orange pickup, reaction to vehicle and lighting.

Black and white vehicle, effect of vehicle and lighting. The deck light pretest speed is 1.84 MPH greater than the test site speed. There was very little difference between the speeds for the light-off or revolving light tests. The roadway lighting would reduce the effectiveness of the emergency light and this may explain the small amount of speed differences for the other tests.

Black and white vehicle, effect of lighting. The lightoff test speed is 1.68 MPH greater than the deck light speed, and the pretest speed difference between the two light tests is very small. The difference between the pretest and test site speeds appears due to the lighting.

The deck light test site speed is 2.46 MPH less than that for the revolving light. The pretest speed is significantly slower for the deck light by about 1.44 MPH. This may or may not negate the significance of the difference between the test site speeds. Nevertheless, it appears that drivers did not see the revolving light or the test vehicle.

Orange pickup, effect of vehicle and lighting. The pretest speed is 1.69 MPH less than the test site speed for the no-light test. It appears that the unlighted vehicle was not visible and had no effect on traffic. There was $a+1.54 \mathrm{MPH}$ difference for the revolving light test, however, the difference is not significant. The pickup may have been a little more visible during the light-on test because of its bright orange color.

Orange pickup, effect of lighting. The light-off test site speed is 2.67 MPH greater than the revolving light speed and the pretest speeds are comparatively equal. Since there was no significant difference between revolving light pretest and test site speeds, it is doubtfurl that this difference is due to the lighting.

Black and white vehicle vs orange pickup, effect of vehicle. The test site speed for the black and white vehicle light-off test is 1.85 MPH less than that for the pickup and the pretest site speeds are statistically equal. Neither vehicle appeared visible to approaching traffic. The black and white vehicle probably was less visible than the pickup due to its color.

The black and white vehicle, revolving light, test site speed is 1.60 MPH greater than the pickup speed. Although the difference is significant, the pretest speed for the black and white vehicle is 0.88 MPH greater. If the difference between the test site speeds is not due to the pretest speed, it appears that the black and white vehicle was also less visible than the pickup during the revolving light testing.

## 4. Summary of significant speed comparisons

The black and white vehicle appears to cause a greater speed reduction during the afternoon than the pickup. The difference for the black and white vehicle is about five MPH and just less than two MPH for the pickup.

The deck light during the night time black and white vehicle testing appears to reduce speeds about 1.8 MPH . The drivers do not seem to see either the light or the vehicle for the other night tests. The pickup appears somewhat more visible than the black and white vehicle for the light-on test (speed decrease of about one and one-half MPH) but significantly less visible when the light is off.

Densities for the combined lanes are shown in Figure 9, Annex F. Densities in vehicles per mile are plotted by hour of day for eastbound and westbound traffic. Speeds were not plotted by densities at this site because of lack of correlation between speeds and volumes.

TABLE IV

| Time of Day | OOTHILL FARMS OVERCROSSING (SPRUCE AVENUE) Mean Difference Between Average Speeds for Comparative Vehicles by Type of Lighting Pretest Site Speeds - Festbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Comparative Vehicles | Type of Lighting |  |
| Afternoon | Black \& White/ Orange Pickup | Light Off Revolving Light | Not Available $\begin{aligned} & n=117 \\ & D=-0.65 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Not Significant } \end{aligned}$ |
| Evening |  | Light Off | $\begin{aligned} & n=178 \\ & \mathrm{D}=0.47 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Not Significant } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Revolving Light | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=179 \\ & \mathrm{D}=-0.43 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Not Significant } \end{aligned}$ |
| Night |  | Light Off | $\begin{aligned} & n=120 \\ & \mathrm{D}=0.35 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Not Significant } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Revolving Light | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=155 \\ & \mathrm{D}=0.88 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Not Significant } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Test Site | peeds - Hestbound |  |
| Time of Day | Comparative Vehicles | Type of Lighting |  |
| Afternoon | Black \& White/ Orange Pickup | Light Off <br> Revolving Light | Not Available $\begin{aligned} & n=241 \\ & D=-2.69 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Significant } \end{aligned}$ |
| Evening |  | Light off | $\begin{aligned} & n=1039 \\ & D=-0.77 \text { MPH } \\ & \text { Significant } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Revolving Light | $\begin{aligned} & n=839 \\ & D=-0.05 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Not Significant } \end{aligned}$ |
| Night | , | Light Off | $\begin{aligned} & n=332 \\ & \mathrm{D}=-1.85 \mathrm{MPH} \end{aligned}$ Significant |
|  |  | Revolving Light | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=306 \\ & \mathrm{D}=1.60 \mathrm{MPH} \end{aligned}$ Significant |

```
n = Sample Size
D = Difference between average speeds for
    15-minute intervals, i.e., D = 政 - - 
MPH = M1les Per Hour
```

TABLE V
FOOTHILL FARMS OVERCROSSING (SPRUCE AVENUE)
Mean Difference Between Average Pretest Site Speeds and Test Site Speeds - Westbound

| Time of Day | Type of Light | Type of Vehicle Black and White | Type of Vehicle Orange Pickup |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Afternoon | No Light | Not Available | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=248 \\ & \mathrm{D}=1.75 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Significant } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Deck Light | Not Available | Not Tested |
|  | Revolving | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=210 \\ & \mathrm{D}=5.23 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Significant } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=248 \\ & \mathrm{D}=1.89 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Significant } \end{aligned}$ |
| Evening | No Light | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=668 \\ & \mathrm{D}=0.81 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Not Significant } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=549 \\ & \mathrm{D}=-0.43 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Not Significant } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Deck Light | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=530 \\ & \mathrm{D}=1.16 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Significant } \end{aligned}$ | Not Tested |
|  | Revolving | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=459 \\ & \mathrm{D}=0.05 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Not Significant } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=559 \\ & \mathrm{D}=0.53 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Not Significant } \end{aligned}$ |
| Night | No Light | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=259 \\ & \mathrm{D}=0.51 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Not Significant } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n=236 \\ & D=-1.69 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Significant } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Deck | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=247 \\ & \mathrm{D}=1.84 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Significant } \end{aligned}$ | Not Tested |
|  | Revolving | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=247 \\ & \mathrm{D}=0.82 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Not Significant } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=247 \\ & \mathrm{D}=1.54 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Not Significant } \end{aligned}$ |
| ```n = Sample Size D = Difference between average speeds for``````PH = Miles Per Hour``` |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

FOOTHILL FARMS OVERCROSSING (SPRUCE AVENUE) Mean Difference Between Average Speeds for Vehicle by Type of Lighting, Pretest Site Speeds - Westbound

Type of Comparative
Time of Day

Afternoon Light Off/
Light Off/
Revolving

Not Available
$\mathrm{n}=153$
$\mathrm{D}=-0.69 \mathrm{MPH}$ Not Significant

Deck/Revolving Not Available

Evening Light Off/Deck $n=171$
$D=-0.38 \mathrm{MPH}$
Not Significant
Light Off/
Revolving
$\mathrm{n}=171$
$\mathrm{n}=179$
$D=0.56 \mathrm{MPH} \quad \mathrm{D}=-0.34 \mathrm{MPH}$
Not Significant Not Significant
Deck/Revolving $n=165$
D $=0.94$
Not Significant

Night Light Off/Deck $n=124$
$\mathrm{D}=0.35$
Not Significant
Light Off/
Revolving
$\mathrm{n}=118$
$n=139$
$D=-1.09 \mathrm{MPH}$
$\mathrm{D}=-0.56 \mathrm{MPH}$
Not Significant Not Significant
Deck/Revolving $\quad n=166$
$D=-1.44 \mathrm{MPH}$
Significant
n = Sample Size
$D=$ Difference between average speed for 15-minute intervals, i.e., $D=\bar{X}_{1}-\bar{X}_{2}$
MPH = Miles Per Hour

TABLE VII
FOOTHILL FARMS OVERCROSSING (SPRUCE AVENUE) Mean Difference Between Average Speeds for Vehicles by Type of Light, Test Site Speeds - Westbound

Type of
Comparative
Time of Day Lighting

Type of Vehicle Black and White

Type of Vehicle Orange Pickup

Afternoon
$\mathrm{n}=330$
$\mathrm{D}=0.66 \mathrm{MPH}$ Not Significant

| Light Off/ | $\mathrm{n}=327$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Revolving | $\mathrm{D}=1.83 \mathrm{MPH}$ |
|  | Significant |
|  |  |
| Deck/ | $\mathrm{n}=357$ |
| Revolving | $\mathrm{D}=1.17 \mathrm{MPH}$ |
|  | Significant |

$\mathrm{n}=1027$
$D=-0.03 \mathrm{MPH}$ Not Significant

Light Off/
Revolving

Deck/
Revolving
$\mathrm{n}=949$
$\mathrm{D}=-0.20 \mathrm{MPH}$ Not Significant
$\mathrm{n}=818$
$\mathrm{D}=-0.17 \mathrm{MPH}$ Not Significant
$\mathrm{n}=343$
D $=-0.55 \mathrm{MPH}$ Not Significant

Not Tested

Not Tested
$\mathrm{n}=929$
$\mathrm{D}=0.52 \mathrm{MPH}$
Not Significant
Not Tested

Not Tested
D $=1.68 \mathrm{MPH}$ Significant

| Light Off/ | $n=338$ | $n=328$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Revolving | $D=-0.78 \mathrm{MPH}$ | $\mathrm{D}=2.67 \mathrm{MPH}$ |
|  | Not Significant | Significant |
|  |  |  |
| Deck/ | $\mathrm{n}=306$ | Not Tested |
| Revolving | $\mathrm{D}=-2.46 \mathrm{MPH}$ |  |
|  | Significant |  |

n = Sample Size
$\mathrm{D}=$ Difference between average speeds for 15 -minute intervals, i.e., $D=\bar{X}_{1}-\bar{X}_{2}$
MPH = Miles Per Hour

Medium volume - Mace Boulevard Overcrossing. The types of data collected at Foothill Farms were also collected at Mace Boulevard.

Hourly volume counts (vehicles per hour) are by five-minute intervals for both eastbound and westbound traffic. The volumes are plotted by hour of day and are shown in Figures 10 and 11 , Annex $F$.

Volumes were light and traffic flowed well throughout the testing. Eastbound volumes averaged about 1,440 vehicles per hour with a maximum of about 2,340 vehicles at 9:l5 p.m. Westbound volumes were slightly greater. The average was about 2,100 vehicles per hour with a maximum of about 2,580 vehicles at 3:16 p.m.

A plot of the speeds by volumes indicated that volumes had negligible effect on speeds. Volumes were not considered in the analysis of radar speed data.

Radar speeds are plotted and statistically tested for westbound traffic only. Test site speeds appear reduced about 2.97 MPH because of roadway characteristics. There is an off-ramp about 1,500 feet west of the pretest site and an
on-ramp about 500 feet prior to the test site which could effect speeds. The methodology for determining the characteristic difference and procedure of adjustment is included In Annex $D$.

The average unadjusted pretest and test site speeds are plotted for five-minute periods by hour of day and are shown in Figure 12, Annex $F$.

Radar speeds are analyzed statistically for westbound pretest and test site speeds. The test site speeds were adjusted by a constant +2.97 MPH prior to testing. The statistical test results are contained in Tables VIII through XI.

High average speeds were maintained during the data collection and variances were small. The standard deviation was usually less than five MPH. Since sample sizes are large, a small difference between comparative speeds can result in a significant difference.

The speeds are analyzed by type of driver reaction.

1. Afternoon cycle, black and white vehicle vs orange pickup, reaction to vehicle and lighting.

Black and white vehicle, effect of vehicle and lighting.
The pretest speed is 2.57 MPH greater than the test site speed for the revolving light test. Data were not collected for the black and white light-off, deck light tests because of radar failure.

Orange pickup, effect of vehicle and lighting. The difference for the pickup test, light-off is 1.45 MPH . There is no difference for the pickup revolving light test.

Black and white vehicle vs orange pickup, effect of vehicle. The revolving light test site speed for the black and white vehicle is 1.015 MPH less than for the pickup. Since the pretest speed for the black and white is 1.34 MPH greater, the difference between the speeds for the two vehicles is probably understated. A major portion of the difference between pretest and test site for the black and white vehicle is probably due to the type of vehicle.
2. Evening cycle, black and white vehicle vs orange
pickup, reaction to vehicle and lighting.
Black and white vehicle, effect of vehicle and lighting.
The pretest speed is 3.09 MPH greater than the test site speed during the light-off test. The difference is 1.47

MPH for the deck light test. The differences for the revolving light phase and the pickup tests are less than one-fourth miles per hour.

These results are questionable since the test vehicle was on the eastbound roadway and appeared visible to westbound drivers during the first two test intervals only.

Black and white vehicle, effect of lighting. The lightoff test speed is 1.69 MPH less than for the revolving light. The light-off pretest speed is 1.77 MPH greater than for the revolving light.

The deck light speed is 1.50 MPH less than for the revolving light and comparative pretest speeds are statistically equal. These results are also questionable.
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Black and white vehicle vs orange pickup, effect of vehicle. The light-off test site speed for the black and white vehicle is 2.36 MPH greater than that of the pickup. Pretest speeds are comparatively equal. The result of this comparison infers that westbound vehicle drivers see the black and white vehicle and reduce speeds. It is not known whether drivers saw the pickup.

These statistical findings for the evening cycle are somewhat questionable for these reasons:

The black and white vehicle is on the eastbound portion of the divided highway.

The light-off test appears to have a greater reduction on speed than the revolving light.

If the black and white vehicle, light-off causes a noticeable speed reduction, then it is logical that the nonvisible flashing deck light would also reduce speeds.

There are several possible reasons for the inconsistent findings.

The speed for the general flow of traffic fluctuates considerably and may be responsible for what appears to be statistically significant differences. Changes in traffic flow speeds make comparisons by type of lighting difficult and the results are questionable. Comparative speeds and differences are shown below.

| Time of Day | Test <br> Vehicle | Average Pretest Speed (MPH) | Adjusted Average Test Site Speed (MPH) | $\qquad$ Difference (MPH) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:02 PM:5:17 PM | B \& W* | 64.27 | 61.18 | 3.09 |
| 5:18 PM:5:37 PM | B \& W* | 62.84 | 61.37 | 1.47 |
| 5:38 PM:5:53 PM | B \& W* | 62.50 | 62.84 | -0.34 |
| 6:13 PM:6:28 PM | O** | 63.77 | 63.54 | 0.23 |
| 6:29 PM:6:44 PM | O** | 62.62 | 62.87 | -0.25 |
| $\begin{aligned} * \mathrm{~B} \& \mathrm{~W} & =\text { Black } \\ * * \mathrm{O} & =\text { Orange } \end{aligned}$ | nd White Pickup | forceme | vehicle |  |

It is also possible that the time of day (evening) may have limited the visibility of the vehicles. The light-off comparisons were for fifteen-minute periods beginning at 5:00 p.m. and 6:13 p.m. The light-on period comparison was for periods beginning at 5:38 p.m. and 6:29 p.m. The difference between pretest site and test site speeds was greater for the earlier time comparisons and declined with the changing daylight.

The westbound traffic was facing the setting sun. Trees along the roadway created shadows across the roadway and may have partially concealed the test vehicles. A combination of these factors would reduce vehicle visibility and could considerably limit the effect of the revolving light.

The test site speed adjustment of +2.97 MPH was applied as a constant amount for all speeds. The adjustment could be inadequate for some of the test intervals.

The significant speed differences may result from the presence of the vehicle (there were no differences for the pickup), but the effect of lighting is questionable for any or a combination of the suggested explanations.
3. Night cycle, black and white vehicle vs orange pickup, reaction to vehicle and lighting. Black and white vehicle, effect of vehicle and
lighting. The pretest speed is 2.37 MPH greater than the test site speed during the light-off test, and 1.89 MPH and 2.59 MPH greater for the deck and revolving light tests.

Black and white vehicle, effect of lighting. The test site speed for the light-off test is 1.51 MPH greater than for the deck light test. However, the difference between the two pretest speeds is 1.29 MPH. The difference in the general traffic flow would be sufficient to negate the test site speed differences.

The deck light test site speed is 1.36 MPH greater than for the revolving light. The difference of 0.61 MPH between the comparative pretest speed is not significant. An adjustment of test site speeds for approaching traffic flow differences would probably negate the significant difference.

Orange pickup, effect of vehicle and lighting. The pretest speed is 2.37 MPH greater for the light-off test. Comparative data are not available for the revolving light test due to power failures.
4. Summary of significant speed comparisons.

Significant speed reductions from about one and onehalf to two and one-half MPH occurred during the presence of either test vehicle. The differences are generally comparable for day and night tests.
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There does not appear to be differences in reaction between the deck and revolving lights for the black and white vehicle.

The vehicle appears to be a major contributor to the speed reduction and operation of the lighting is minor. Since this test roadway is lighted, there is increased visibility of the vehicle and decreased visibility of lighting.

Density graphs for eastbound and westbound traffic were not plotted. There were numerous incomplete photograph recordings at this site which were not reduced.

TABLE VIII
MACE BOULEVARD OVERCROSSING
Mean Difference Between Average Pretest Site and Test Site Speeds - Westbound

Time of Day
Afternoon

Type of Light
Operating

Type of Vehicle Black and White

No Data

No Data
$\mathrm{n}=24$
$D=2.57 \mathrm{MPH}$ Significant
$n=173$
$D=3.09 \mathrm{MPH}$
Significant
$\mathrm{n}=189$
$D=1.47 \mathrm{MPH}$ Significant

Revolving
$\mathrm{n}=173$
$\mathrm{n}=179$
$\mathrm{D}=-0.37 \mathrm{MPH}$ Not Significant
$\mathrm{D}=-0.25 \mathrm{MPH}$ Not Significant
$\mathrm{n}=259$
$\mathrm{D}=2.37 \mathrm{MPH}$ Significant
$\mathrm{n}=238$
$D=1.89 \mathrm{MPH}$ Significant

Revolving
Type of Vehicle Orange Pickup
$\mathrm{n}=264$
$\mathrm{D}=1.41 \mathrm{MPH}$ Significant

Not Tested
$\mathrm{n}=263$
$\mathrm{D}=0.08 \mathrm{MPH}$
Not Significant
$\mathrm{n}=178$
$\mathrm{D}=0.23 \mathrm{MPH}$
Not Significant
Not Tested
$\mathrm{n}=133$
$\mathrm{D}=2.31 \mathrm{MPH}$ Significant

Not Tested
Deck

No Data
$\mathrm{n}=258$
$\mathrm{D}=2.59 \mathrm{MPH}$ Significant
n = Sample Size
$D=$ Difference between average speed for
limpinute intervals, i.e., $D=\bar{X}_{1}-\bar{X}_{2}$
Miles Per Hour
$\mathrm{MPH}=$ Miles Per Hour

MACE BOULEVARD OVERCROSSING
Mean Difference Between Average Speeds for Vehicle by Type of Lighting, Test Site Speeds - Westbound

Comparative • Type of Vehicle
Time of Day Types of Light Black and White

Afternoon
No Light/Deck No Data

Light

| No Light/ <br> Revolving | No Data |
| :--- | :--- |
| Deck/Revolving |  |

Evening
Not Availabie

Type of Vehicle Orange Pickup

Not Tested
$\mathrm{n}=247$
D $=0.38 \mathrm{MPH}$
Not Significant

Not Tested

| No Light/ | $n=174$ | $n=179$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Revolving | $D=-1.69 \mathrm{MPH}$ | $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{D}=0.67 \mathrm{MPH}$ |
|  | Significant | Not Significant |
| Deck/Revolving | $\mathrm{n}=176$ | Not Tested |
|  | $\mathrm{D}=-1.50 \mathrm{MPH}$ |  |
|  | Significant |  |

$\mathrm{n}=319 \quad$ Not Tested

Not Available
$\mathrm{D}=1.51 \mathrm{MPH}$
Significant
Deck/Revolving
$\mathrm{n}=317$
Not Tested
$D=0.15 \mathrm{MPH}$
Not Significant

| No Light/ | $\mathrm{n}=319$ | Not Tested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deck Light | $\mathrm{D}=0.15 \mathrm{MPH}$ |  |
|  | Not Significant |  |
| No Light/ | $\mathrm{n}=338$ | Not Available |
| Revolving | $\mathrm{D}=1.51 \mathrm{MPH}$ |  |
|  | Significant |  |
| Deck/Revolving | $\mathrm{n}=317$ | Not Tested |
|  | $\mathrm{D}=1.36 \mathrm{MPH}$ |  |
|  | Significant |  |

$\mathrm{D}=1.36 \mathrm{MPH}$ Significant
$\mathrm{n}=176$
$D=0.19 \mathrm{MPH}$ Not Significant Deck Light

Night

```
n = Sample Size
\(D=\) Difference between average speeds for l5-minute intervals, i.e., \(D=\bar{X}_{1}-\bar{X}_{2}\)
MPH \(=\) Miles Per Hour
= Sample Size
```



```
H = Miles Per Hour
```

TABLE X
MACE BOULEVARD OVERCROSSING
Mean Difference Between Average Speeds for Vehicle by Type of Lighting, Pretest Site Speeds - Westbound

Comparative Type of Vehicle Time of Day Types of Light Black and White

Afternoon
No Light/Deck No Data
No Light/ No Data Revolving

Deck/Revolving No Data

Evening
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { No Light/Deck } & n=176 \\ & \mathrm{D}=1.43 \mathrm{MPH}\end{array}$ Significant

| No Light/ | $\mathrm{n}=172$ | $\mathrm{n}=178$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Revolving | $\mathrm{D}=1.77 \mathrm{MPH}$ | $\mathrm{D}=1.15 \mathrm{MPH}$ |
|  | Significant | Significant |
|  |  |  |
| Deck/ | $\mathrm{n}=176$ | Not Tested |
| Revolving | $\mathrm{D}=10.34 \mathrm{MPH}$ |  |
|  | Not Significant |  |

Night
No Light/Deck
$\mathrm{n}=178$
$\mathrm{D}=0.62 \mathrm{MPH}$ Not Significant

No Light/
Revolving

Deck/
Revolving
$\mathrm{n}=179$
$\mathrm{D}=1.29 \mathrm{MPH}$ Significant
$\mathrm{n}=179 \quad$ Not Tested
n = Sample Size
D = Difference between average speeds for l5-minute intervals, i.e., $D=\bar{X}_{1}-\bar{X}_{2}$ MPH = Miles Per Hour

TABLE XI
LACE BOULEVARD OVERCROSSING
Mean Difference Between Average Speeds for Comparative Vehicles by Type of Lighting - Westbound

| Time of Day | Comparative Vehicles | Site Speeds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Type of Lighting |  |
| Afternoon | Black \& White/ Orange Pickup | Light Off | No Data |
|  |  | Revolving Light | $\begin{aligned} & n=156 \\ & D=1.34 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Significant } \end{aligned}$ |
| Evening | Black \& White/ Orange Pickup | Light off | $\begin{aligned} & n=174 \\ & D=0.50 \text { MPH } \\ & \text { Not Significant } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Revolving Light | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=176 \\ & \mathrm{D}-0.12 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Not Significant } \end{aligned}$ |
| Night | Black \& White/ Orange Pickup | Light off | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=174 \\ & \mathrm{D}=0.80 \mathrm{MPH} \\ & \text { Not Significant } \end{aligned}$ |

Test Site Speeds
Time of Day $\begin{gathered}\begin{array}{c}\text { Comparative } \\ \text { Vehicles }\end{array} \\ \text { Type of Lighting }\end{gathered}$

Afternoon
Black \& White/
Orange Pickup
Light off
Revolving Light
$n=347$
$D=-1.15 \mathrm{MPH}$ Significant

Evening
Light Off
$n=177$

Orange Pickup

Revolving Light
$D=2.36 \mathrm{MPH}$ Significant
$n=176$
$\mathrm{D}=0.00 \mathrm{MPH}$ Not Significant

Night

Black \& White/ Light Off Orange Pickup
$n=218$ D - 0.73 MPH Not Significant

```
    n - Sample Size
    D = Difference between average speeds for
        l5-minute intervals, i.e., D - X ( - X 
MPH = Miles Per Hour
```

Heavy Volume - Elvas Avenue Underpass. The presentation of the analysis of the data collected from the four study days on the Elvas Freeway is slightly different from the presentations for the other three study sites. Because of the traffic volumes and special problems present on the Elvas, additional information was sought and analyzed for the Division of Highways. This additional analysis dealt with the speed density relationships of the traffic movement under heavy traffic conditions. Volume counts, lane changes, and radar speed data were collected for all four surveys. Aerial photographs were taken during the first three surveys when a test vehicle was present. There was no test vehicle on July 31, 1969, the fourth survey. Traffic volumes, radar speeds, and densities are analyzed in this section.

Volume counts in vehicles per hour are plotted by time of day for the "A" Street and Southern Pacific Overcrossing locations. Thése counts are shown in Figures 13 through 20, Annex F .

Volumes were very heavy and frequently reached or exceeded design capacity of 6,000 vehicles per hour between the peak period of 4:45 p.m. to 5:00 p.m.*

[^2]Total estimated volume counts for 3:30 p.m. to 6:05 p.m. include estimated volumes for nondata collection periods. The observed volumes were expanded to include noncollection periods. The estimation is subject to some error as volumes change rapidly at the Elvas site during peak hour traffic.

The radar speed data analysis considers the effect of volume on speeds at this site. There is a highly correlated speedvolume relationship which is demonstrated by Figure 21. As volumes increase, speeds tend to decrease. It was necessary to remove the effect of volumes before speeds could be analyzed.

Average speeds for each two and one-half minutes were plotted by corresponding volumes. There is a speed-volume plot for each survey for all radar collection sites. Since there is a curvelinear relationship between speed-volume, a parabolic curve is fitted to the data points. The purpose of the parabola is to remove the effect of volumes and permit analysis of speeds. The theoretical curve is calculated from speeds and volumes data by least squares regression.

FIGURE 21
ELVAS AVENUE - SPEEDS AND VOLUMES


The speed volume plots are shown in Figures 22 through 33, Annex F. Statistical methodology is discussed in Annex B.

For analysis purposes, the plotted speed data points were compared to the theoretical point on the curye to determine the amount of variation from the curve. The sum of the variations (differences) was then used to compute the average difference and variance for Student t test comparisons. The methodology is described in Annex B.

It is not possible to compare radar speeds for effect by vehicle type. The differences in the speed-volume traffic distributions for each of the surveys are so great that data cannot be effectively standardized. When examining the speed volume plots, Figures 22-33 of Annex $F$, we find that no two parabolic curves are comparable. For this reason, statistical tests of significance are for effect of lighting only.

The average differences in speeds between the light-off and light-on tests were compared by the Student $t$ test. The results are shown in Table XII on page T-73 for each survey date by individual radar sites. None of the differences is large enough for a statistical difference at $\alpha=.05$. These results indicate that the lighting had no effect on
speed at this survey site. The results appear to be logical when other factors are considered, i.e., bright sun, heavy volumes and unknown and/or unmeasurable variables. The lighting is less visible during bright daylight hours.

When traffic volumes near, reach, or exceed capacity, mathematical calculations are less stable and tend to degenerate. Since capacity was reached or exceeded frequently at Elvas, it is difficult to treat the collected data statistically.

Density is analysed by hour of day and speed, specifically for the Division of Highways. Density figures are for all three eastbound lanes and are expressed as the number of vehicles per mile.*

1. Density by Hour of Day. The density recorded in each aerial photograph is plotted by hour of day. Figures 34, 35, and 36 , Annex $F$, show density for the survey dates, July 17, 23, and 29.
[^3]Density begins increasing about $4: 30$ p.m. with the onset of afternoon commuter traffic and peaks within $15-20$ minutes. The highest measure of density occurred on July 17 when the black and white enforcement vehicle was tested.
2. Speed vs Density. Figures 37, 38, 39, and 40, Annex F, are graphs of speed vs density. Average pretest and test site speeds are plotted on the $Y$ (vertical) axis and density is on the $X$ (horizontal) axis. Densities for July 17, 23 , and 29 , are expanded from aerial photographs. Density for July 31 is calculated from speeds and volumes recorded at the Southern Pacific Overcrossing. A straight line is fitted to the data points by least squares regression. The calculations are explained in Annex $C$.

The purpose of the graph is to determine the difference in density for a given radar speed. An example of the difference is shown in Figure 37 , Annex $F$, for the July 17 survey. Holding speed constant at 50 MPH , density is about 130 vehicles (for all three lanes) at the pretest site and 92 at the test site.

Although it is technically possible to calculate volumes per hour from the data points on the graph, it is not
feasible to do so. Error results from using total roadway density with Southern Pacific Overcrossing speeds. Total density tends to average the amount of space between vehicles and may understate or overstate density at a specific location for a given time. This is particularly true for the speed-density on July 17 when there was considerable variability between Levee and Southern Pacific Overcrossing speeds. There was less variation for subsequent surveys.

Part of the difference between densities may be due to roadway characteristics. It is possible that such a difference occurs at greater speeds and diminishes as speeds decrease. Also, as congestion increases it may be impossible to measure a difference which actually exists. Density for the vehicle test on July 31 is estimated in an attempt to identify roadway characteristic differences.

Figures 37 through 39 , Annex $F$, indicate that initially the pretest site speeds are greater than test site speeds for a given density. The amount of difference diminishes as density increases and speeds decrease. The speed regression lines on all graphs cross near the point of maximum density. When maximum density is reached on this roadway, vehicles
are usually in a queueing state. This may prevent detection of differences in speeds, density, or volumes which result from an incident, test situation or roadway characteristics.

Speed vs density is shown by type of test vehicle only. A trial plot of the data points indicated no measured difference between those for the light-off, light-on tests. The figures are explained by type of vehicle.

Black and white enforcement vehicle, 7/17/69. Figure 37, Annex F. If a given speed is held constant, there is a noticeable difference between densities for the pretest and test site speeds during light traffic flow. The amount of difference diminishes as speeds decrease and density increases. The densities at the pretest site are greater than those at the test site until the regression lines meet at a density of approximately 220 vehicles per mile, all lanes. At this point, traffic becomes so congested that it is no longer possible to detect differences.

Yellow tow service truck, 7/23/69, Figure 38, Annex F. Test site density is less than pretest density until a
density of about 230 vehicles per mile (all lanes) is reached. The difference between densities for a given speed is less than for the black and white vehicle.

Division of Highways orange maintenance pickup, 7/29/69, Figure 39, Annex F. The difference between reduced densities is less than that for the previous surveys. The comparative speeds are the same when density reaches approximately 150 vehicles per mile, all lanes. Average speeds were greater than those measured for the prior surveys.

The regression line of test site speeds on density for this survey was computed without the traffic transition data points. The traffic transition from high speedlow volumes to low speeds-high volumes occurs within a few minutes and it is difficult to treat these points statistically.

No test vehicle, $7 / 31 / 69$, Figure 40 , Annex F. Density is estimated from speed and volume data since aerial photographs were not taken for this survey. Care should be exercised in comparing densities from aerial photographs and those estimated from other data.

Recorded density for aerial photographs is for a portion of the test road and by one to one and one-half minute intervals. The figures are expanded to express vehicles per mile. Estimated density per mile is calculated from Southern Pacific Overcrossing volumes and pretest, test site speeds are by two and one-half. minute intervals. This results in a greater averaging of the data and reduces variation between speedsvolumes.

Density is slightly greater at the pretest site until the regression lines meet at a density of about 140 vehicles per mile. This reduction in density may result from roadway characteristics or another unidentified variable which diminishes with increased density. The difference between the regression lines appears comparable to that of the $7 / 29 / 69$ pickup test survey. It is possible that density differences for 7/29/69 are attributable to roadway characteristics rather than to the presence of the vehicle. A portion of the differences for the other two surveys may also be affected by roadway characteristics.

## ELVAS AVENUE UNDERPASS

Average Difference Between Observed and Expected Speeds for Light Off vs Light On Tests for Each Survey by Position of Radar

Southern Pacific
Overcrossing
Date
7-17-69

7-23-69
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}=0.07$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}=-0.75$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}=0.44$
$t=+0.12$
$t=-0.76$
$t=+0.37$
$D F=18$
$\mathrm{DF}=22$
$D F=21$
Not Significant
Not Significant Not Significant

| 7-29-69 | $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=-0.23$ | $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=0.83$ | $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=0.98$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{t}=-0.2049$ | $\mathrm{t}=1.70$ | $\mathrm{t}=+0.88$ |  |
| $\mathrm{DF}=22$ | $\mathrm{DF}=22$ | $\mathrm{DF}=18$ |  |
| Not Significant | Not Significant | Not Significant |  |

7-21-69
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}=-0.126$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}=1.41$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}=-0.90$
$t=-0,12$
$t=1.46$
$t=-0.7784$
$D F=17$
$\mathrm{DF}=25$
$D F=22$
Not Significant
Not Significant
Not Significant

```
\(\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\) Average observed - expected light off speeds minus observed - expected light on speeds
\(t=C a l c u l a t e d\) value by Student \(t\) test
\(D F=\) Degrees of freedom
```

Design of Study. The study design resulted in certain Iimitations:

1. Selection of roadways in or near Sacramento, California.
2. Three types of paved roads; two-lane undivided, four-lane divided, and six-lane divided.
3. Hot and humid summer weather conditions.
4. Afternoon, evening, and night traffic.
5. Sunday surveys at three locations, peak hour commuter traffic weekdays at one location.

These delimitations do not necessarily result in biases, however, they must be considered for predictive purposes. Traffic conditions on a six-lane, divided roadway in Sacramento may be quite different from a ten-lane, divided road in Los Angeles. (It was felt that the hot summer weather would effect traffic less than the wet winter weather). Surveys were conducted on Sundays so that traffic volumes would be maximized.

Data Collection. Data were collected according to a centrally coordinated predetermined schedule.

Personnel. Survey personnel consisted of professional traffic count teams and research analysts. Personnel were oriented prior to the surveys and furnished printed time schedules. Crew members were provided breaks throughout the surveys and fatigue did not appear to be a factor.

## Equipment

Radars and Graphic Recorders. Radar speed measuring devices and graphic recorders were calibrated prior to each data collection period and cross-checked at the calibration speed. In addition, the traffic observer drove by the radar site and the speedometer reading was compared to radar and recorder readings. This was done to check the angle of the radar head and ensure that calibrated speeds were true speeds.

A complete set of speed data are not available due to equipment failure. Radar speeds for the black and white vehicle, no-light and deck light test were not secured for the light and medium volume surveys.

When failures occurred at the main pretest or test sites, data collection was suspended on the opposite side of the road and equipment transferred.

Aerial photography. Since the photographs were not automatically timed, it was necessary to estimate actual times. This was done by detecting unusual events in the photographs and assigning the actual time recorded from other sources. There is some error caused by estimating the times for the intervening photographs. However, the aircraft tended to pass over the test site by constant time increments and the timing error would probably be less than a minute. Average speeds for speed vs density comparisons were by two and one-half minute increments and data matching is probably fairly accurate.

Illegible and incomplete photos were not reduced. Generally these were less than $2 \%$ except for the Mace Boulevard site.

Data Reduction
Radar speeds. Since there were tremendous volumes of data, several individuals were needed to reduce the raw data. Figure 41 on page $T-80$ is a reproduction of actual speed recordings which were used.

Each point on the graph represented the recorded speed for a vehicle. The columns on the graphs were readings for either five or ten second intervals. The data points were averaged to the nearest whole mile per hour for each column.

The average speeds and variances for each two and one-half and five minute interval was then computed. Calculations were to three decimal places and rounded to two places.

There were periodic checks of the reduced data to determine consistency of results by various personnel. The results were very comparable and averages probably varied less than one-tenth mile per hour.

Aerial Photographs. All photographs were reduced by the same personnel. A recheck of selected frames indicated that the counts were accurate about $99 \%$ of the time.

Data Analysis.
Standard statistical formulae were used. 6 Calculations for average speeds and variances were rounded to two decimal places. Student $t, F$ tests, correlation coefficient and regression equations were calculated to six decimal places and rounded to two places.

Quality Control.
Data from the various sources were cross-checked to determine reliability. For example, density was estimated from
${ }^{6}$ Source of formulae, Edward C. Bryant, Statistical Analysis,
(New York: McGraw Hill Book Company, $\frac{1966, ~ p p ~ 321 .)}{l}$
radar speeds and volume counts and then compared to actual density.

There was a systematic variance at two sites which appeared to result from roadway characteristics. These variances and subsequent adjustments are described in Annex $D$.

FIGURE 41


STATISTICAL METHODOLOGY
EL CENTRO, FOOTHILL FARMS, MACE

Average Speeds and Variances. Speeds were averaged from the raw data for each fifteen-minute test interval and variances were computed.

These formulae were used:

$$
\text { Average speed }=\bar{X}
$$

and $\bar{X}=\frac{1}{N} \sum X_{i} \quad i=1$ through $N$
where $X=$ vehicle speeds
$N=$ number of intervals or frequencies

Variance $=S^{2}$
$S^{2}=\frac{N \sum X^{2}-\left(\sum X\right)^{2}}{N(N-1)}$

Hypothesis of Testing for Significance. A null hypothesis was used to test that the comparative speeds are not different. The alternative hypothesis $\left(H_{a}\right)$ was that the comparative speeds are different. This may be expressed mathematically:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}: \overline{\mathrm{x}}_{1}=\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{2} \\
& \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}: \overline{\mathrm{X}}_{1} \neq \overline{\mathrm{x}}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $H_{0}=$ Null Hypothesis
$H_{a}=$ Alternate Hypothesis
$\bar{X}_{1}=$ Average Speed for one test interval
$\bar{X}_{2}=$ Average Speed for second comparative test
$s_{1}^{2}=$ Variance of speeds for $\bar{X}_{1}$
$\mathrm{s}_{2}^{2}=$ Variance of speeds for $\bar{X}_{2}$

The speed for each fifteen-minute interval was compared to determine whether the test situation (type of vehicle and lighting) effected driver behavior. Speeds were tested by the Student t test for significant differences. Variances were tested by the $F$ test. All statistical tests were at an $\alpha=.05$ level of significance, two tail tests.

Comparison of Variances by F Test. The variances were compared by the $F$ test. If the resulting ratio fell within acceptable statistical limits, the variances were considered to be of the same population. The average speeds for these variances were tested by Student $t$ formula.

F test formula:
$F=S_{L}^{2} / S_{s}^{2}$ with $\left(n_{L}-1\right)$ and $\left(n_{s}-1\right) d f$
Where $S_{L}^{2}=$ the larger of the two variances,
and $S_{S}=$ the smaller of the two variances.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{L}=\text { Sample size of largest variance } \\
& n_{S}=\text { Sample size of smallest variance }
\end{aligned}
$$

The degrees of freedom (cf) corresponding to the variance are used in determining the value for rejection of equality.

Comparison of Average Speeds by Student $t$ Test. Each fifteen-minute test interval represented one unique test situation. The speed for each test situation was tested by the Student $t$ test. This test was used consistently, although some of the samples are of sufficient size to use the $Z$ test for standard scores.

Formula, Student $t$ test, variances of the same population:

$$
\begin{aligned}
t= & \frac{\bar{X}_{1}-\bar{X}_{2}}{\operatorname{Sp}\left[\left(1 / n_{1}+1 / n_{2}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
& \text { Where } S p=\left[\frac{S_{1}^{2}\left(n_{1}-1\right)+S_{2}^{2}\left(n_{2}-1\right)}{\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)-2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and subscript 1 denotes sample 1 and subscript 2 , sample 2.

The rejection criterion is for a two tail test.

Calculated $t$ equals or exceeds $\pm{ }^{t} \alpha / 2\left(n_{1}+n_{2}-2 d f\right)$

Modified Student $t$, variances not from the same population:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{1}-\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{2}}{\left[\mathrm{~S}_{\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{1}}^{2}+\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{2}}^{2}\right]} \\
& \text { Where } s_{\bar{X}_{1}}^{2}=\frac{s^{2}}{N_{1}} \text { and } S_{\bar{X}_{2}}^{2}=\frac{s^{2}}{N_{2}} \\
& \text { and calculating for degrees of freedom } \\
& {\left[\frac{\left(S_{\bar{X}_{1}}^{2}+S_{\bar{X}_{2}}^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left[\left(S_{\bar{X}_{1}}^{2}\right)^{2} /\left(n_{1}+1\right)\right]+\left[\left(S_{\bar{X}_{2}}^{2}\right)^{2} /\left(n_{2}+1\right)\right]}\right]-\begin{array}{l}
-2 \text { degrees } \\
\text { of freedom }
\end{array}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The rejection criterion is for a two tail test, calculated $t$ equals or exceeds $\pm t^{t}(X / 2$ (calculated degrees of freedom).

## STATISTICAL METHODOLOGY

 ELVAS AVENUE
## Radar Speeds

Average speeds and variances. Speeds and variances were computed for two and one-half and five-minute intervals by standard formulae described previously.

Standardization of data. The average speed for each two and one-half minute interval was plotted by corresponding volume of vehicles per hour (VPH). A parabolic curve was fitted to the data points by least squares regression. The theoretical curve provides a measure of expected speed if the effect of volume is removed.

Formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V} *=\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{BS}+\mathrm{CS}^{2} \\
& \text { Where } \mathrm{V} *=\text { estimated volume } \\
& \mathrm{S}=\text { recorded average speeds }
\end{aligned}
$$

The maximum V * was set at road design capacity of $6,000 \mathrm{VPH}$ and the constants were obtained by least squares. Theoretical speeds were then substituted for $S$ to determine estimated volume (V*) for that speed.

The theoretical speed-volume data points were plotted and the parabolic curve drawn.

Speed volumes were averaged by five-minute increments to partially remove the effect of extreme values. However, some of the data points which occurred during the high speedlow volume to low speed-high volume transition were so extreme that they could not be explained or treated statistically. It was necessary to remove some of these data prior to statistical testing. The transition period occurred within about five minutes and only one or two data points were actually removed.

The averaging by five-minute increments resulted in twelve degrees of freedom possible for each lighting condition, 24 for both light-on, light-off tests. (Four test intervals x 15 minutes each $:$ five-minute intervals $=12$ degrees of freedom.)

Testing for significant differences. The average differences between actual and theoretical speeds for lightoff and light-on tests were compared by the Student $t$ test.

Formula:

$$
t=\frac{D_{1}-\bar{D}_{2}}{\left[\frac{s_{1}^{2}\left(n_{1}-1\right)+s_{2}^{2}\left(n_{2}-1\right)}{n_{1}+n_{2}-2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\frac{1}{n_{1}}+\frac{1}{n}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{D}_{2}=\text { average difference for light-off test } \\
& s_{1}^{2}=\text { variance for } \bar{D}_{1} \\
& s_{2}^{2}=\text { variance for } \bar{D}_{2} \\
& n_{1}=\text { degrees of freedom for } \bar{D}_{1} \\
& n_{2}=\text { degrees of freedom for } \bar{D}_{2} \\
& \propto=.05, \text { two tail test }
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\bar{D}=\frac{\sum\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)}{n}$

Where $X_{1}=$ observed speeds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}_{2}=\text { expected speeds } \\
& \mathrm{n}=\text { degrees of freedom }
\end{aligned}
$$

Variances $s_{1}^{2}$ and $s_{2}^{2}$ were computed as follows:

$$
\frac{\sum\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{2}}{n-1}
$$

Testing procedure. The variances were compared by the F test prior to the Student test comparison. If the ratio was rejected at $X=.05$, the previously described modified Student t test formula was used.

$$
B-3
$$

The following procedure was used for each parabola:
Speeds-volumes averaged for each five-minute interval.

Observed average speeds compared with theoretical speeds and differences taken.

Differences summed, grand means and variances computed.

Variances for light-on, light-off tests compared, $\alpha=.05$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: s_{1}=s_{2} \\
& H_{a}: s_{1} \neq s_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The grand means of average differences for light-on, light-off tests compared by the Student $t$ test, $\alpha=.05$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}: \overline{\mathrm{D}}_{1}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{2} \\
& \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}: \overline{\mathrm{D}}_{1} \neq \overline{\mathrm{D}}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculated $t$ values were compared to Fisher's Statistical Table of $t$ values. Area of rejection for $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}: \mathrm{t} \leq-\mathrm{t} \quad \underset{2 / .05}{ }$ or $\geq{ }^{\mathrm{t}}{ }^{\alpha} 2 / .05$
B-4

ก

## DENSITY

Vehicles Per Mile. The number of vehicles counted in each photograph were expanded to express the number of vehicles per mile.

Vehicles Per Mile $=\frac{\text { Number of vehicles on test road }}{\text { Length of test road in } f t .75280}$

Linear Regression of Speed on Density. Speeds were plotted on the $Y$ axis by densities on the $X$ axis.

The regression line of $Y$ on $X$ was computed by the least squares method.

$$
Y_{i}=A+B X_{i}
$$

Where $i=1$ through $N$

The constants $A$ and $B$ are secured by simultaneously solving normal equations. The constants are then used in the formula to estimate speeds for various values of $X, i . e .$, densities.

Estimation of Density From Other Data, 7/31/69 Survey. The following relationships exist:

Volume (vehicles per hour) = Average speed $x$ density (vehicles per mile)

Since volume and average speeds are known, density may be calculated

$$
\text { Density }=\frac{\text { volume }}{\text { speed }}
$$

The Southern Pacific Overcrossing volume counts, pretest site, and test site speeds were used to estimate pretest and test site densities. The spceds werc then plotted by the estimated densities. The base data were by two and onehalf minute increments which partially eliminates the effect of extreme values.

Densities from the photographs are by one to one and onehalf minute increments and corresponding speeds are by two and one-half minute increments. The estimated measures are mathematically correct, but the rounding effect causes difficulty in comparing recorded with estimated density.

Density was estimated for the $7 / 23 / 69$ survey and regression lines calculated. Although considerable rounding of data occurred, the relationship of the pretest and test site regression lines indicated a greater difference in densities than for the 7/31/69 estimates.

ANNEX D

## RADAR SPEED ADJUSTMENT FOR ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

Both Mace Boulevard and Foothill Farms westbound radar speeds appeared affected by a roadway characteristic or some other unidentified factor. The term roadway characteristic is defined for this study as a variable, condition, or some other factor which affects traffic patterns. The factor may be an element (s) of roadway design, construction, environment or unknown. There are on and off ramps adjacent to the test roadway at both locations. These ramps may have caused or contributed to a speed reduction.

Mace Boulevard test site speeds appear reduced from expected speeds by about 2.97 MPH and Foothill Farms pretest site speeds by about 1.49 MPH . These amounts were considered as constants and added to or subtracted from average speeds prior to statistical analysis.

The characteristic difference was determined by computing the average speed and variance for two 15 -minute test intervals. These intervals were from 6:13 p.m.-6:28 p.m. and 6:29 a.m. $-6: 44 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. when there was no test vehicle on the westbound side. The pickup test vehicle was located on the eastbound side of the road during this period. It was felt that the pickup on the opposite side of the road during
daylight hours would effect traffic least and the average difference in pretest, test site specds could be attributed to roadway characteristics.

The speeds for the two intervals were tested by the Student $t$ test at $X=.05$ to determine whether the pickup light had an effect on westbound traffic.

There was no statistical difference between the light-on, light-off pretest and test site speeds at Foothill Farms. The pretest traffic was approaching at a statistically greater speed during the light-off period at Mace Boulevard yet there is no difference at the test site. This infers that the pickup light had no effect on traffic speeds at either location.

Three methods were considered to determine and remove the effects of roadway characteristcs. The third method was used to adjust the speeds.

1. (Speed, test site) $=A+B \cdot($ Speed, pretest site) The values of $A$ and $B$ were secured by simultaneous equations. This formula yields a very small B value and large A value. Application of this adjustment
to the speed distribution causes considerable rounding and tends to distort less than average values.
2. (Speed, test site) $=A+B \cdot($ Speed, pretest site) and assuming that $\mathrm{A}=0$.

A was set to zero and B computed as a ratio. This method is fairly satisfactory but tends to affect extreme values more than is desirable.
3. (Speed, test site) $=A+B \cdot($ Speed, pretest site) and assuming that $B=1, \bar{X}_{1}=$ Pretest Speed and $\bar{X}_{2}=$ Test Site Speed. Solving for $A$, the difference in speed is merely $\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{1}-\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{2}$. This amount is either subtracted from $\bar{X}_{2}$ or added to $\bar{X}_{1}$. This method is simple to compute, has a lesser effect on extreme values and yields average results comparable to method \#2.

The adjustment factors were calculated as follows:

1. Foothill Farms
$S_{T}=A+B \cdot S_{P}$
Where $S_{T}=\underset{\substack{\text { Average } \\ \text { period }}}{\text { speed at test site for } 30-\text { minute }}$ $A=$ Constant increment to be determined

$$
B=1
$$

$$
S_{p}=\begin{aligned}
& \text { Average speed at pretest site for } 30 \text {-minute } \\
& \\
& \text { period. }
\end{aligned}
$$

and substituting with actual values

$$
\begin{aligned}
62.13 & =A+1 \cdot 60.64 \\
62.13 & =A+60.64 \\
-A & =60.64-62.13 \\
A & =1.49
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the pretest site speed is 1.49 MPH less than the test site speed when speeds should be approximately equal, this constant amount is added to the pretest speeds.
2. Mace Boulevard (Using same formula)
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B} \cdot \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{P}}$
$60.23=\mathrm{A}+1 \cdot 63.20$
$60.23=\mathrm{A}+63.20$

$$
\begin{aligned}
-A & =63.20-60.23 \\
A & =-2.97
\end{aligned}
$$

Since test site speeds appear continuously depressed, this constant is added to average test site speeds.
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A. The headings for the Tables XIV through XXII are defined as follows:
$\overline{\mathrm{X}}=$ mean speed
S - standard deviation
$N=$ number of speed intervals
$I=$ speed interval time in seconds
B. Within the table:

$$
N A=\text { data not available }
$$

C. Site indication on the tables refer to locations as shown on the maps of Annex $E$.

TABLE XIV
traffic speed data（By Radar）
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { July 20，} 1969 & \text { El－Centro Road } \\ \text { 3：00 pm Thru } & 10: 57 & \text { pm }\end{array}$

|  | Site A－At Site Southbound |  |  |  | Site B－At Site Northbound |  |  |  | Site C－Presite Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Period | X | S | $\underline{N}$ | I | X | S | N | I | X | S | N | I |
| 1500－1502 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 53.00 | 2.94 | 3 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | NA |  |  |  |
| 1502 $\frac{1}{2}$－1505 | 53.57 | 2.47 | 7 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | NA |  |  |  |
| 1505－1507 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 53.50 | 3.04 | 6 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | NA |  |  |  |
| 1507 $\frac{1}{2}$－1510 | 59.00 | 5.24 | 4 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | NA |  |  |  |
| 1510－1512 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 56.14 | 3.91 | 7 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | NA |  |  |  |
| 1512 $\frac{1}{2}$－1515 | 55.11 | 5.19 | 9 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | NA |  |  |  |
| 1516－1518 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 54.20 | 4.96 | 5 | 10 | na |  |  |  | NA |  |  |  |
| 1518 $\frac{1}{2}$－1521 | 53.75 | 5.49 | 8 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | NA |  |  |  |
| 1521－1523娄 | 56.00 | 2.00 | 2 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | NA |  |  |  |
| 1523立－1526 | 55.71 | 5.38 | 7 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | NA |  |  |  |
| 1526－152815 | 50.60 | 6.86 | 5 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | NA |  |  |  |
| 1528 $1_{2}$－1531 | 53.40 | 2.80 | 5 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | NA |  |  |  |
| 1536－1538 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 47.00 | 3.00 | 2 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 58.54 | 5.33 | 13 | 10 |
| 1538 $\frac{1}{2}$－1541 | 48.50 | 4.89 | 6 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 57.50 | 5.82 | 6 | 10 |
| 1541－1543年 | 51.71 | 5.46 | 7 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 54.00 | 4.65 | 10 | 10 |
| 1543娄－1546 | 50.56 | 3.02 | 9 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 55.75 | 1.09 | 8 | 10 |
| 1546－1548 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 47.29 | 2.94 | 7 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 56.37 | 4.77 | 8 | 10 |
| 1548 $\frac{1}{2}$－1551 | 47.83 | 3.93 | 6 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 48.83 | 3.76 | 6 | 10 |
| 1611－1613年 | 57.50 | 8.98 | 6 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 60.00 | 3.03 | 5 | 10 |
| 1613t－1616 | 59.29 | 4.53 | 7 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 57.25 | 3.96 | 4 | 10 |
| 1616－1618 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 59.00 | 4.90 | 5 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 56.12 | 4.41 | 8 | 10 |
| 1618 $\frac{1}{2}$－1621 | 57.00 | 5.10 | 5 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 51.29 | 3.30 | 7 | 10 |
| 1621－1623年 | 59.50 | 3.84 | 4 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 60.50 | 0.50 | 2 | 10 |
| 1623年－1626 | 58.33 | 4.31 | 6 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 55.20 | 3.37 | 5 | 10 |
| 1627－1629 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 58.00 | 4.88 | 9 | 10 |  |  |  |  | 55.33 | 5.61 | 9 | 10 |
| 1629交－1632 | 58.00 | 1.00 | 2 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 58.33 | 3.41 | 6 | 10 |
| 1632－1634 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 56.50 | 7.35 | 8 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 54.83 | 6.47 | 6 | 10 |
| 1634 $\frac{1}{2}$－1637 | 56.67 | 3.03 | 3 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 52.75 | 2.59 | 4 | 10 |
| 1637－16391 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 57.67 | 4.60 | 3 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 57.67 | 3.03 | 3 | 10 |
| 1639 $\frac{1}{2}$－1642 | 57.71 | 4.02 | 7 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 56.27 | 5.87 | 11 | 10 |
| 1702－1704 $\frac{1}{2}$ | NA |  |  |  | 49.50 | 4.86 | 6 | 10 | 57.40 | 6.93 | 15 | 10 |
| 1704 $\frac{1}{2}$－1707 | NA |  |  |  | 47.20 | 4.96 | 10 | 10 | 59.11 | 7.02 | 9 | 10 |
| $1707-1709 \frac{1}{2}$ | NA |  |  |  | 50.83 | 2.19 | 6 | 10 | 55.00 | 6.37 | 10 | 10 |
| 1709 $\frac{1}{2}$－1712 | NA |  |  |  | 50.33 | 5.22 | 6 | 10 | 54.25 | 3.67 | 8 | 10 |
| 1712－17141／2 | NA |  |  |  | 48.80 | 2.14 | 5 | 10 | 55.67 | 9.67 | 12 | 10 |
| 1714\％$\frac{1}{2}$－1717 | NA |  |  |  | 45.67 | 3.99 | 6 | 10 | 54.60 | 6.15 | 10 | 10 |
| 1718－17201 | NA |  |  |  | 49.82 | 2.29 | 11 | 10 | 56.50 | 4.57 | 10 | 10 |
| 172012－1723． | NA |  |  |  | 46.75 | 3.90 | 4 | 10 | 55.89 | 4.76 | 9 | 10 |
| 1723－1725 $\frac{1}{2}$ | NA |  |  |  | 52.67 | 4.19 | 6 | 10 | $57.00{ }^{-}$ | 4.69 | 10. | 10 |
| 1725 $\frac{1}{2}$－1728 | NA |  |  |  | 52.70 | 6.02 | 10 | 10 | 54.70 | 6.13 | 10 | 10 |
| 1728－1730 $\frac{1}{2}$ | NA |  |  |  | 49.50 | 2.63 | 6 | 10. | 55.09 | 7.31 | 11 | 10 |
| 1730 $1_{2}-1733$ | NA |  |  |  | 52.00 | 7.30 | 8 | 10 | 55.50 | 4.72 | 10 | 10 |
| 1738－17401／ | NA |  | ， |  | 51.43 | 3.18 | 7 | 10 | 60.00 | 6.70 | 9 | 10 |
| 174012－1743 | NA |  |  |  | 49.00 | 7.01 | 5 | 10 | 59.13 | 4.56 | 8 | 10 |
| 1743－1745 $\frac{1}{2}$ | NA |  |  |  | 49.55 | 5.46 | 11 | 10 | 54.50 | 6.58 | 8 | 10 |
| 174512－1748 | NA |  |  |  | 50.00 | 4.74 | 4 | 10 | 60.78 | 6.46 | 9 | 10 |
| 1748－1750 | NA |  |  |  | 54.63 | 5.43 | 8 | 10 | 60.83 | 3.86 | 6 | 10 |
| 1750k－1753 | NA： |  |  |  | 54.00 | 6.19 | 7 | 10 | 59.57 | 7.16 | 7 | 10 |

TABLE XIV Cont.
E1 Centro Road cont.
July 20, 1969 3:00 pm Thru 10:57 pm

|  | Southbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | South |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Period | X | $\xrightarrow{\text { S }}$ | $\underline{N}$ | I | X | 3 | $\underline{N}$ | 1 | X | S | $\underline{N}$ | $\underline{1}$ |
| 1813-1815 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | NA |  |  |  | 52.30 | 4.10 | 10 | 10 | 60.00 | 2.35 | 8 | 10 |
| 1815 $\frac{1}{2}$-1818 | na |  |  |  | 59.86 | 6.10 | 7 | 10 | 57.40 | 5.85 | 10 | 10 |
| 1818-1820 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | NA |  |  |  | 53.38 | 3.87 | 8 | 10 | 62.20 | 2.14 | 5 | 10 |
| 1820 $\frac{1}{2}$-1823 | NA |  |  |  | 57.50 | 2.36 | 6 | 10 | 57.67 | 4.81 | 12 | 10 |
| 1823-1825 $\frac{1}{2}$ | NA |  |  |  | 56.09 | 4.77 | 11 | 10 | 57.00 | 5.77 | 11 | 10 |
| 1825 $\frac{1}{2}$-1828 | NA |  |  |  | 56.46 | 3.50 | 13 | 10 | 61.67 | 5.55 | 6 | 10 |
| 1829-1831 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | NA |  |  |  | 56.63 | 4.17 | 8 | 10 | 55.63 | 7.43 | 8 | 10 |
| 1831 $\frac{1}{2}$-1834 | NA |  |  |  | 51.33 | 5.50 | 6 | 10 | 62.63 | 5.71 | 8 | 10 |
| $1834-1836 \frac{1}{2}$ | NA |  |  |  | 52.67 | 4.01 | 9 | 10 | 57.13 | 4.85 | 8 | 10 |
| 1836 $\frac{1}{2}$-1839 | NA |  |  |  | 51.40 | 2.65 | 5 | 10 | 57.00 | 7.70 | 6 | 10 |
| 1839-1841娄 | NA |  |  |  | 54.33 | 5.69 | 9 | 10 | 55.56 | 7.11 | 9 | 10 |
| 1841 $\frac{1}{2}$-1844 | NA |  |  |  | 54.30 | 3.32 | 10 | 10 | 59.00 | 2.10 | 5 | 10 |
| 2115-2117 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 50.75 | 4.68 | 8 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 53.80 | 3.89 | 10 | 10 |
| 2117 $\frac{1}{2}$-2120 | 54.33 | 10.63 | 3 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 56.22 | 6.66 | 9 | 10 |
| 2120-2122 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 53.67 | 4.86 | 9 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 53.43 | 9.04 | 7 | 10 |
| 2122 $\frac{1}{2}$-2125 | 57.13 | 6.43 | 8 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 52.44 | 4.06 | 9 | 10 |
| 2125-2127 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 52.00 | 5.37 | 9 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 52.50 | 5.14 | 12 | 10 |
|  | 49.71 | 3.6 , | 7 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 54.20 | 4.40 | 5 | 10 |
| 2131-2133 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 50.29 | 8.44 | 7 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 53.67 | 2.13 | 9 | 10 |
| 213312-2136 | 45.67 | 2.43 | 6 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 54.00 | 0.82 | 3 | 10 |
| 2136-2138 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 46.67 | 5.28 | 3 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 55.00 | 3.46 | 12 | 10 |
| 2138 $\frac{1}{2}$-2141 | 47.00 | 3.51 | 6 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 54.38 | 6.72 | 8 | 10 |
| 2141-21431 | 43.50 | 1.71 | 6 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 53.30 | 3.47 | 10 | 10 |
| 2143年-2146 | 47.33 | 4.75 | 3 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 61.75 | 5.26 | 4 | 10 |
| 2151-21531 | 43.50 | 3.35 | 4 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 56.00 | 5.89 | 8 | 10 |
| 2153 $\frac{1}{2}$-2156 | 47.29 | 3.22 | 7 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 55.27 | 5.22 | 11 | 10 |
| 2156-215812 | 52.50 | 3.57 | 4 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 53.60 | 4.36 | 10 | 10 |
| 2158 $\frac{1}{2}$-2201 | 42.25 | 2.28 | 4 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 53.57 | 4.97 | 7 | 10 |
| 2201-22031 | 52.00 | . 00 | 1 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 55.42 | 6.45 | 12 | 10 |
| 2203 $\frac{1}{2}$-2206 | 43.50 | 2.18 | 4 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 51.67 | 8.24 | 3 | 10 |
| 2226-2228 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 51.00 | 2.28 | 5 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 49.40 | 4.76 | 5 | 10 |
| 22281-2231 | 50.20 | 5.95 | 10 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 52.08 | 6.95 | 12 | 10 |
| 2231-22331 | 53.75 | 3.15 | 8 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 56.10 | 5.22 | 10 | 10 |
| 22331 $\frac{1}{2}$-2236 | 51.00 | 1.90 | 5 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 59.00 | 3.24 | 8 | 10 |
| 2236-2238 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 51.40 | 1.62 | 5 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 53.42 | 7.24 | 12 | 10 |
| 2238 $\frac{1}{2}$-2241 | 52.50 | 5.02 | 6 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 58.89 | 2.05 | 9 | 10 |
| 2242-2244 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 47.00 | 2.12 | 4 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 60.67 | 7.22 | 6 | 10 |
| 2244 $\frac{1}{2}$-2247 | 50.00 | . 00 | 1 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 54.20 | 5.31 | 5 | 10 |
| 2247-22491 | 47.50 | 4.03 | 4 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 53.86 | 8.25 | 7 | 10 |
| 22493-2252 | 45.00 | 5.55 | 5 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 61.13 | 5.94 | 8 | 10 |
| 2252-2254 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 51.67 | 2.81 | 3 | 10 | NA | . |  |  | 64.50 | 7.41 | 6 | 10 |
| 2254 $\frac{1}{2}$-2257 | 48.50 | 4.39 | 4 | 10 | NA |  |  |  | 54.22 | 6.18 | 9 | 10 |

TRAFFIC SPEED DATA (By Radar)
Elvas Freeway - Outbound (East)
July 17,1969 3:30 pm Thru 6:05 pm

|  | Levee Radar Presite |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.P.O.C. Radar } \\ & \text { Presite } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { S.P.O.C. Radar } \\ \text { At Site } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Period | 8 | S | N | I | X | S | N | I | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | S | N | I |
| 1530-1532 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 53.80 | 2.93 | 10 | 5 | 59.87 | 3.06 | 30 | 5 | 53.37 | 5.09 | 30 | 5 |
| 1532 $\frac{1}{2}-1535$ | 54.43 | 4.40 | 30 | 5 | 60.30 | 3.03 | 30 | 5 | 54.31 | 4.87 | 29 | 5 |
| 1535-1537 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 53.22 | 3.21 | 27 | 5 | 59.61 | 3.53 | 18 | 5 | 55.63 | 2.43 | 30 | 5 |
| 1537 $\frac{1}{2}-1540$ | 52.58 | 4.03 | 26 | 5 | 56.43 | 2.97 | 30 | 5 | 55.12 | 3.64 | 25 | 5 |
| 1540-1542 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 51.73 | 2.89 | 30 | 5 | 58.31 | 2.39 | 29 | 5 | 56.13 | 2.68 | 30 | 5 |
| 1542立-1545 | 51.33 | 3.35 | 30 | 5 | 58.71 | 1.93 | 17 | 5 | 54.47 | 2.16 | 30 | 5 |
| 1550-1552 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 52.60 | 3.16 | 30 | 5 | 56.83 | 2.45 | 12 | 5 | 51.40 | 2.43 | 30 | 5 |
| 1552 $\frac{1}{2}-1555$ | 52.77 | 2.55 | 30 | 5 | 58.60 | 1.80 | 30 | 5 | 53.37 | 2.08 | 30 | 5 |
| 1555-1557 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 54.03 | 4.91 | 30 | 5 | 58.92 | 2.22 | 25 | 5 | 53.30 | 2.60 | 30 | 5 |
| 1557 $\frac{1}{2}-1600$ | 51.30 | 4.32 | 30 | 5 | 57.88 | 2.59 | 24 | 5 | 52.39 | 2.32 | 28 | 5 |
| 1600-1602 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 51.38 | 3.72 | 29 | 5 | 57.77 | 2.26 | 22 | 5 | 54.20 | 3.46 | 30 | 5 |
| 1602 $\frac{1}{2}$-1605 | 53.87 | 3.97 | 30 | 5 | 58.77 | 2.87 | 30 | 5 | 54.33 | 2.89 | 30 | 5 |
| 1610-1612 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 53.57 | 3.43 | 30 | 5 | 57.71 | 1.84 | 21 | 5 | 53.17 | 2.33 | 30 | 5 |
| 1612 $\frac{1}{2}-1615$ | 52.30 | 3.15 | 30 | 5 | 57.37 | 3.09 | 30 | 5 | 52.50 | 3.28 | 30 | 5 |
| 1615-1617 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 51.87 | 2.59 | 30 | 5 | 56.40 | 1.85 | 30 | 5 | 51.37 | 2.50 | 30 | 5 |
| 1617 $\frac{1}{2}-1620$ | 52.33 | 3.41 | 30 | 5 | 58.32 | 2.11 | 28 | 5 | 51.97 | 2.00 | 30 | 5 |
| 1620-1622 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 52.43 | 3.73 | 30 | 5 | 57.43 | 2.89 | 28 | 5 | 52.53 | 3.42 | 30 | 5 |
| 1622 $\frac{1}{2}$-1625 | 53.80 | 3.57 | 30 | 5 | 59.03 | 2.14 | 30 | 5 | 52.57 | 3.19 | 30 | 5 |
| 1630-1632 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 48.47 | 2.73 | 30 | 5 | 54.09 | 2.45 | 23 | 5 | 47.37 | 3.45 | 30 | 5 |
| 1632 $\frac{1}{2}-1635$ | 46.10 | 5.08 | 30 | 5 | 50.63 | 2.98 | 30 | 5 | 47.21 | 3.79 | 28 | 5 |
| 1635-1637 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 41.37 | 4.04 | 30 | 5 | 46.97 | 2.22 | 30 | 5 | 44.00 | 1.61 | 30 | 5 |
| 1637 $\frac{1}{2}$-1640 | 22.07 | 2.77 | 30 | 5 | 44.96 | 2.17 | 28 | 5 | 42.13 | 1.80 | 30 | 5 |
| 1640-1642 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 28.80 | 3.10 | 30 | 5 | 42.40 | 3.30 | 30 | 5 | 35.43 | 3.33 | 30 | 5 |
| 1642 $\frac{1}{2}-1645$ | 27.43 | 3.00 | 30 | 5 | 30.17 | 5.23 | 30 | 5 | 31.00 | 2.79 | 30 | 5 |
| 1650-1652 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 19.30 | 2.15 | 30 | 5 | 28.10 | 3.19 | 30 | 5 | 26.87 | 2.04 | 30 | 5 |
| 1652 $\frac{1}{2}-1655$ | 17.27 | 4.12 | 30 | 5 | 27.93 | 3.00 | 30 | 5 | 27.87 | 2.39 | 30 | 5 |
| 1655-1657 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 18.43 | 1.72 | 30 | 5 | 26.42 | 4.32 | 26 | 5 | 27.03 | 2.36 | 30 | 5 |
| 1657 $\frac{1}{2}-1700$ | 16.90 | 2.40 | 30 | 5 | 29.23 | 2.25 | 26 | 5 | 27.93 | 2.23 | 30 | 5 |
| 1700-1702 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 17.70 | 3.00 | 30 | 5 | 28.79 | 4.06 | 28 | 5 | 28.03 | 2.83 | 30 | 5 |
| 1702 $\frac{1}{2}$-1705 | 15.63 | 3.87 | 30 | 5 | 28.07 | 3.93 | 30 | 5 | 31.10 | 4.33 | 30 | 5 |
| 1710-1712 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 17.43 | 1.82 | 30 | 5 | 28.19 | 4.19 | 26 | 5 | 33.90 | 4.48 | 30 | 5 |
| 1712 $\frac{1}{2}-1715$ | 19.03 | 4.21 | 30 | 5 | 29.21 | 2.33 | 14 | 5 | 34.70 | 4.08 | 30 | 5 |
| 1715-1717 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 16.83 | 2.08 | 30 | 5 | 25.80 | 1.60 | 5 | 5 | 31.93 | 4.64 | 30 | 5 |
| 17172-1720 | 16.30 | 2.88 | 30 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 31.90 | 3.92 | 30 | 5 |
| 1720-1722 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 17.00 | 3.05 | 30 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 29.50 | 5.11 | 30 | 5 |
| 1722立-1725 | 18.23 | 4.61 | 30 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 31.67 | 2.20 | 12 | 5 |
| 1730-1732 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 16.67 | 3.83 | 30 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 32.03 | 4.42 | 30 | 5 |
| 17321-1735 | 19.93 | 2.38 | 30 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 35.13 | 4.25 | 30 | 5 |
| 1735-1737 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 18.93 | 2.26 | 30 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 35.77 | 2.51 | 30 | 5 |
| 1737 $\frac{1}{2}-1740$ | 37.30 | 14.23* | 30 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 39.80 | 4.59 | 30 | 5 |
| 1740-1742 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 49.17 | 3.07 | 30 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 42.83 | 2.28 | 18 | 5 |
| 174212-1745 | 49.20 | 3.06 | 30 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 51.17 | 2.19 | 12 | 5 |
| 1750-1752 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 41.93 | 3.15 | 30 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 47.47 | 1.89 | 30 | 5 |
| 1752 $\frac{1}{2}-1755$ | 49.43 | 5.38 | 30 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 50.30 | 5.33 | . 30 | 5 |
| 1755-1757 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 51.60 | 4.30 | 30 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 50.80 | 3.12 | 30 | 5 |
| 1757 $\frac{1}{2}-1800$ | 50.53 | 3.12 | 30 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 52.70 | 2.55 | 30 | 5 |
| 1800-1802 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 52.97 | 4.48 | 30 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 53.57 | 3.30 | 30 | 5 |
| 1802 $\frac{1}{2}-1805$ | 53.50 | 4.55 | 30 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 54.07 | 3.64 | 30 | 5 |

TRAFFIC SPEED DATA (By Radar)
Elvas Freeway - Outbound (East)
July 23, 1969 3:30 pm Thru 6:05 pm


TRAFFIC SPEED DATA (By Radar)
Elvas Freeway - Outbound (East)
July 29, 1969 3:30 pm Thru 6:05 pm

|  | Levee Radar Presite |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { S.P.O.C. Radar } \\ \text { Presite } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { S.P.O.C. Radar } \\ \text { At Site } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Period | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | S | N | I | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | $\underline{S}$ | N | I | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | S | N | I |
| 1530-1532 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 49.73 | 4.10 | 30 | 5 | 58.25 | 2.46 | 28 | 5 | 57.77 | 3.10 | 30 | 5 |
| 1532 $\frac{1}{2}-1535$ | 51.34 | 4.89 | 29 | 5 | 159.93 | 2.00 | 30 | 5 | 59.37 | 2.47 | 30 | 5 |
| 1535-15372 | 50.63 | 3.84 | 30 | 5 | 57.10 | 2.95 | 30 | 5 | 57.23 | 3.85 | 30 | 5 |
| 1537 $\frac{1}{2}-1540$ | 47.96 | 5.63 | 29 | 5 | 55.77 | 3.46 | 30 | 5 | 55.63 | 3.53 | 30 | 5 |
| 1540-1542 ${ }^{2}$ | 47.36 | 7.56 | 30 | 5 | 56.70 | 3.67 | 30 | 5 | 55.40 | 4.89 | 30 | 5 |
| 1542 $\frac{1}{2}$-1545 | 53.80 | 3.73 | 26 | 5 | 58.33 | 2.10 | 30 | 5 | 56.90 | 2.34 | 30 | 5 |
| 1550-1552 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 52.90 | 4.35 | 30 | 5 | 60.17 | 2.81 | 30 | 5 | 58.40 | 4.36 | 30 | 5 |
| 1552 $\frac{1}{2}-1555$ | 53.00 | 3.88 | 28 | 5 | 54.27 | 6.81 | 30 | 5 | 52.17 | 6.61 | 30 | 5 |
| 1555-1557 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 52.40 | 4.36 | 30 | 5 | 58.80 | 3.18 | 30 | 5 | 57.83 | 3.53 | 30 | 5 |
| 1557 $\frac{1}{2}$-1600 | 53.62 | 3.85 | 29 | 5 | 58.85 | 3.01 | 27 | 5 | 56.73 | 4.22 | 30 | 5 |
| 1600-1602 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 53.06 | 3.40 | 29 | 5 | 59.64 | 2.10 | 14 | 5 | 59.00 | 2.83 | 13 | 5 |
| 1602 $\frac{1}{2}-1605$ | 51.86 | 3.20 | 29 | 5 | 58.40 | 3.86 | 30 | 5 | 58.57 | 4.12 | 30 | 5 |
| 1610-1612 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 52.06 | 4.44 | 30 | 5 | 57.58 | 3.61 | 24 | 5 | 56.40 | 1.82 | 30 | 5 |
| 1612 $\frac{1}{2}-1615$ | 53.30 | 3.62 | 30 | 5 | 58.63 | 2.95 | 30 | 5 | 58.13 | 3.18 | 30 | 5 |
| 1615-1617.1 | 52.31 | 3.17 | 29 | 5 | 58.50 | 2.17 | 30 | 5 | 58.53 | 2.96 | 30 | 5 |
| 1617 $\frac{1}{2}$-1620 | 52.35 | 4.28 | 28 | 5 | 58.41 | 3.35 | 29 | 5 | 58.10 | 3.24 | 30 | 5 |
| 1620-1622 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 52.43 | 2.94 | 30 | 5 | 59.50 | 2.50 | 2 | 5 | 59.00 | 1.00 | 2 | 5 |
| 1622 $\frac{1}{2}-1625$ | 51.53 | 4.18 | 30 | 5 | 59.42 | 2.51 | 26 | 5 | 58.36 | 3.10 | 25 | 5 |
| 1630-1632 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 49.10 | 2.81 | 30 | 5 | 57.00 | 2.17 | 30 | 5 | 54.67 | 2.51 | 30 | 5 |
| 1632 $\frac{1}{2}-1635$ | 45.93 | 2.69 | 30 | 5 | 53.93 | 2.05 | 27 | 5 | 51.80 | 2.23 | 30 | 5 |
| 1635-1637 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 45.76 | 3.24 | 30 | 5 | 53.87 | 1.08 | 30 | 5 | 51.23 | 2.84 | 30 | 5 |
| 1637 $\frac{1}{2}-1640$ | 39.80 | 3.10 | 30 | 5 | 48.26 | 3.70 | 27 | 5 | 47.77 | 3.68 | 30 | 5 |
| 1640-1642 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 25.06 | 5.62 | 30 | 5 | 47.30 | 2.33 | 30 | 5 | 46.67 | 1.87 | 30 | 5 |
| 1642 $\frac{1}{2}-1645$ | 33.13 | 2.72 | 30 | 5 | 47.53 | 3.15 | 30 | 5 | 48.57 | 1.55 | 30 | 5 |
| 1650-1652 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 23.00 | 1.84 | 30 | 5 | 42.27 | 3.97 | 30 | 5 | 44.60 | 2.30 | 30 | 5 |
| 1652 $\frac{1}{2}-1655$ | 24.83 | 1.82 | 30 | 5 | 42.97 | 2.53 | 30 | 5 | 42.23 | 2.28 | 30 | 5 |
| 1655-1657 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 30.36 | 1.96 | 30 | 5 | 43.13 | 1.77 | 30 | 5 | 37.37 | 1.34 | 30 | 5 |
| 1657 $\frac{1}{2}-1700$ | 29.66 | 1.77 | 30 | 5 | 42.40 | 3.44 | 30 | 5 | 34.87 | 3.27 | 30 | 5 |
| 1700-1702 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 25.33 | 3.32 | 30 | 5 | 41.03 | 4.91 | 30 | 5 | 33.43 | 3.06 | 30 | 5 |
| 1702 $\frac{1}{2}-1705$ | 30.06 | 2.71 | 30 | 5 | 41.20 | 2.06 | 30 | 5 | 34.30 | 3.70 | 30 | 5 |
| 1710-1712 ${ }^{2}$ | 25.60 | 2.73 | 30 | 5 | 42.40 | 3.92 | 30 | 5 | 40.00 | 4.45 | 30 | 5 |
| 1712 $\frac{1}{2}-1715$ | 25.96 | 1.94 | 30 | 5 | 42.53 | 3.41 | 30 | 5 | 42.93 | 2.33 | 30 | 5 |
| 1715-1717⿺𠃊 | 24.26 | 1.36 | 30 | 5 | 43.97 | 2.41 | 30 | 5 | 41.17 | 3.60 | 30 | 5 |
| 171712-1720 | 23.53 | 1.39 | 30 | 5 | 44.30 | 1.55 | 30 | 5 | 44.50 | 4.44 | 30 | 5 |
| 1720-1722 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 25.40 | 2.66 | 30 | 5 | 44.23 | 2.67 | 30 | 5 | 46.07 | 1.83 | 30 | 5 |
| 1722 $\frac{1}{2}-1725$ | 28.70 | 2.37 | 30 | 5 | 43.10 | 5.46 | 30 | 5 | 44.10 | 3.77 | 30 | 5 |
| 1730-1732 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 54.50 | 2.66 | 30 | 5 | 62.20 | 3.00 | 30 | 5 | 60.40 | 2.76 | 30 | 5 |
| 1732 2 -1735 | 53.50 | 3.43 | 30 | 5 | 61.73 | 3.37 | 30 | 5 | 60.00 | 3.10 | 30 | 5 |
| 1735-1737 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 51.60 | 2.87 | 30 | 5 | 58.53 | 2.35 | 30 | 5 | 57.83 | 3.05 | 30 | 5 |
| 17371 $\frac{1}{2}-1740$ | 51.17 | 2.41 | 29 | 5 | 58.17 | 2.85 | 30 | 5 | 56.87 | 2.43 | 30 | 5 |
| 1740-1742 ${ }^{2}$ | 52.10 | 2.97 | 30 | 5 | 59.17 | 4.09 | 12 | 5 | 56.97 | 2.49 | 30 | 5 |
| 1742 $\frac{1}{2}-1745$ | 54.13 | 3.67 | 30 | 5 | 59.60 | 2.58 | 20 | 5 | 58.03 | 2.61 | 30 | 5 |
| 1750-1752 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 53.48 | 2.53 | 29 | 5 | 59.80 | 2.68 | 25 | 5 | 56.86 | 2.66 | 22 | 5 |
| 1752 2 -1755 | 54.14 | 3.63 | 28 | 5 | 61.50 | 3.28 | 16 | 5 | 58.60 | 2.80 | 15 | 5 |
| 1755-1757 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 52.30 | 2.18 | 30 | 5 | 60.77 | 2.37 | 30 | 5 | 58.97 | 3.02 | 30 | 5 |
| 175712-1800 | 52.25 | 4.01 | 27 | 5 | 61.23 | 3.79 | 30 | 5 | 58.90 | 3.84 | 29 | 5 |
| 1800-1802 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 53.50 | 3.22 | 30 | 5 | 60.53 | 2.91 | 30 | 5 | 59.00 | 3.08 | 29 | 5 |
| 1802 $\frac{1}{2}-1805$ | NA |  |  |  | 61.83 | 2.41 | 30 | 5 | 58.57 | 3.14 | 28 | 5 |

TRAFFIC SPEED DATA (By Radar)
Elvas Freeway - Outbound (East) July 31; 1969 3:30 p.m. Thru 6:05 p.m.

|  | Levee Radar Presite |  |  |  | S.P.O.C. Radar Presite |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { S.P.O.C. Radar } \\ \text { At. }{ }^{\text {Ste }} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Period | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | S | N | I | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | S | $\underline{N}$ | $\underline{I}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | - | N | $\underline{I}$ |
| 1530-1532 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 45.83 | 3.31 | 30 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | NA |  |  |  |
| 1532 $\frac{1}{2}-1535$ | 45.90 | 3.94 | 30 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | NA |  |  |  |
| 1535-15371 | 45.63 | 3.07 | 30 | 5 | 58.50 | 1.80 | 6 | 5 | NA |  |  |  |
| 15371-1540 | 45.00 | 2.52 | 30 | 5 | 60.43 | 2.93 | 30 | 5 | NA |  |  |  |
| 1540-1542 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 45.30 | 2.42 | 30 | 5 | 59.27 | 1.88 | 30 | 5 | NA |  |  |  |
| 1542 $\frac{1}{2}-1545$ | 45.57 | 3.79 | 30 | 5 | 59.13 | 2.30 | 30 | 5 | NA |  |  |  |
| 1550-1552 | 45.40 | 2.75 | 30 | 5 | 57.77 | 1.96 | 30 | 5 | NA |  |  |  |
| 1552 $\frac{1}{2}-1555$ | 45.57 | 2.89 | 30 | 5 | 58.17 | 2.30 | 30 | 5 | NA |  |  |  |
| 1555-1557 ${ }^{1}$ | 47.00 | 2.25 | 30 | 5 | 58.10 | 3.09 | 30 | 5 | NA |  |  |  |
| 1557 $\frac{1}{2}-1600$ | 48.33 | 2.87 | 30 | 5 | 59.62 | 3.60 | 29 | 5 | NA |  |  |  |
| 1600-1602 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 47.03 | 2.84 | 30 | 5 | 59.20 | 2.65 | 30 | 5 | 54.44 | 5.17 | 27 | 5 |
| 1602 $\frac{1}{2}-1605$ | 44.93 | 4.10 | 30 | 5 | 60.77 | 2.60 | 30 | 5 | 57.17 | 3.65 | 30 | 5 |
| 1610-1612 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 44.37 | 2.85 | 30 | 5 | 56.46 | 1.75 | 24 | 5 | 56.83 | 2.26 | 24 | 5 |
| 1612 $\frac{1}{2}-1615$ | 46.07 | 2.39 | 30 | 5 | 60.18 | 3.01 | 11 | 5 | 52.00 | 5.01 | 9 | 5 |
| 1615-1617 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 46.97 | 3.10 | 30 | 5 | 59.10 | 2.66 | 30 | 5 | 55.67 | 3.20 | 30 | 5 |
| 16171 ${ }^{2}-1620$ | 45.47 | 2.47 | 30 | 5 | 58.40 | 2.93 | 30 | 5 | 56.97 | 2.45 | 30 | 5 |
| 1620-1622 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 45.97 | 3.05 | 30 | 5 | 58.10 | 2.94 | 30 | 5 | 55.83 | 3.10 | 30 | 5 |
| 1622 $\frac{1}{2}$-1625 | 45.50 | 2.92 | 30 | 5 | 58.00 | 2.85 | 30 | 5 | 57.60 | 3.47 | 30 | 5 |
| 1630-1632 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 44.07 | 2.54 | 30 | 5 | 57.27 | 2.52 | 11 | 5 | 56.50 | 2.20 | 10 | 5 |
| 1632 $\frac{1}{2}-1635$ | 41.67 | 2.05 | 30 | 5 | 54.71 | 2.92 | 28 | 5 | 53.53 | 2.84 | 30 | 5 |
| 1635-1637 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 33.43 | 5.44 | 30 | 5 | 48.03 | 1.85 | 30 | 5 | 51.03 | 2.18 | 30 | 5 |
| 16371 $\frac{1}{2}-1640$ | 27.80 | 2.27 | 30 | 5 | 47.17 | 3.25 | 30 | 5 | 50.07 | 2.34 | 30 | 5 |
| 1640-1642 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 27.37 | 1.69 | 30 | 5 | 42.07 | 3.50 | 30 | 5 | 44.23 | 4.02 | 30 | 5 |
| 1642 $\frac{1}{2}-1645$ | 30.07 | 1.91 | 30 | 5 | 43.73 | 3.06 | 30 | 5 | 36.70 | 3.87 | 30 | 5 |
| 1650-1652 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 24.93 | 3.19 | 30 | 5 | 45.03 | 2.76 | 30 | 5 | 48.43 | 2.35 | 30 | 5 |
| 1652 $\frac{1}{2}-1655$ | 23.57 | 3.60 | 30 | 5 | 42.97 | 1.72 | 30 | 5 | 46.83 | 2.38 | 30 | 5 |
| 1655-1657 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 25.27 | 4.00 | 30 | 5 | 44.00 | 2.45 | 30 | 5 | 46.90 | 2.33 | 30 | 5 |
| 16571-1700 | 29.20 | 2.12 | 30 | 5 | 46.13 | 3.19 | 23 | 5 | 45.47 | 4.35 | 30 | 5 |
| 1700-1702 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 27.37 | 2.03 | 30 | 5 | 43.71 | 2.51 | 14 | 5 | 47.82 | 1.59 | 11 | 5 |
| 1702 $\frac{1}{2}-1705$ | 22.27 | 5.42 | 30 | 5 | 44.37 | 2.07 | 30 | 5 | 48.27 | 2.49 | 30 | 5 |
| 1710-1712 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 25.27 | 2.71 | 30 | 5 | 50.75 | 1.92 | 20 | 5 | 51.22 | 2.24 | 23 | 5 |
| 1712 $\frac{1}{2}-1715$ | 19.90 | 1.85 | 30 | 5 | 45.82 | 1.56 | 22 | 5 | 48.05 | 2.35 | 21 | 5 |
| 1715-1717⿺𠃊 | 18.70 | 1.73 | 30 | 5 | 45.37 | 2.69 | 30 | 5 | 48.60 | 2.36 | 30 | 5 |
| 171712-1720 | 20.33 | 1.19 | 30 | 5 | 45.77 | 2.42 | 30 | 5 | 48.17 | 2.25 | 30 | 5 |
| 1720-1722 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 29.50 | 9.87* | 30 | 5 | 45.25 | 2.49 | 24 | 5 | 47.56 | 1.98 | 25 | 5 |
| 1722 $\frac{1}{2}$-1725 | 46.50 | 3.63 | 30 | 5 | 61.29 | 3.46 | 7 | 5 | 58.57 | 4.26 | 7 | 5 |
| 1730-1732 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 48.30 | 2.60 | 30 | 5 | 60.70 | 2.40 | 30 | 5 | 58.73 | 2.07 | 30 | 5 |
| 1732 $\frac{1}{2}-1735$ | 45.80 | 2.75 | 30 | 5 | 59.30 | 3.45 | 30 | 5 | 54.79 | 5.47 | 29 | 5 |
| 1735-17371 | 47.20 | 3.27 | 30 | 5 | 60.27 | 2.73 | 30 | 5 | 56.86 | 5.24 | 29 | 5 |
| 17371 ${ }^{2}-1740$ | 46.33 | 3.17 | 30 | 5 | 59.60 | 2.46 | 30 | 5 | 56.87 | 3.35 | 30 | 5 |
| 1740-1742 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 46.90 | 3.08 | 30 | 5 | 59.73 | 3.20 | 30 | 5 | 57.67 | 4.44 | 30 | 5 |
| 1742 $\frac{1}{2}-1745$ | 47.93 | 1.91 | 30 | 5 | 60.10 | 3.60 | 29 | 5 | 57.37 | 4.12 | 30 | E |
| 1750-1752 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 45.63 | 2.31 | 30 | 5 | 58.10 | 3.74 | 30 | 5 | 55.47 | 2.90 | 30 | 5 |
| 1752 $\frac{1}{2}-1755$ | 47.20 | 3.20 | 30 | 5 | 58.97 | 3.07 | 30 | 5 | 54.33 | 5.43 | 30 | 5 |
| 1755-17571 | 47.50 | 3.04 | 30 | 5 | 61.41 | 2.95 | 29 | 5 | 57.89 | 4.58 | 28 | 5 |
| 1757 $\frac{1}{2}-1800$ | 48.70 | 2.48 | 30 | 5 | 60.74 | 2.39 | 27 | 5 | 56.70 | 3.31 | 30 | 5 |
| 1800-1802 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 48.90 | 2.69 | 30 | 5 | 60.87 | 3.19 | 30 | 5 | 58.25 | 3.79 | 28 | 5 |
| 1802 $\frac{1}{2}-1805$ | 49.45 | 2.94 | 29 | 5 | 62.97 | 2.25 | 30 | 5 | 59.21 | 3.94 | 28 | 5 |

*Traficic Flow Transition

## TABLE IIX

traffic speed data（By Radar）

| Time Period | Site A At Site |  |  |  | Site $C$ Presite |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Site E } \\ \text { Pobt Site } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bar{X}$ | S | N | $\underline{I}$ | X | S | $\underline{N}$ | I | X | S | $N$ | $\underline{I}$ |
| 1500－1502 $\frac{1}{2}$ | NA |  |  |  | 57.60 | 2.42 | 15 | 10 |  |  |  | \} |
| 1502 $\frac{1}{2}-1505$ | NA |  |  |  | 58.40 | 4.80 | 15 | 10 | 62.05 | 4.87 | 99 |  |
| 1505－1507 $\frac{1}{2}$ | NA |  |  |  | 58.79 | 4.53 | 14 | 10 | \＄4． 60.00 |  |  | 5 |
| 1507 $\frac{1}{2}$－1510 | NA |  |  |  | 57.20 | 4.72 | 15 | 10 | 60.00 | 6.12 | 71 | 5 |
| 1510－1512 $\frac{1}{2}$ | NA |  |  |  | 58.31 | 3.63 | 13 | 10 | ＊＊ |  |  | 5 |
| 1512t $\frac{1}{2}$－1515 | NA |  |  |  | 59.73 | 4.34 | 15 | 10 | 52.76 | 5.53 | 76 |  |
| 1516－1518 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | NA |  |  |  | 57.93 | 4.25 | 15 | 10 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} k \star \\ k+ \\ 51 \end{array}\right.$ |  | 96 | 5 |
| 151812－1521 | nA |  |  |  | 60.28 | 3.21 | 14 | 10 | 61.51 | 5.24 | 96 |  |
| 1521－1523 $\frac{1}{2}$ | NA |  |  |  | 59.42 | 3.46 | 12 | 10 | \％＊＊ | 5.15 | 65 | 5 |
| 1523 $\frac{1}{2}$－1526 | NA |  |  |  | 58.00 | 3.27 | 14 | 10 | 62.04 | 5.15 | 65 | 3 |
| 1526－1528砍 | NA |  |  |  | 57.60 | 3.54 | 15 | 10 | ＊＊ |  | 103 | $5)$ |
| 1528管－1531 | NA |  |  |  | 59.79 | 4.50 | 14 | 10 | 62.50 | ， |  |  |
| 1536－1538 $\frac{1}{2}$ | NA |  |  |  | 57.53 | 2.94 | 15 | 10 |  |  |  | ） |
| 153812－1541 | NA |  |  |  | 59.33 | 3.68 | 15 | 10 | 62.01 | 6.00 | 102 | 5 |
| 1541－1543 ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | NA |  |  |  | 58.36 | 3.93 | 14 | 10 | ＊＊＊ |  |  | 5 |
| 154312－1546 | NA |  |  |  | 57.07 | 2.05 | 15 | 10 | 62.84 | 6.00 | 89 | 5 |
| 1546－1548年 | NA |  |  |  | 56.53 | 3.44 | 15 | 10 | ＊＊＊ |  |  | 5 |
| 1548 $\frac{1}{2}$－1551 | NA |  |  |  | 59.41 | 5.35 | 14 | 10 | 62.24 | 5.32 | 96 | 5 |
| 1611－1613 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}* * \\ 62.84\end{array}\right.$ |  |  | 5 | 58.73 59.67 | 4.02 2.72 | 15 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \star \star \\ 63.23 \end{array}\right.$ | 5.03 | 82 | 5 |
| 16132 ${ }^{2}-1616$ | 62.84 | 6.35 | 293 |  | 59.67 | 2.72 | 15 | 10 | （6＊ 6 | 5.03 | 82 |  |
| 1616－1618 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | NA |  |  |  | 59.07 | 3.40 4.77 | 15 | 10 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { 63，} \\ 68\end{array}\right.$ | 5.24 | 80 | 5 |
| 16182 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}-1621}$ | NA |  |  |  | 60.57 59.40 | 4.77 4.38 | 15 | 10 | ＊＊ |  |  | ） |
| 1621－1623娄 | NA |  |  |  | 59.40 | 4.38 | 15 | 10 | 662.15 |  | 86 | 5 |
| 1623立－1626 | NA |  |  |  | 59.43 | 4.74 | 14 | 10 | 62.15 | 5.17 | 86 |  |
| 1627－16291 | （＊＊ |  |  |  | 58.86 | 2.35 | 14 | 10 | ${ }^{* *} \times 1.72$ |  | 72 | ） |
| 16291－1632 | 63.07 | 7.19 | 141 | 5） | 57.53 | 3.07 | 15 | 10 | 61．72 | 4.75 | 72 |  |
| 1632－1634 $\frac{1}{2}$ | NA |  |  |  | 61.73 | 4.22 4.42 | 15 | 10 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} k \star \\ 62.88 \end{array}\right.$ | 5.80 | 80 | 3 |
| 1634 $\frac{1}{2}$－1637 | NA |  |  |  | 61.69 | 4.42 3.20 | 13 | 10 |  | 5.80 |  |  |
| 1637－163912 | NA |  |  |  | 58.43 59.93 | 3.20 4.40 | 14 15 | 10 | 62．75 |  | 79 |  |
| 16391 $\frac{1}{2}$－1642 | NA |  |  |  | 59.93 | 4.40 | 15 | 10 |  | 6.03 | 79 | 3） |
| 1702－1704 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 59.07 | 6.82 | 28 | 5 | 58.29 | 6.16 | 14 | 10 | ${ }^{* *}$ |  | 110 |  |
| 1704 $\frac{1}{2}$－1707 | 58.58 | 5.23 | 26 | 5 | 58.14 | 4.14 | 14 | 10 | （＊＊ 59.91 | 5.94 | 110 |  |
| 1707－170913 | 61.35 | 3.84 | 26 | 5 | 60.25 | 3.77 | 12 | 10 | 6＊＊ 61.11 | 6.32 | 83 | 5 |
| 170912－1712 | 61.67 | 5.87 | 27 | 5 | NA 55.50 |  |  |  |  | 6.32 |  |  |
| 1712－1714 | 59.50 | 7.07 | 28 | 5 | 55.50 60.36 | 6.72 4.33 | 14 | 10 | $\{60.70$ |  | 89 |  |
| 1714 ${ }^{1}$－1717 | 59.93 | 4.59 | 28 | 5 | 60.36 | 4.33 | 14 | 10 |  | 5.23 | 89 | 5 |
| 1718－1720 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 62.40 | 2.15 | 20 | 5 | 60.53 | 4.41 | 15 | 10 | （＊＊ |  |  |  |
| 1720t－1723 | 61.46 | 4.41 | 26 | 5 | 58.71 | 3.00 | 14 | 10 | \％＊＊ 63.53 | 5.80 | 63 |  |
| 1723－1725 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 59.93 | 4.61 | 27 | 5 | 55.23 | 3.03 2.16 | 13 | 10 | 62．33 | 4.73 | 86 | 5 |
| 1725 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}-1728}$ | 61.94 | 4.71 | 18 | 5 | 58.00 | 2.16 |  | 10 | ＊＊＊ |  |  |  |
| 1728－1730 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 61.79 | 3.87 | 24 | 5 | Nh |  |  |  | 63.22 | 5.95 | 83 |  |
| 173012－1733 | 62.30 | 3.95 | 27 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 63.22 |  |  |  |
| 1738－17401 | 61.32 | 3.78 | 28 | 5 | N |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |
| 1740 $\frac{1}{2}-1743$ | 60.25 | 4.37 | 24 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 62.44 | 5.65 | 6 |  |
| 1743－17451 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 61.26 | 4.59 | 23 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 60.69 | 6.90 | 83 |  |
| 1745 $\frac{1}{2}$－1748 | 57.33 | 7.95 | 24 | 5 | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1748－1750 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 57.22 | 5.55 | 27 28 | 5 | NA NA |  |  |  | 62.44 | 5.08 | 86 |  |

＊＊$N=$ Number of vehicles 1 ＝Speed interval in minutes

Foothill Farms（Spruce）－Outbound（East）cont．
Ju1y 27， 1969 3：00 pm Thru 10：57 pm

|  | Site A At Site |  |  |  | Site C <br> Presite |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Site E } \\ & \text { Post Site } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Period | 8 | 5 | N | 1 | X | S | $N$ | I | X | S | N | I |
| 1813－1815 ${ }^{\frac{1}{3}}$ | 60.64 | 5.78 | 28 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | （＊＊ |  |  | $\}$ |
| 181512－1818 | 63.00 | 4.31 | 23 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | \｛62．18 | 6.22 | 79 | 5 |
| 1818－1820 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 62.84 | 5.10 | 25 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | ＊＊ |  |  | ， |
| 1820 $\frac{1}{2}$－1823 | 60.12 | 7.86 | 25 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 62.23 | 5.73 | 56 | 5 |
| 1823－1825 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 63.14 | 4.18 | 21 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | （＊＊ |  |  | ） |
| 1825 $\mathbf{1}_{2}$－1828 | 61.32 | 5.79 | 22 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 63.35 | 6.01 | 65 | 5） |
| 1829－1831 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 63.00 | 4.24 | 4 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | （＊＊ |  |  | \} |
| 1831 $\frac{1}{2}$－1834 | 61.00 | 5.59 | 26 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 62．03 | 6.04 | 75 | 5） |
| 1834－1836 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 61.70 | 4.58 | 27 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | （＊＊ |  |  |  |
| 1836 $\frac{1}{2}$－1839 | 63.71 | 4.41 | 24 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 64.77 | 4.86 | 88 | 5） |
| 1839－1841娄 | 61.28 | 5.91 | 25 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | \｛＊＊ |  |  |  |
| 1841 $\frac{1}{2}$－1844 | 64.11 | 5.73 | 27 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 63.40 | 5.37 | 83 | 5 |
| 2115－2117震 | （＊＊ |  |  | ） | NA |  |  |  | \｛＊＊ |  |  | ） |
| 2117 $\frac{1}{2}$－ 2120 | 61．88 | 5.64 | 97 | $5\}$ | NA |  |  |  | 60.34 | 4.46 | 44 | 5 |
| 2120－2122娄 | \｛＊＊ |  |  | 1 | NA |  |  |  | \｛＊＊ |  |  | \} |
| 2122 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}-2125}$ | \｛62．71 | 6.19 | 24 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | NA |  |  |  | 61.69 | 4.88 | 43 | 5 |
| 2125－21271 | \｛＊＊ |  |  | ） | NA |  |  |  | \｛＊＊ |  |  | ， |
| 2127考－2130 | 63.87 | 5.98 | 62 | 5） | NA |  |  |  | ［59．12 | 4.15 | 65 | 5） |
| 2131－2133 ${ }^{1}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text {＊＊} \\ \text { c }\end{array}\right.$ |  |  | 5 | NA |  |  |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text {＊＊} \\ 57\end{array}\right.$ |  |  | $\left.{ }_{5}\right\}$ |
| 213312－2136 | 62．77 | 5.87 | 74 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 57.00 | 3.84 | 60 | 5 |
| 2136－2138 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | $\{* *$ |  |  | ， | NA |  |  |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}* *\end{array}\right.$ |  |  | ， |
| 2138 $\frac{1}{2}$－2141 | 65.09 | 5.62 | 58 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 58.85 | 5.43 | 52 | 5） |
| 2141－2143零 | $\}^{* *}$ |  |  | ， | NA |  |  |  | （ ${ }^{\text {k }}$ |  |  | ， |
| 2143 $\frac{1}{2}$－2146 | 61.25 | 5.32 | 44 | 5） | 55.17 | 4.77 | 6 | 5 | （56．60 | 4.66 | 50 | 5） |
| $2151-2153 \frac{1}{2}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text {＊＊} \\ 61.32\end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  | 52.17 | 4.70 5.38 | 12 | 10 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} * * \\ 57 \end{array}\right.$ |  |  | ，$\}$ |
| 21531 $\frac{1}{2}-2156$ | \｛61．32 | 6.19 | 51 | 5 | 55.38 | 5.38 | 13 | 10 | $57.11$ | 4.44 | 38 | 5 |
| 2156－2158 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | ＊＊＊ |  |  | 5 | 56.62 | 3.85 | 13 | 10 |  |  |  | 5 |
| 2158 $\frac{1}{2}$－2201 | 61.61 | 5.89 | 62 | 5 | 54.77 | 3.73 | 13 | 10 | ［58．30 | 6.27 | 50 | 5 |
| 2201－22031 | \｛＊＊ |  |  | \} | 48.60 | 3.53 | 10 | 10 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}* \\ \text {＊}\end{array}\right.$ |  |  | 5 |
| 2203桨－2206 | 61．31 | 8.51 | 42 | 5 | 57.80 | 4.26 | 10 | 10 | （54．17 | 5.93 | 45 | $5)$ |
| 2226－2228 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | （＊ |  |  | ， | 55.58 | 4.93 | 12 | 10 | ${ }^{* *}$ |  |  | \} |
| 2228 $\frac{1}{2}$－2231 | 63.54 | 5.03 | 53 | 5） | 54.85 | 4.71 | 13 | 10 | 62.26 | 6.68 | 41 | 5 |
| 2231－2233 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | （＊＊ |  |  | ） | 55.50 | 3.52 | 12 | 10 | ＊＊＊ |  |  |  |
| 22331 $\frac{1}{2}$－2236 | 65.37 | 5.06 | 47 | 5 | 53.64 | 2.99 | 11 | 10 | 61.47 | 4.88 | 39 | $5)$ |
| 2236－22381 | ＊ |  |  |  | 55.46 | 4.24 | 13 | 10 | f＊＊ |  |  | \} |
| 2238 $\frac{1}{2}$－2241 | 65.64 | 5.78 | 35 | 5） | 56.08 | 3.55 | 12 | 10 | 61.75 | 4.94 | 40 | 5） |
| 2242－2244 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | （＊＊ |  |  | ） | 56.45 | 4.50 | 11 | 10 | ＊＊＊ |  |  | ） |
| 2244 $\frac{1}{2}$－2247 | 63.60 | 6.58 | 50 | 5） | 54.54 | 2.68 | 11 | 10 | 60.92 | 5.28 | 38 | 5 |
| 2247－22491 ${ }^{2}$ | ＊＊＊ |  |  | ， | 54.27 | 3.38 | 11 | 10 | \｛＊＊ |  |  | ） |
| 224912－2252 | 63.59 | 6.74 | 32 | 5 | 54.56 | 5.39 | 9 | 10 | 59.44 | 5.73 | 36 | 5） |
| 2252－2254尓 | （＊＊ |  |  |  | 54.15 | 3.20 | 13 | 10 | ${ }^{* *}$ |  |  | ， |
| 2254 ${ }^{2}$－2257 | 62.88 | 6.61 | 52 | 5） | 52.18 | 4.49 | 11 | 10 | 60.39 | 5.01 | 38 | 5） |

＊＊N＝Number of Vehicles
＊＊I＝Speed Interval Time in Minutes

TABLE XX
TRAFFIC SPEED DATA（By Radar）
Foothill Farma（Spruce）－Inbound（Hest） July 27， 1969 3：00 pm Thru 10：57 pm

| Time Period | S |  |  |  | Post Si |  |  |  | Pres |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | X | S | N | $\underline{I}$ | X | S | N | I | X |  | $\underline{N}$ | I |
| 1500－1502 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 58.80 | 4.62 | 30 | 5 | 60.87 | 4.22 | 15 | 10 | NA |  |  |  |
| 1502 $\frac{1}{2}-1.505$ | 61.37 | 5.05 | 30 | 5 | 61.67 | 3.46 | 15 | 10 | NA |  |  |  |
| 1505－1507\％ | 61.96 | 2.82 | 25 | 5 | 61.20 | 4.04 | 15 | 10 | NA |  |  |  |
| 2507¢ 1510 | 59.38 | 5.11 | 26 | 5 | 61.00 | 2.88 | 14 | 10 | NA |  |  |  |
| 1510－15123， | 60.00 | 4.79 | 22 | 5 | 58.29 | 4.28 | 14 | 10 | NA |  |  |  |
| 15122－1515 | 59.09 | 5.18 | 22 | 5 | 61.00 | 4.87 | 15 | 10 | NA |  |  |  |
| 1516－15183 | 60.97 | 3.37 | 29 | 5 | 61.07 | 3.15 | 15 | 10 | NA |  |  |  |
|  | 58．13 | ． 4.69 | 30 | 5 | 60.73 | 4.58 | 15 | 10 | NA |  |  |  |
| 2521－1523 ${ }^{2}$ | 59.61 | 2.79 | 28 | 5 | 62.40 | 2.22 | 15 | 10 | NA |  |  |  |
| 15232 | 58.60 | 3.26 | 30 | 5 | 60.33 | 3.05 | 15 | 10 | NA |  |  |  |
| 1526－1528 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 59.30 | 2.61 | 30 | 5 | 60.67 | 3.24 | 15 | 10 | NA |  |  |  |
| 15283－1531 | 60.50 | ．4．26 | 28 | 5 | 62.53 | 2.85 | 15 | 10 | NA |  |  |  |
| 1536－2538 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 56.74 | 3.51 | 27 | 5 | 62.40 | 2.94 | 15 | 10 | NA |  |  |  |
| 1538 $\frac{1}{2}$－ 1541 | 60.17 | 3.78 | 29 | 5 | 60.53 | 3.77 | 15 | 10 | NA |  |  |  |
| 1541－15431 | 57.55 | 4.32 | 29 | 5 | 59.87 | 2.58 | 15 | 10 | 59.80 | 4.44 | 15 | 10 |
| 154312 ${ }^{2}$－ 1546 | 60.11 | 4.08 | 28 | 5 | 58.93 | 5.14 | 15 | 10 | 64.07 | 2.54 | 15 | 10 |
| 2546－1548 | 59.07 | 3.34 | 30 | 5 | 62.47 | 1.70 | 15 | 10 | 60.88 | 2.24 | 8 | 10 |
| 2548 $\frac{1}{2}$－1551 | 56.72 | 4.00 | 29 | 5 | 56.80 | 4.26 | 15 | 10 | NA |  |  |  |
| 1611－16131 | 59.72 | 5.13 | 29 | 5 | 60.93 | 3.55 | 15 | 10 | 59.33 | 4.45 | 15 | 10 |
| 1623t－1616 | 59.93 | 4.01 | 29 | 5 | 58.60 | 4.10 | 15 | 10 | 59.43 | 3.58 | 14 | 10 |
| 1616－16181 | 59.59 | 4.88 | 29 | 5 | 58.33 | 5.47 | 15 | 10 | 60.07 | 3.61 | 15 | 10 |
| 261812－1621 | 60.43 | 6.34 | 30 | 5 | 59.73 | 6.28 | 15 | 10 | 61.67 | 3.09 | 15 | 10 |
| 1621－1623年 | 62.00 | 4.12 | 28 | 5 | 62.60 | 3.09 | 15 | 10 | 61.33 | 3.32 | 15 | 10 |
| 1623娄－1626 | 60.86 | 3.66 | 29 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | NA |  |  |  |
| $1627-1629 \frac{1}{2}$ | 57.93 | 4.90 | 29 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 60.13 | 3.70 | 15 | 10 |
| 16293－2632 | 62.00 | 4.61 | 29 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 60.80 | 3.73 | 15 | 10 |
| 1632－1634 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 62.83 | 4.17 | 29 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 62.20 | 4.15 | 15 | 10 |
| $1634 \frac{1}{2}$－1637 | 60，53 | 3.65 | 30 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 59.67 | 2.61 | 6 | 10 |
| 1637－1639 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 61.07 | 4.77 | 30 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 62.64 | 1.97 | 14 | 10 |
| 16392 ${ }_{2}$－1642 | 62.59 | 3.32 | 22 | 5 | NA |  |  |  | 60.14 | 4.44 | 14 | 10 |
| 1702－17041 | （＊＊ |  |  |  | 58.00 | 4.33 | 15 | 10 | 59.57 | 2.90 | 14 | 10 |
| 17043－1707 | 60.85 | 5.14 | 227 | 5 | 58.16 | 2.78 | 15 | 10 | 64.00 | 5.42 | 15 | 10 |
| 1707－17091 | ＊＊＊ |  |  | ， | 58.66 | 3.43 | 15 | 10 | 58.53 | 4.13 | 15 | 10 |
| 170912－1712 | 61.66 | 5.66 | 191 | 5） | 58.00 | 4.56 | 15 | 10 | 61.67 | 3.00 | 15 | 10 |
| 1712－171412 | ＊＊ |  |  | ） | 59.59 | 4.15 | 15 | 10 | 62.13 | 3.56 | 15 | 10 |
| 1714151717 | （62．66 | 5.27 | 161 | 5） | 61.09 | 3.09 | 15 | 10 | 59.67 | 3.48 | 15 | 10 |
| 1718－2720 ${ }^{1}$ | （＊＊ |  |  | 1 | 59.59 | 2.71 | 9 | 10 | 62.00 | 4.06 | 12 | 10 |
| 1720 $\frac{1}{2}-1723$ | 61．25 | 5.60 | 152 | 5 | 56.25 | 3.54 | 15 | －10－1 | 59.27 | 5.01 | 15 | 10 |
| 1723－17251 | ＊＊＊ |  |  | ） | 59.71 | 4.05 | 15 | 10 | 62.00 | 2.79 | 10 | 10 |
| 1725ヶ－1728 | （62．99 | 7.06 | 102 | 5 | 60.54 | 3.49 | 14 | 10 | 63.47 | 3.07 | 15 | 10 |
| 172B－27301／ | （＊＊ |  |  |  | 58.75 | 4.21 | 15 | 10 | 59.53 | 2.42 | 15 | 10 |
| 173012－2733 | 61.34 | 5.19 | 194 | 5 | 59.34 | 4.65 | 15 | 10 | 62.00 | 3.12 | 15 | 10 |
| 2738－17401 | ＊＊＊ |  |  | 3 | 50.89 | 5.24 | 7 | 10 | 61.13 | 3.30 | 15 | 10 |
| 1740ヶ， 1743 | 63.56 | 4.83 | 123 | 5 | 61.16 | 3.70 | 15 | 10 | 60.87 | 2.71 | 15 | 10 |
| 1743－1745 $\frac{1}{2}$ | ${ }^{4}$ |  |  | ， | 59.84 | 3.98 | 15 | 10 | 60.64 | 2.95 | 14 | 10 |
| 174512－2748 | 60.00 | 5.07 | 180 | 5 | 59.41 | 4.10 | 15 | 10 | 58.60 | 2.06 | 15 | 10 |
| 1748－175012 | ＊＊＊ |  |  | ） | 58.59 | 3.56 | 15 | 10 | 57.60 | 2.75 | 15 | 10 |
| 175012－1753 | 63.54 | 5.75 | 67. | 3） | 60.00 | 3.93 | 15 | 10 | 63.47 | 2.70 | 15 | 10 |

TABLE XX Cont．
Footh111 Farms（Spruce）－Inbound（West）cont．
July 27， 1969 3：00 pm Thru 10：57 pm

|  | Site B <br> At Site |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Site D } \\ \text { Post Site } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | Site F <br> Presite |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Period | X | S | N | I | X | S | N | I | X | S | N | I |
| 1813－1815 ${ }_{2}$ | （＊＊ |  |  | ， | 61.25 | 4.45 | 15 | 10 | 58.80 | 3.64 | 15 | 10 |
| 1815 $\frac{1}{2}$－1818 | $61^{\circ} .94$ | 5.98 | 171 | 5 | 59.66 | 5.14 | 15 | 10 | 60.73 | 3.34 | 15 | 10 |
| 1818－1820 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | ＊＊ |  |  | ） | 60.75 | 3.70 | 15 | 10 | 61.87 | 3.00 | 15 | 10 |
| 1820 $\frac{1}{2}$－1823 | ¢62．66 | 5.65 | 289 | 10 | 62.59 | 2.71 | 15 | 10 | 61.07 | 4.03 | 15 | 10 |
| 1823－1825 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ |  |  |  |  | 62.75 | 2.68 | 15 | 10 | 60.40 | 3.52 | 15 | 10 |
| 1825 $\frac{1}{2}$－1828 | （ |  |  | ） | 58.66 | 4.58 | 15 | 10 | 59.93 | 3.03 | 14 | 10 |
| 1829－1831娄 | （＊＊ |  |  | 7 | 63.50 | 3.93 | 15 | 10 | 62.13 | 5.47 | 15 | 10 |
| 1831娄－1834 | $\{60.78$ | 4.86 | 186 | 5 | 60.91 | 4.00 | 15 | 10 | 58.20 | 3.41 | 15 | 10 |
| 1834－1836 ${ }_{\text {2 }}^{2}$ | ＊＊ |  |  |  | 60.16 | 3.88 | 15 | 10 | 60.80 | 3.51 | 15 | 10 |
| 1836 $\frac{1}{2}$－1839 | 61.02 | 5.28 | 162 | 5 | 59.34 | 3.19 | 15 | 10 | 58.60 | 2.73 | 15 | 10 |
| 1839－1841雨 | （＊＊ |  |  | ， | 60.91 | 3.34 | 15 | 10 | 61.93 | 2.84 | 15 | 10 |
| 1841 $\frac{1}{2}$－1841 | （64．69 | 4.36 | 121 | 5） | 62.09 | 3.28 | 15 | 10 | 63.20 | 2.88 | 15 | 10 |
| 2115－21171 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 57.68 | 4.63 | 30 | 5 | 56.75 | 7.30 | 15 | 10 | 57.80 | 2.81 | 15 | 10 |
| 2117 $\frac{1}{2}$－2120 | 58.51 | 4.52 | 30 | 5 | 58.75 | 3.98 | 15 | 10 | 57.07 | 4.44 | 15 | 10 |
| 2120－2122娄 | 60.96 | 4.12 | 29 | 5 | 59.75 | 5.00 | 15 | 10 | 60.71 | 3.42 | 14 | 10 |
| 2122 $\frac{1}{2}$－2125 | 60.56 | 3.31 | 27 | 5 | 59.41 | 3.09 | 15 | 10 | 59.79 | 3.52 | 14 | 10 |
| 2125－2127 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 61.82 | 3.88 | 29 | 5 | 59.75 | 4.34 | 15 | 10 | 60.80 | 3.75 | 15 | 10 |
| 2127 $\frac{1}{2}$－2130 | 61.88 | 3.62 | 26 | 5 | 62.50 | 2.93 | 15 | 10 | 61.07 | 3.94 | 15 | 10 |
| 2131－2133年 | 59.58 | 3.53 | 23 | 5 | 62.08 | 3.81 | 15 | 10 | 59.23 | 3.50 | 13 | 10 |
| 2133 $\frac{1}{2}$－2136 | 60.16 | 3.36 | 25 | 5 | 62.31 | 3.64 | 14 | 10 | 60.57 | 3.96 | 14 | 10 |
| 2136－2138 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 60.16 | 3.46 | 25 | 5 | 61.91 | 3.59 | 15 | 10 | 58.53 | 3.53 | 14 | 10 |
| 2138 $\frac{1}{2}$－2141 | 57.95 | 4.37 | 29 | 5 | 63.08 | 2.63 | 15 | 10 | 61.47 | 3.42 | 15 | 10 |
| 2141－2143六 | 57.54 | 4.80 | 30 | 5 | 62.00 | 2.28 | 15 | 10 | 57.60 | 3.65 | 15 | 10 |
| 214312－2146 | 56.47 | 4.73 | 29 | 5 | 61.08 | 4.33 | 15 | 10 | 57.33 | 5.91 | 15 | 10 |
| 2151－2153 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 60.00 | 4.53 | 27 | 5 | 60.41 | 4.49 | 15 | 10 | 59.67 | 3.26 | 12 | 10 |
| 215312－2156 | 61.18 | 4.37 | 28 | 5 | 61.51 | 3.54 | 14 | 10 | 59.93 | 3.49 | 15 | 10 |
| 2156－2158 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 60.59 | 7.27 | 29 | 5 | 62.59 | 2.83 | 14 | 10 | 62.83 | 6.38 | 12 | 10 |
| 21581 $\frac{1}{2}$－2201 | 61.10 | 3.47 | 29 | 5 | 62.25 | 3.88 | 15 | 10 | 61.54 | 3.95 | 13 | 10 |
| 2201－22031 ${ }^{2}$ | 63.26 | 3.91 | 27 | 5 | 62.08 | 4.79 | 15 | 10 | 60.08 | 2.48 | 13 | 10 |
| 2203 $\frac{1}{2}$－2206 | 61.63 | 4.33 | 27 | 5 | 64.46 | 3.34 | 14 | 10 | 59.93 | 5.37 | 15 | 10 |
| 2226－22281 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 58.88 | 5.89 | 24 | 5 | 60.16 | 6.83 | 15 | 10 | 57.00 | 4.52 | 14 | 10 |
| 222812－2231 | 62.84 | 4.94 | 25 | 5 | 61.78 | 5.14 | 14 | 10 | 60.00 | 5.24 | 12 | 10 |
| 2231－2233年 | 66.17 | 3.84 | 23 | 5 | 64.83 | 3.34 | 15 | 10 | 61.43 | 3.49 | 14 | 10 |
| 2233 $\frac{1}{2}$－2236 | 64.08 | 4.49 | 25 | 5 | 63.84 | 2.66 | 14 | 10 | 59.40 | 2.85 | 15 | 10 |
| 2236－2238 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 60.28 | 4.85 | 29 | 5 | 59.78 | 3.64 | 15 | 10 | 58.47 | 3.42 | 15 | 10 |
| 2238 $\frac{1}{2}$－2241 | 62.36 | 4.98 | 28 | 5 | 57.01 | 3.79 | 13 | 10 | 58.83 | 5.12 | 12 | 10 |
| 2242－2244 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 62.59 | 3.45 | 22 | 5 | 57.91 | 4.76 | 15 | 10 | 62.86 | 3.43 | 14 | 10 |
| 2244 $\frac{1}{2}$－2247 | 62.20 | 4.83 | 20. | 5 | 62.05 | 3.75 | 14 | 10 | 59.31 | 6.52 | 13 | 10 |
| 2247－224913 | 59.35 | 4.69 | 26 | 5 | 63.50 | 3.93 | 15 | 10 | 58.62 | 5.10 | 13 | 10 |
| 224932－2252 | 59.79 | 4.02 | 24 | 5 | 59.23 | 4.36 | 13 | 10 | 60.85 | 5.20 | 13 | 10 |
| 2252－2254 ${ }^{2}$ | 60.75 | 4.67 | 20 | 5 | 59.51 | 4.90 | 13 | 10 | 59.08 | 7.21 | 13 | 10 |
| 2254 $\frac{1}{2}$－2257 | 54.85 | 5.44 | 27 | 5 | 56.25 | 5.64 | 13 | 10. | 56.44 | 4.93 | 9 | 10 |

[^4]TRAFFIC SPEED DATA（By Radar）
Mace Boulevard－Inbound（East）
August 3，1969 3：00 pm Thru 11：10 pm
Time Period
$1500-1502 \frac{1}{2}$
$1502 \frac{1}{2}-1505$
$1505-1507 \frac{1}{2}$
$1507 \frac{1}{2}-1510$
$1510-1512 \frac{1}{2}$
$1512 \frac{1}{2}-1515$
$1516-1518 \frac{1}{2}$
$1518 \frac{1}{2}-1521$
$1521-1523 \frac{1}{2}$
$1523 \frac{1}{2}-1526$
$1526-1528 \frac{1}{2}$
$1528 \frac{1}{2}-1531$
$1536-1538 \frac{1}{2}$
$1538 \frac{1}{2}-1541$
$1541-1543 \frac{1}{2}$
$1543 \frac{1}{2}-1546$
$1546-1548 \frac{1}{2}$
$1548 \frac{1}{2}-1551$

1611－1613 $\frac{1}{2}$
$1613 \frac{1}{2}-1616$
1616－1618衣
1618 $\frac{1}{2}-1621$
1621－1623立
1623立－1626
1627－16293
162932－1632
1632－1634 $\frac{1}{2}$
1634 $\frac{1}{2}-1637$
1637－1639 $\frac{1}{2}$

$1702-1704 \frac{1}{2}$
$1704 \frac{1}{2}-1707$
$1707-1709 \frac{1}{2}$
$1709 \frac{1}{2}-1712$
$1712-1714 \frac{1}{2}$
$1714 \frac{1}{2}-1717$
$1718-1720 \frac{1}{2}$
$1720 \frac{1}{2}-1723$
$1723-1725 \frac{1}{2}$
$1725 \frac{1}{2}-1728$
$1728-1730 \frac{1}{2}$
$1730 \frac{1}{2}-1733$
$1738-1740 \frac{1}{2}$
$1740 \frac{1}{2}-1743$
$1743-1745 \frac{1}{2}$
$1745 \frac{1}{2}-1748$
$1748-1750 \frac{1}{2}$
$1750 \frac{1}{2}-1753$

Mace Boulevard - Inbound (East) cont. August 3, 1969 3:00 pm Thru 11:10 pm


Mace Boulevard－Outbound（West）
August 3， 1969 3：00 pm Thru 11：10 pm

|  | Site B <br> At Site |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Site D } \\ & \text { Post Site } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | Site 7 Presite |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Period | X | $\underline{S}$ | N | I | $\bar{\chi}$ | S | N | I | X | S | $\underline{N}$ | I |
| 1500－1502 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | $1_{\text {No Data }}$ |  |  |  | 53.47 | 4.04 | 15 | 10 | 1 No Data |  |  |  |
| 1502 $\frac{1}{2}-1505$ |  |  |  |  | 54.86 | 3.96 | 14 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 1505－1507 $\frac{1}{2}$ |  |  |  |  | 53.53 | 4.03 | 15 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 1507 $\frac{1}{2}$－1510 |  |  |  |  | 55.62 | 2.39 | 13 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 1510－1512 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 61.71 | 4.62 | 19 | 5 | 55.73 | 2.66 | 15 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 1512 $\frac{1}{2}$－ 1515 | 57.38 | 4.80 | 29 | 5 | 54.60 | 2.52 | 15 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 1516－1518 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 56.66 | 3.94 | 30 | 5 | 54.67 | 3.01 | 15 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 151812－1521 | 55.23 | 4.92 | 27 | 5 | 52.53 | 3.89 | 15 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 1521－1523矢 | \＄6．24 | 4.48 | 29 | 5 | 53.71 | 4.22 | 14 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 1523年－1526 | $I_{\text {No Data }}$ |  |  |  | 54.33 | 3.45 | 15 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 1526－1528 ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  | 54.00 | 3.81 | 15 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 1528 $\frac{1}{2}$－1531 |  |  |  |  | 52.80 | 4.68 | 15 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 1536－1538 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 57.06 | 4.88 | 30 | 5 | 54.60 | 3.44 | 15 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 1538 ${ }^{2}$－1541 | 58.14 | 3.57 | 27 | 5 | 54.47 | 3.83 | 15 | 10 | 65.83 | 3.10 | 6 | 10 |
| 1541－1543震 | 58.14 | 4.34 | 27 | 5 | 55，30 | 3.63 | 13 | 10 | 63.60 | 3.14 | 15 | 10 |
| 1543年－1546 | 58.04 | 3.95 | 30 | 5 | 55.50 | 2.90 | 14 | 10 | 63.07 | 3.45 | 15 | 10 |
| 1546－1548 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 58.34 | 3.29 | 30 | 5 | 53.87 | 4.96 | 15 | 10 | 63.40 | 2.22 | 15 | 10 |
| 1548 $\frac{1}{2}$－1551 | 58.04 | 2.97 | 30 | 5 | 54.00 | 2.34 | 15 | 10 | 63.00 | 3.18 | 15 | 10 |
| 1611－1613咱 | 60.31 | 3.61 | 28 | 5 | 56.79 | 2.38 | 14 | 10 | 64.20 | 2.76 | 15 | 10 |
| 161312－1616 | 57.85 | 4.71 | 29 | 5 | 56.36 | 3．59 | 14 | 10 | 65.00 | 3.63 | 15 | 10 |
| 1616－1618 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 59.44 | 4.81 | 30 | 5 | 56.36 | 2.88 | 11 | 10 | 64.47. | 3.22 | 15 | 10 |
| 161812－1621 | 60.99 | 5.62 | 30 | 5 | 57.00 | 2.83 | 15 | 10 | 64.93 | 5.16 | 15 | 10 |
| 1621－1623 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 59.62 | 3.05 | 29 | 5 | 56.54 | 3.52 | 13 | 10 | 63.13 | 2.88 | 15 | 10 |
| 1623娄－1626 | 58.64 | 5.24 | 28 | 5 | 54.73 | 3.51 | 15 | 10 | 61.40 | 2.82 | 15 | 10 |
| 1627－162931 | 58.30 | 3.35 | 26 | 5 | 56.07 | 3.16 | 15 | 10 | 63.20 | 4.86 | 15 | 10 |
| 162912－1632 | 60.30 | 3.52 | 29 | 5 | 58.07 | 2.35 | 15 | 10 | 61.40 | 3.81 | 15 | 10 |
| 1632－1634 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 58.95 | 3.72 | 29 | 5 | 55.00 | 4.16 | 15 | 10 | 62.53 | 3.81 | 15 | 10 |
| 16343－1637 | 59.72 | 3.04 | 30 | 5 | 56.40 | 3.42 | 15 | 10 | 63.27 | 3.02 | 15 | 10 |
| 1637－1639 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 59.12 | 2.60 | 29 | 5 | 57.27 | 3.07 | 15 | 10 | 60.93 | 2.98 | 15 | 10 |
| 1639 $\mathbf{1}_{2}$－1642 | 58.17 | 4.65 | 30 | 5 | 57.40 | 3.38 | 15 | 10 | 61.60 | 4.48 | 15 | 10 |
| 1702－1704雱 | 59.93 | 4.85 | 15 | 10 | 55.40 | 3.18 | 15 | 10 | 63.40 | 4.24 | 15 | 10 |
| 1704 $\frac{1}{2}-1707$ | 58.60 | 5.24 | 15 | 10 | 56.53 | 4.32 | 15 | 10 | 65.18 | 1.91 | 11 | 10 |
| 1707－1709 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 56.79 | 5.08 | 14 | 10 | 56.77 | 3.22 | 13 | 10 | 64.73 | 3.16 | 15 | 10 |
| 1709 $\frac{1}{2}-1712$ | 59.20 | 3.43 | 15 | 10 | 55.93 | 3.71 | 15 | 10 | 63.87 | 3.81 | 15 | 10 |
| 1712－1714 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 55.93 | 4.32 | 14 | 10 | 56.46 | 2.24 | 13 | 10 | 64.27 | 3.55 | 15 | 10 |
| 17143－1717 | 58.57 | 3.48 | 14 | 10 | 56.00 | 2.94 | 15 | 10 | 64.47 | 1.85 | 15 | 10 |
| 1718－1720 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 57.40 | 2.96 | 15 | 10 | 56.73 | 2.84 | 15 | 10 | 61.27 | 2.51 | 15 | 10 |
| 1720 $\frac{1}{2}$－1723 | 56.93 | 4.77 | 14 | 10 | 55.80 | 2.61 | 15 | 10 | 63.13 | 3.05 | 15 | 10 |
| 1723－1725 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 57.67 | 4.77 | 15 | 10 | 55.71 | 2.99 | 14 | 10 | 63.33 | 3.24 | 15 | 10 |
| 1725 $\frac{1}{2}-1728$ | 60.13 | 1.78 | 15 | 10 | 55.73 | 2.32 | 15 | 10 | 64.00 | 2.58 | 15 | 10 |
| 1728－1730 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 56.73 | 3.82 | 15 | 10 | 57.53 | 3.57 | 15 | 10 | 63.73 | 3.75 | 15 | 10 |
| 1730 $\frac{1}{2}$－1733 | 61.47 | 3.07 | 15 | 10 | 56.92 | 3.09 | 12 | 10 | 61.60 | 3.98 | 15 | 10 |
| 1738－174012 | 60.93 | 4.89 | 15 | 10 | 55.73 | 2.59 | 15 | 10 | 64.07 | 3.51 | 15 | 10 |
| 174012－1743 | 60.87 | 3.18 | 15 | 10 | 57.40 | 4.21 | 15 | 10 | 62.53 | 3.85 | 15 | 10 |
| 1743－1745 ${ }_{2}$ | 59.21 | 3.79 | 14 | 10 | 55.80 | 2.61 | 15 | 10 | 65.36 | 4.99 | 11 | 10 |
| 1745 $\frac{1}{2}$－1748 | 60.57 | 3.95 | 14 | 10 | 56.33 | 2.62 | 15 | 10 | 61.93 | 2.79 | 15 | 10 |
| 1748－1750 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 60.00 | 3.27 | 14 | 10 | 54.93 | 2.18 | 14 | 10 | 61.53 | 3.42 | 15 | 10 |
| 1750 $\mathbf{1}_{2}-1753$ | 57.80 | 2.61 | 15 | 10 | 55.07 | 2.41 | 15 | 10 | 60.33 | 2.30 | 15 | 10 |

TABLE SEII Cont．
Mace Boulevard－Outbound（West）cont．
August 3， 1969 3：00 pm Thru 11：10 pm

|  | Site B <br> At Site |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Site D } \\ \text { Post Site } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Site F } \\ & \text { Presite } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fime Period | X | S | N | I | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | S | N | I | X | S | N | I |
| 1813－1815 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 59.27 | 4.47 | 15 | 10 | 56.67 | 3.54 | 15 | 10 | 63.40 | 3.16 | 15 | 10 |
| 1815 $\frac{1}{2}$－1818 | 60.40 | 2.96 | 15 | 10 | 54.40 | 3.74 | 15 | 10 | 64.80 | 2.99 | 15 | 10 |
| 1818－1820 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 61.73 | 2.67 | 15 | 10 | 56.80 | 2.86 | 15 | 10 | 63.67 | 3.57 | 15 | 10 |
| 1820 ${ }^{18}$－1823 | 60.53 | 3.36 | 15 | 10 | 55.93 | 2.40 | 15 | 10 | 63.47 | 2.73 | 15 | 10 |
| 1823－1825 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 61.73 | 4.45 | 15 | 10 | 56.07 | 3.65 | 15 | 10 | 63.93 | 3.78 | 14 | 10 |
| 1825 $\frac{1}{2}$－1828 | 59.73 | 4.01 | 15 | 10 | 54.07 | 3.60 | 14 | 10 | 63.36 | 2.98 | 14 | 10 |
| 1829－1831雱 | 60.53 | 3.54 | 15 | 10 | 56.00 | 3.16 | 15 | 10 | 59.80 | 3.26 | 15 | 10 |
| 1831 $\frac{1}{2}$－1834 | 60.07 | 3.79 | 14 | 10 | 56.07 | 3.05 | 15 | 10 | 64.20 | 2.51 | 15 | 10 |
| 1834－1836娄 | 60.47 | 3.63 | 15 | 10 | 56.27 | 2.30 | 15 | 10 | 63.13 | 2.92 | 15 | 10 |
| 1836 $\frac{1}{2}$－1839 | 58.40 | 5.29 | 15 | 10 | 56.36 | 2.76 | 14 | 10 | 63.87 | 2.52 | 15 | 10 |
| 1839－1841 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 60.53 | 2.71 | 15 | 10 | 54.92 | 3.10 | 13 | 10 | 63.13 | 3.33 | 15 | 10 |
| 1841立－1844 | 59.40 | 2.80 | 15 | 10 | 53.80 | 4.21 | 15 | 10 | 61.60 | 2.87 | 15 | 10 |
| 2115－2117 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 56.50 | 5.86 | 27 | 5 | 54.93 | 3.23 | 15 | 10 | 62.73 | 3.47 | 1.5 | 10 |
| 211731－2120 | 57.00 | 4.93 | 29 | 5 | 53.71 | 2.69 | 14 | 10 | 64.13 | 2.37 | 15 | 10 |
| 2120－2122 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 59.12 | 3.74 | 28 | 5 | 54.40 | 2.96 | 15 | 10 | 63.87 | 2.36 | 15 | 10 |
| 2122 $\frac{1}{2}$－2125 | 58.17 | 3.08 | 30 | 5 | 55.13 | 2.18 | 15 | 10 | 64.47 | 2.21 | 15 | 10 |
| 2125－2127年 | 58.36 | 4.44 | 29 | 5 | 57.57 | 1.88 | 14 | 10 | 63.20 | 2.71 | 15 | 10 |
| 2127 ${ }_{2}$－ 2130 | 58.63 | 3.83 | 27 | 5 | 55.33 | 3.02 | 15 | 10 | 61.36 | 4.02 | 14 | 10 |
| 2131－2133年 | 55.52 | 3.40 | 15 | 5 | 53.47 | 2.59 | 15 | 10 | 61.21 | 4.27 | 14 | 10 |
| 2133 $\frac{1}{2}$－2136 | 57.33 | 2.86 | 24 | 5 | 54.14 | 3.68 | 14 | 10 | 63.27 | 3.10 | 15 | 10 |
| 2136－2138 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 57.73 | 4.39 | 27 | 5 | 55.40 | 3.22 | 15 | 10 | 62.07 | 4.07 | 15 | 10 |
| 2138 $\frac{1}{2}$－2141 | 57.00 | 4.60 | 27. | 5 | 5.3 .20 | 5.29 | 15 | 10 | 65.00 | 3.14 | 15 | 10 |
| 2141－2143秐 | 60.71 | 3.50 | 28 | 5 | 58.14 | 2.42 | 14 | 10 | 61.87 | 3.74 | 15 | 10 |
| 2143娄－2146 | 57.47 | 4.22 | 28 | 5 | 54.87 | 3.12 | 15 | 10 | 62.60 | 3.03 | 15 | 10 |
| 2151－2153年 | 56.89 | 5.24 | 28 | 5 | 56.13 | 2.77 | 15 | 10 | 60.73 | 3.73 | 15 | 10 |
| 2153 $\frac{1}{2}$－2156 | 55.57 | 5.11 | 29 | 5 | 55.40 | 2.52 | 15 | 10 | 61.67 | 3.49 | 15 | 10 |
| 2156－2158 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 56.03 | 4.96 | 26 | 5 | 53.00 | 3.14 | 13 | 10 | 61.93 | 2.96 | 15 | 10 |
| 2158 $\frac{1}{2}$－2201 | 56.50 | 4.68 | 29 | 5 | 51.77 | 3.43 | 13 | 10 | 62.33 | 4.24 | 15 | 10 |
| 2201－2203 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 56.62 | 3.54 | 30 | 5 | 52.46 | 3.65 | 13 | 10 | 62.53 | 3.50 | 15 | 10 |
| 2203 $\mathbf{2}_{2}$－2206 | 57.21 | 3.61 | 26 | 5 | 50.57 | 4.20 | 14 | 10 | 62.93 | 2.96 | 15 | 10 |
| 2226－2228 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 58.56 | 6.06 | 24 | 5 | 53.58 | 2.37 | 12 | 10 | 63.93 | 5.07 | 14 | 10 |
| 222812－2231 | 55.90 | 5.42 | 24 | 5 | 52.36 | 2.79 | 14 | 10 | 62.07 | 5.73 | 15 | 10 |
| 2231－2233 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | No Dat |  |  |  | 52.83 | 2.00 | 12 | 10 | 61.57 | 3.36 | 14 | 10 |
| 2233 $\frac{1}{2}$－2236 |  |  |  |  | 53.93 | 3.49 | 15 | 10 | 62.40 | 3.57 | 15 | 10 |
| 2236－2238 $\frac{1}{2}$ |  |  |  |  | 51.71 | 4.53 | 14 | 10 | 62.92 | 6.01 | 13 | 10 |
| 2238 $\frac{1}{2}$－2241 |  |  |  |  | 52.08 | 2.64 | 13 | 10 | 62.21 | 2.70 | 14 | 10 |
| $2255-2257 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{2}$ | 59.63 | 3.65 | 26 | 5 | 52.79 | 2.75 | 14 | 10 | 62.00 | 3.56 | 15 | 10 |
| 225712－2300 | 61.98 | 4.86 | 26 | 5 | 54.20 | 2.64 | 15 | 10 | 66.38 | 3.75 | 13 | 10 |
| 2300－23021 | 61.88 | 5.53 | 24 | 5 | 58.29 | 2.59 | 14 | 10 | 62.87 | 2.84 | 15 | 10 |
|  | 57.39 | 2.89 | 20 | 5 | 55.13 | 2.57 | 15 | 10 | 59.31 | 1.60 | 13 | 10 |
| $2305-2307 \frac{1}{2}$ | 58.41 | 5.05 | 24 | 5 | 54.80 | 3.32 | 15 | 10 | 59.40 | 5.67 | 15 | 10 |
| 2307 ${ }^{2}$－2310 | 59.16 | 4.02 | 21 | 5 | 56.00 | 4.01 | 13 | 10 | 58.53 | 5.12 | 15 | 10 |

iNo Dãta due to radar failure．
2iata eollection period rescheduled due to equipment failure．

TABLE XXIII
Traffic Count Data
El Centro Road
July 20, 1969-3:30 pmir Thru 22:57 pm

| Time Period | Direction of Travel |  |  | Time P | Period | Direction of Travel |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Northbound | Southbound | Total |  |  | boun |  |  |
|  |  | 22 | 31 | 1738 | - 1743 | 10 | 20 | 30 |
| 1500-1505 | 9 13 | 20 | 33 | 1743 | - 1748 | 18 | 22 | 40 |
| 1505-1510 | 11 | 25 | 36 | 1748 | - 1753 | 5 | 14 | 19 |
| $1510-\mathrm{Subtotal}$ | 33 | 67 | 100 |  | Subtotal | 33 | 56 | 89 |
| 1516-1521 | 16 | 24 | 40 | 1813 | - 1818 | 14 | 17 | 31 |
| 1521-1526 | 8 | 14 | 22 | 1818 | - 1823 | 12 | 17 | 39 |
| 1526-1531 | 12 | 17 | 29 | 1823 | - 1828 | 37 | $\frac{29}{53}$ | 90 |
| Subtotal | 36 | 55 | 91 |  | Subtotal | 37 |  |  |
| 1536-1541 | 11 | 19 | 30 | 1829 | - 1834 | 15 | 13 | 28 |
| 1536-154 - 1546 | 21 | 13 | 34 | 1834 | - 1839 | 12 | 20 | 32 |
| 1546 - 1551* | 15 | 28 | 43 | 1839 | - 1844 | 118 | 44 | 82 |
| Subtotal | 47 | 60 | 107 |  | Subtotal | 38 | 44 |  |
|  | 8 | 16 | 24 | 2115 | - 2120 | 11 | 25 | 36 |
| 1611-1616 | 8 15 | 17 | 32 | 2120 | - 2125 | 14 | 22 | 36 |
| 1616-1621 | 15 | 16 | 34 | 2125 | - 2130 | 11 | 24 | 35 |
| $1621-\begin{aligned} & 1626 \\ & \text { Subtotal }\end{aligned}$ | $1 \quad \frac{18}{41}$ | 49 | 90 |  | Subtotal | 36 | 71 | 107 |
|  |  | 18 | 35 | 2131 | - 2136 | 15 | 23 | 38 |
| 1627-1632 | 17 | 18 | 36 | 2136 | - 2141 | 13 | 20 | 33 |
| 1632-1637 | 18 | 16 | 31 | 2141 | - 2146 | 12 | $\frac{23}{66}$ | 135 |
| 1637 - ${ }_{\text {Subtotal }}$ | 150 | 52 | 102 |  | Subtotal | 40 | 66 | 106 |
|  |  | 35 | 46 | 2151 | - 2156 | 13 | 34 | 47 |
| $1702-1707$ $1707-1712$ | 17 | 21 | 38 | 2156 | - 2201 | 17 | 15 | 32 |
| 1707-1712 | 12 | 30 | 42 | 2201 | - 2206 | 13 | $\frac{14}{63}$ | 106 |
| 1712 Subtotal | 140 | 86 | 126 |  | Subtotal | 43 | 63 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 2226 | - 2231 | $10^{\circ}$ | 16 | 26 |
| 1718-1723 | 16 | 21 | 28 | 2231 | - 2236 | 8 | 27 | 35 |
| 1723-1728 | 11 | 17 | 28 | 2236 | - 2241 | 13 | 25 | 38 |
| $1728-1733$ | $1 \quad 20$ | $\frac{26}{64}$ | 111 |  | - Subtotal | - 31 | 68 | 99 |
|  |  |  |  |  | - 2247 | 9 | 14 | 23 |
|  |  | = |  | 2247 | $7-2252$ | 13 | 9 | 22 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $2-2257$ | 14 | 10 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal | $1 \frac{14}{36}$ | 33 | 69 |

TABLE XXIY
Traffic Count Data
Elvas Freaway - Outbound (East)
July 17, 1989 - 3:30 pm Thru 6:05 pm
(Distance betwecn Count Stations-0.658 Miles)

| Time Period |  | A Street Overcrossing 180 SaC PM . R4. 25 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.P. Overcrossing } \\ & 180 \text { Sac PM, } 5.01 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wher } \\ & \text { (Shoulder) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lane } \\ & \text { (Median) } \end{aligned}$ | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lane }{ }^{3} \\ & \text { (Shoulder) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lane }{ }^{2} \\ & \text { (Center) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lane } \\ & \text { (Median) } \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| 1530-1532 |  | 57 | 52 | 44 | 153 | 42 | 55 | 42 | 139 |
| 1532t - 1535 |  | 44 | 57 | 27 | 128 | 42 | 55 | 45 | 142 |
| 1535-15372 |  | 33 | 66 | 58 | 177 | 45 | 52 | 58 | 155 |
| 1537 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ - 1540 |  | 80 | 58 | 55 | 173 | 56 | 62 | 68 | 187 |
| 2540-15421 |  | 65 | 65 | 62 | 192 | 52 | 68 | 67 | 187 |
| 15421-1543 |  | 38 | 57 | 64 | 177 | 50 | 62 | 70 | 182 |
| - 1543 | Subtotal | 335 | 355 | 310 | 1,000 | 287 | 354 | 351 | 992 |
| 1550-1552 $\frac{1}{2}$ |  | 55 | 63 | 30 | 168 | 59 | 65 | 53 | 177 |
| 15521-1535 |  | 82 | 54 | 51 | 167 | 48 | 60 | 65 | 174 |
| 1555-15571 |  | 60 | 34 | 37 | 151 | 42 | 51 | 55 | 148 |
| 15572 - 1600 |  | 59 | 54 | 38 | 151 | 52 | 55 | 55 | 162 |
| 1600-1602 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 54 | 60 | 39 | 153 | 48 | 53 | 50 | 151 |
| 1602d - 1605 |  | 54 | 67 | 51 | 172 | 47 | 60 | 58 | 165 |
|  | Subtotal | 344 | 352 | 266 | 862 | 297 | 344 | 336 | 977 |
| $1610-1612 \frac{1}{2}$ |  | 55 | 57 | 47 | 159 | 43 | 61 | 58 | 162 |
| 1612娄-2615 |  | 57 | 66 | 51 | 174 | 51 | 64 | 62 | 177 |
| 1615-16171 |  | 63 | 68 | 52 | 183 | 56 | 66 | 61 | 183 |
| 16172-1620 |  | 51 | 70 | 49 | 170 | 54 | 65 | 63 | 182 |
| 1620-1622 |  | 54 | 64 | 59 | 177 | 49 | 69 | 66 | 184 |
| 1622 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ - 1625 |  | 62 | 37 | 59 | 178 | 45 | 61 | 68 | 174 |
|  | Subtotal | 342 | 382 | 317 | 1,041 | 298 | 386 | 378 | 1,062 |
| 1630-1632 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 76 | 72 | 76 | 224 | 66 | 76 | 89 | 231 |
| 1632 ${ }^{1}$ - 1635 |  | 78 | 94 | 96 | 268 | 69 | 83 | 86 | 238 |
| 1635-1637 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 81 | 95 | 97 | 273 | 75 | 84 | 88 | 247 |
| 16372 - 1640 |  | 74 | 73 | 76 | 223 | 71 | 75 | 82 | 228 |
| , 640-1642 $\frac{1}{2}$ |  | 73 | 81 | 91 | 245 | 79 | 76 | 88 | 243 |
| 642d - 1645 |  | 72 | 86 | 92 | 250 | 65 | 72 | 73 | 210 |
|  | Subtotal | 454 | 501 | 528 | 1,483 | 425 | 456 | 506 | 1.397 |
| 1650-2652 |  | 58 | 64 | 76 | 198 | 56 | 65 | 68 | 190 |
| 1652d - 1655 |  | 55 | 63 | 68 | 186 | 54 | 62 | 68 | 184 |
| 1655-16571 |  | 57 | 63 | 71 | 191 | 57 | 61 | 75 | 193 |
| 1657\% - 1700 |  | 53 | 60 | 71 | 184 | 55 | 66 | 73 | 194 |
| 1700-1702t |  | 63 | 89 | 66 | 198 | 58 | 61 | 71 | 190 |
| 1702d-1705 |  | 53 | 62 | 62 | 177 | 54 | 64 | 77 | 195 |
|  | Subtotal | 338 | 381 | 414 | 1, 134 | 334 | 380 | 432 | 1.146 |
| 1710-1712 ${ }^{1}$ |  | 83 | 55 | 68 | 196 | 52 | 68 | 78 | 196 |
| 1712 - 1715 |  | 58 | 83 | 63 | 176 | 53 | 60 | 69 | 182 |
| 1715-1717! |  | 55 | 39 | 63 | 177 | 50 | 60 | 68 | 179 |
| 17171-1720 |  | 50 | 61 | 69 | 180 | 55 | 56 | 62 | 173 |
| 1720-1722 |  | 47 | 61 | 56 | 164 | 56 | 58 | 65 | 179 |
| 1722 - 1725 |  | 54 | 61 | 61 | 176 | 51 | 61 | 75 | 187 |
|  | Subtotal | 327 | 362 | 380 | 1,069 | 317 | 361 | 418 | 1,096 |
| 1730-1732 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 47 | 55 | 67 | 169 | 62 | 76 | 84 | 222 |
| 1732 2 - 2735 |  | 56 | 67 | 70 | 193 | 58 | 63 | 80 | 201 |
| 1735-17371 |  | 51 | 54 | 46 | 151 | 66 | 47 | 71 | 184 |
| 1737 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ - 1740 |  | 54 | 49 | 35 | 138 | 61 | 67 | 64 | 192 |
| 1740-17421 |  | 48 | 54 | 36 | 138 | 52 | 55 | 53 | 160 |
| 1742 - 1745 |  | 61 | 54 | 63 | 178 | 38 | 47 | 53 | 138 |
|  | Subtotal | 357 | 333 | 317 | 967 | 337 | 353 | 405 | 1.007 |
| 1750-1752 $\frac{1}{2}$ |  | 62 | 70 | 65 | 197 | 67 | - 68 | 79 | 214 |
| 17521-1755 |  | 50 | 46 | 42 | 138 | 42 | 60 | 63 | 165 |
| 1755-1757 |  | 66 | 59 | 40 | 165 | 57 | 56 | 48 | 161 |
| 17573-1800 |  | 56 | 47 | 35 | 138 | 52 | 49 | 57 | 158 |
| 1800-1802 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 47 | 48 | 25 | 120 | 37 | 44 | 32 | 113 |
| 1802 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ - 1805 |  | 38 | 44 | 28 | 110 | 34 | 51 | 37 | 122 |
|  | Subtotal | 319 | 314 | 235 | 868 | 289 | 328 | 316 | 933 |
| Estimated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Trafic $(3: 30 \mathrm{pm}-6: 03$ |  | 3,587 | 3,849 | 3,574 | 11,010 | 3,337 | 3,841 | 4,058 | 11.236 |
| 9. of Estimated pial Traific |  | 32.378 | 34.95\% | 32.46\% | 100.00\% | 29,89\% | 34.182 | 36.11\% | 100.00\% |

Traffic Count Data
Elvas Freeway - Outbound (East)
July 23, 1969 - 3:30 pm Thru 6:05 pm
(Distance between Count Stations-0.658 Miles)

| Tlme Period |  | A Street Overcrossing <br> I 80 Sac PM. R4. 25 |  |  |  | S.P. Overcrossing <br> 180 Sac PM. 5.01 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lane } \\ & \text { Center } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lane } \\ & \text { (yedia! } \end{aligned}$ | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lane }{ }^{3} \\ & \text { (Shoulder) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lane } 2 \\ & \text { (Center) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cane } \\ \text { (Median) } \end{gathered}$ | Totat |
| $1530-1532 \frac{1}{2}$ |  | 42 | 57 | 36 | 135 | 33 | 59 | 33 | 125 |
| 15321 - 1535 |  | 46 | 65 | 43 | 154 | 47 | 53 | 55 | 155 |
| 1535-1537 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 48 | 66 | 48 | 163 | 44 | 57 | 54 | 155 |
| 1537 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ - 1540 |  | 59 | 65 | 58 | 182 | 50 | 69 | 68 | 187 |
|  |  | 47 | 59 | 67 | 173 | 48 | 66 | 71 | 185 |
| 1542 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ - 1545 |  | 53 | 68 | 64 | 183 | 45 | 60 | 68 | 173 |
|  | Subtotal | 296 | 380 | 316 | 992 | 267 | 364 | 375 | 980 |
| $1530-1552{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ |  | 46 | 47 | 39 | 132 | 53 | 57 | 39 | 149 |
| 1532 - 1535 |  | 47 | 57 | 47 | 151 | 37 | 60 | 46 | 143 |
| 1555-1557 |  | 50 | 57 | 48 | 155 | 44 | 53 | 60 | 157 |
| 1537 - 1600 |  | 57 | 55 | 40 | 152 | 39 | 62 | 42 | 143 |
| 1600-18021 |  | 61 | 47 | 43 | 151 | 42 | 56 | 33 | 151 |
| 1602 $\frac{1}{2}$ - 1605 |  | 60 | 70 | 53 | 183 | 50 | 65 | 60 | 175 |
|  | Subtotal | 321 | 333 | 270 | 827 | 285 | 353 | 300 | 978 |
| 1610 - 1612d |  | 64 | 65 | 61 | 190 | 58 | 58 | 89 | 205 |
| 16121 - 1615 |  | 52 | 61 | 56 | 169 | 49 | 63 | 60 | 172 |
| 1615-1617 |  | 55 | 64 | 69 | 188 | 57 | 55 | 69 | 181 |
| 16171-1620 |  | 54 | 59 | 60 | 173 | 47 | 57 | 63 | 167 |
| 1622 - 1625 |  | 58 | 68 | 67 | 193 | 51 | 60 | 77 | 188 |
|  |  | 48 | 59 | 51 | 158 | 46 | 57 | 56 | 159 |
|  | Subtotal | 33 T | 376 | 364 | 1071 | 308 | 350 | 414 | 1072 |
| 2630-1632 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 66 | 71 | 70 | 207 | 55 | 75 | 71 | 201 |
| 1632 $\frac{1}{2}$ - 1635 |  | 78 | 77 | 86 | 241 | 65 | 70 | 77 | 212 |
| 1635-1637 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 76 | 82 | 92 | 250 | 76 | 73 | 105 | 254 |
| 1637 - 1640 |  | 89 | 83 | 102 | 274 | 69 | 75 | 78 | 222 |
| 1640-2642 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 70 | 78 | 74 | 222 | 68 | 74 | 84 | 226 |
| 1642 $\frac{1}{2}$ - 1645 |  | 65 | 81 | 85 | 231 | 73 | 71 | 85 | 229 |
|  | Subtotal | 444 | 472 | 509 | 2425 | 406 | 438 | 500 | 1344 |
|  |  | 75 | 79 | 90 | 244 | 65 | 73 | 86 | 224 |
| 1652 $\frac{1}{2}$ - 1655 |  | 66 | 76 | 69 | 211 | 72 | 73 | 83 | 228 |
| 1655-1657 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 76 | 77 | 86 | 238 | 64 | 60 | 69 | 193 |
| 1657 $\frac{1}{2}$ - 1700 |  | 70 | 77 | 84 | 231 | 67 | 74 | 83 | 222 |
| 1702 - 2705 |  | 62 | 67 | 72 | 201 | 64 | 71 | 74 | 209 |
|  |  | 61 | 60 | 85 | 206 | 70 | 65 | 77 | 212 |
|  | Subtotal | 410 | 436 | 486 | 1332 | 402 | 456 | 470 | 1288 |
| 1710-17123 |  | 70 | 70 | 74 | 214 | 64 | 73 | 74 | 211 |
| 17121-1715 |  | 61 | 73 | 76 | 210 | 73 | 66 | 73 | 212 |
| 1715-1717\% |  | 64 | 68 | 76 | 208 | 57 | 72 | 43 | 172 |
| 17172-1720 |  | 55 | 53 | 49 | 157 | 64 | 68 | 70 | 202 |
| 1720-1722d |  | 61 | 75 | 75 | 211 | 67 | 65 | 71 | 203 |
| 2722 - 1725 |  | 56 | 66 | 75 | 187 | 61 | 68 | 67 | 186 |
|  | Subtotal | 367 | 405 | 423 | 1197 | 386 | 452 | 398 | 1186 |
| 1730-1732 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 61 | 66 | 65 | 192 | 64 | 74 | 79 | 217 |
| 1732 - 1735 |  | 62 | 74 | 73 | 209 | 72 | 68 | 74 | 214 |
| 1735-1737 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 51 | 67 | 59 | 177 | 65 | 65 | 69 | 199 |
| 17372-1740 |  | 58 | 60 | 35 | 173 | 63 | 75 | 79 | 217 |
| 17421 - $1745^{2}$ |  | 68 | 56 | 30 | 174 | 36 | 65 | 58 | 179 |
|  |  | 53 | 58 | 58 | 169 | 47 | 68 | 70 | 285 |
|  | Subtotal | 353 | 381 | 360 | 1089 | 367 | 415 | 429 | $12 I T$ |
| 2750-1752 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ |  | 52 | 54 | 44 | 150 | 40 | 62 | 49 | 151 |
| 1752\%-1755 |  | 39 | 42 | 43 | 124 | 46 | 43 | 55 | 144 |
| 1755-17572 |  | 53 | 54 | 52 | 159 | 33 | 46 | 55 | 134 |
| 17572-2800 |  | 48 | 45 | 44 | 137 | 47 | 52 | 58 | 157 |
| 1800-1802 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 49 | 49 | 47 | 145 | 40 | 55 | 50 | 145 |
| 18021 - 1805 |  | 59 | 52 | 47 | 158 | 43 | 49 | 55 | 147 |
|  | Subtotal | 380 | 296 | 277 | 873 | 248 | 307 | 322 | 878 |
| Estimated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Trafisic } \\ & \text { (3:30 pm - } 6: 05 \end{aligned}$ |  | 3,645 | 3.977 | 3,884 | 11,506 | 3.423 | 3.946 | 1,110 | 11.479 |
| \% of Estimated Total Trapfic |  | 31.68\% | 34.56\% | 33.76\% | 100.00\% | 29.82\% | 34.38\% | 35. 80\% | $100.00 \%$ |

TABLE XXVI
Traffic Count Data
Elvas Freeway - Outbound (East)
July 29, 1969-3:30 pm Thru 6:05 pm
(Distance between Count Stations $=0.658$ Miles)


## TABLE XXYII

$\therefore \quad-\quad \therefore \quad-\quad \therefore \quad-1$
Tralfic Count Data
Elvas Freeway－Outbound（East） July 31，1969－3：30 pm Thru 6：05 pm
（Distance between Count Stations： 0.658 Miles）

| Time Period | A Street Overcrosaing <br> 180 Sac PM R4．25 |  |  |  | S．P．Overcrossing <br> 180 Sae Pи 5.01 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lane ${ }^{3}$ （Shoulder） | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lane } 2 \\ & \text { (Center) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Line } 1 \\ & \text { (Median) } \end{aligned}$ | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lane 3 } \\ & \text { (Shoulder) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lane }{ }^{2} \\ & \text { (Center) } \end{aligned}$ | Lane | Totar |
| 1530－2532 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 57 | 55 | 36 | 149 | 50 | 30 | 56 | 156 |
| 1532－ 1535 | 57 | 47 | 40 | 144 | 41 | 50 | 40 | 131 |
| 1535－2537 | 52 | 47 | 47 | 146 | 46 | 47 | 50 | 143 |
| 15371－ 1540 | 61 | 77 | 63 | 201 | 49 | 63 | 74 | 186 |
| 1540－1542 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 63 | 72 | 68 | 204 | 55 | 74 | 64 | 193 |
| 15421－ 1545 | 64 | 66 | 54 | 184 | 56 | 65 | 77 | 198 |
| Subtotal | 354 | 365 | 308 | 1028 | 297 | 345 | 36I | 1007 |
| 1550－1552 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 59 | 65 | 45 | 168 | 47 | 69 | 57 | 173 |
| 15321－ 1555 | 50 | 71 | 54 | 185 | 56 | 64 | 59 | 179 |
| 1555－1557 | 56 | 46 | 54 | 156 | 46 | 58 | 57 | 161 |
| 1557－ 1600 | 36 | 56 | 48 | 140 | 39 | 55 | 49 | 143 |
| 1600－1602 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 47 | 51 | 38 | 136 | 44 | 56 | 51 | 151 |
| 1602－ 1605 | 66 | 70 | 56 | 182 | 45 | 55 | 60 | 160 |
| Subtotal | 324 | 359 | 2 ES | 978 | 277 | 357 | 333 | 967 |
| 1610－1612 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 60 | 83 | 68 | 191 | 55 | 70 | 76 | 201 |
| 16121－1615 | 63 | 59 | 36 | 178 | 42 | 85 | 59 | 166 |
| 1615－1617 | 48 | 56 | 61 | 165 | 48 | 58 | 60 | 166 |
| 16172－1620 | 62 | 58 | 50 | 170 | 45 | 57 | 65 | 167 |
| 1620－1622 | 58 | 67 | 65 | 190 | 57 | 60 | 75 | 192 |
| 1622－ 1625 | 83 | 68 | 73 | 204 | 48 | 71 | 78 | 197 |
| Subtotel | 354 | 371 | 373 | 1098 | 295 | 381 | 413 | 1089 |
| 1630－1632 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 63 | 73 | 82 | 218 | 57 | 66 | 80 | 203 |
| 1632－ 1635 | 67 | 79 | 83 | 229 | 58 | 76 | 87 | 221 |
| 1635－1637 | 77 | 80 | 94 | 251 | 72 | 74 | 76 | 222 |
| 1637\％－ 1640 | 69 | 85 | 85 | 239 | 77 | 75 | 91 | 243 |
| 1640－1642立 | 81 | 85 | 95 | 261 | 75 | 81 | 95 | 251 |
| 16421－1645 | 73 | 82 | 90 | 245 | 77 | 82 | 87 | 246 |
| Subtota2 | 430 | 484 | 529 | 1443 | 415 | 454 | 515 | 1386 |
| 1650－1652 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 82 | 75 | 82 | 239 | 73 | 76 | 88 | 237 |
| 1652 $\frac{1}{2}$－ 1655 | 78 | 88 | 96 | 262 | 74 | 77 | 86 | 237 |
| 1655－1657 | 66 | 71 | 73 | 210 | 75 | 79 | 93 | 247 |
| 1657\％－ 1700 | 75 | 84 | B5 | 244 | 79 | 78 | 88 | 245 |
| 1700－1702 | 72 | 89 | 89 | 250 | 71 | 85 | 92 | 247 |
| 1702 ${ }^{\text {d }}$－ 1705 | 70 | 85 | 89 | 244 | 62 | 83 | 83 | 228 |
| Subtotal | 473 | 492 | 514 | 1349 | 434 | 478 | 529 | 1741 |
| 1710－17121 | 66 | 73 | 75 | 214 | 66 | 81 | 75 | 222 |
| 17121－1715 | 68 | 78 | 77 | 223 | 69 | 74 | 86 | 229 |
| 1715－1717 | 67 | 74 | 80 | 221 | 64 | 70 | 77 | 211 |
| 1717\％－ 2720 | 64 | 73 | 76 | 213 | 72 | 75 | 74 | 221 |
| 1720－17221 | 63 | 67 | 74 | 204 | 69 | 75 | 82 | 226 |
| 17221－1725 | 47 | 47 | 43 | 137 | 55 | 63 | 71 | 189 |
| Subtotal | 375 | 412 | 425 | 1212 | 395 | 438 | 465 | 1298 |
| 1730－1732 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 46 | 57 | 50 | 153 | 46 | 61 | 60 | 167 |
| 1732 2 － 1735 | 54 | 57 | 63 | 174 | 50 | 58 | 58 | 166 |
| 1735－17371 | 30 | 63 | 51 | 164 | 48 | 50 | 67 | 165 |
| 17371－1740 | 61 | 56 | 52 | 169 | 48 | 58 | 56 | 163 |
| 1740－1742\％ | 48 | 57 | 32 | 157 | 41 | 59 | 57 | 157 |
| 1742\％－ 1745 | 57 | 51 | 40 | 148 | 47 | 63 | ${ }^{48}$ | 158 |
| ．Subtotal | 316 | 341 | 308 | 885 | 280 | 350 | 346 | 876 |
| 1750－1752 | 66 | 60 | 64 | 190 | 50 | 65 | 72 | 187 |
| 1752－ 1755 | 43 | 57 | 37 | 137 | 40 | 57 | 55 | 152 |
| 1755－1757才 | 46 | 57 | 46 | 149 | 36 | 49 | 45 | 130 |
| 17571－ 1800 | 44 | 48 | 43 | 135 | 39 | 57 | 60 | 156 |
| 1800－18021 | 43 | 43 | 24 | 110 | 38 | 50 | 34 | 122 |
| 2802年－1805 | 49 | 33 | 40 | 142 | 40 | 31 | 40 | 131 |
| Subtotal | 291 | 3F8 | 254 | B63 | 243 | 329 | 306 | 878 |
| Estimated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Trafile $(3: 30 \mathrm{pm}-6: 05 \mathrm{pm})$ | 3，729 | 4，058 | 3，884 | 11：671 | 3，406 | 4，051 | 4.223 | 11．680 |
| \％of Estimated Total Traffic | $31.95 \%$ | 34．77\％ | 33，28\％ | 100．00\％ | 29．16\％ | 34．68\％ | 36.167 | 100．00\％； |

Traffic Count Data
Foothill Farma Padestrian Ovorcroseing - I 80 Sac pu 13.809 July 27, 1969-3:00 pm Thru 6:45 pm 4.9:15 pm Thru 11:00 pm


Traific Count data
Mace Boulevard Overcroasing - I 80 Sac PM 2.680 Augunt 3, 1969-3:00 pm-Thru 6:45 pm 4 0:15 pmeThru 11:00 pm


$$
=
$$


[^0]:    * $\mathrm{CHP}=\mathrm{CHP}$ black and white enforcement vehicle Tow = Tow service truck

    Hoys = Division of Highways maintenance pickup
    None $=$ No vehicle present

[^1]:    $\mathbf{1}_{\text {Highway }}$ Research Board, Highway Capacity Manual-1965, Special Report No. 87, publication 1328, Washington D.C.: National Research Council, National Academy of Sciences, 1965, pp 75-76.

[^2]:    *Design capacity figure estimated from guidelines appearing in the previously cited Highway Capacity Manual-1965.

[^3]:    *Density is usually expressed as number of vehicles per lane mile. Since there are three lanes at this site, the number per lane may be determined by division of total density by three (lanes).

[^4]:    ＊＊N $=$ Number of Vehicles
    ＊＊I＝Speed Interval Time in Minutes

